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NCES has established two survey systems to collect time-sensitive, issue-oriented data quickly and with minimal response burden. The Fast Response Survey System (FRSS) focuses on collecting data at the elementary and secondary school levels and from public libraries. The Postsecondary Education Quick Information System (PEQIS) collects data at the postsecondary level. These systems, subsumed under the general title, Quick Response Information System (QRIS), are used to meet the data needs of U.S. Department of Education analysts, planners, and decision makers when information cannot be obtained quickly through traditional National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) surveys.

1. Fast Response Survey System (FRSS)

Overview

The Fast Response Survey System (FRSS) was established in 1975 to collect issue-oriented data quickly and with minimum response burden. The FRSS, whose surveys collect and report data on key education issues, was designed to meet the data needs of U.S. Department of Education analysts, planners, and decision makers, as well as other government officials with education data needs, when information could not be collected quickly through NCES’s large recurring surveys. Findings from FRSS surveys have been included in congressional reports, testimony to congressional subcommittees, NCES reports, and other Department of Education reports. The findings are also often used by state and local education officials. Surveys are generally limited to three pages of questions, with a response burden of about 30 to 45 minutes per respondent. To date, more than 100 surveys have been conducted under the FRSS. Recent topics have included school safety and discipline, condition of school facilities, dual credit and exam-based courses, arts education, dropout prevention, distance education, alternative schools and programs, educational technology, and after-school programs. Some surveys, such as surveys on school facilities, Internet access, dual credit and exam-based courses, distance education, and arts education have been conducted more than once. Before the Postsecondary Education Quick Information System was established in 1991, the FRSS was sometimes used to examine postsecondary issues.

Sample Design

Data collected through FRSS surveys are representative at the national level, drawing from a universe that is appropriate for each study. The FRSS collects data from state education agencies, local education agencies, public and private elementary and secondary schools (e.g., principals, teachers, guidance counselors, library media center specialists), and public libraries.

Sample sizes are relatively small (usually about 1,200 to 1,800 respondents per survey, but occasionally larger) so that data collection can be completed quickly.
Efficient probability sampling designs are an integral part of the FRSS. For sectors that are surveyed frequently in FRSS (e.g., school districts and public schools), a general approach to sampling is designed and modified as necessary to meet the specific goals of the study. For example, stratified probability-proportionate-to-size (PPS) sampling designs are generally used to ensure that (a) estimates with specified levels of sampling precision can be obtained for key subgroups of interest, and (b) both categorical and quantitative variables can be estimated reliably. The size measure is generally the aggregate square root of enrollment in the substratum. The use of the square root of enrollment to determine the sample allocation is considered reasonably efficient for estimating unit-level (e.g., district or school) characteristics and quantitative measures correlated with enrollment.

For some of the less frequently surveyed sectors, it is desirable to select a sample that is tailored to the specific needs of the individual survey. This specialization is most efficient when pertinent data are available for sample selection purposes. Examples of situations that necessitate designing and drawing special-purpose samples include surveys that are restricted to a particular subgroup (e.g., districts with summer migrant education programs or adult literacy programs), surveys that require concurrent fielding of different questionnaires in the same sector (e.g., library services for children and young adults), and related surveys involving different sets of respondents that must be linked through an overlapping sample design (e.g., the three surveys on educational technology conducted in 2008–09 that linked districts, schools, and teachers).

FRSS surveys of state education agencies do not involve sampling since all state education agencies are included. Sampling procedures for the other FRSS populations are discussed below.

Local education agencies (public school districts). The sampling frame is typically the NCES Common Core of Data (CCD) Public Elementary and Secondary Agency Universe File. (For information on CCD, see the CCD chapter.) The following variables are often used for stratification or sorting within primary strata: categories of enrollment size, geographic region, metropolitan status (community type), and poverty status. Other variables, such as charter school agency status, may be used to improve the precision of overall estimates, and to ensure minimum sample sizes for the analytic domains of interest.

As an example, the sample for the FRSS survey of Distance Education Courses for Public Elementary and Secondary School Students: 2009–10 was selected from the 2008–09 NCES Common Core of Data (CCD) Local Education Agency (School District) Universe file, which was the most current file available at the time of selection. The sampling frame included 13,563 regular districts1 and 2,191 charter school districts.2 Excluded from the sampling frame were districts in the outlying U.S. territories and regular districts with no enrollments or missing enrollments.3

The sampling frame for this survey was stratified by district type (regular vs. charter), district enrollment size (six categories for regular districts and four categories for charter districts), and poverty status (five categories for regular districts only)4 to create 30 primary strata. Within each of the two categories of district type created by this cross-classification (regular vs. charter), the sample was allocated to size strata in rough proportion to the aggregate square root of the enrollment of the districts in the stratum. Districts in the sampling frame were then sorted by community type and region to induce additional implicit stratification. Within each primary stratum, districts were selected systematically and with equal probabilities.

Public elementary and secondary schools. The sampling frame is typically the NCES CCD Public School Universe file. The following variables are often used for stratification or sorting within primary strata: instructional level, categories of enrollment size, community type, geographic region, and either categories of poverty status (based on eligibility for free or reduced-price lunch) or categories of percent minority enrollment.5

As an example, the sample of schools for the FRSS survey of School Safety and Discipline: 2013–14 consisted of approximately 1,600 regular public elementary, middle, and high school/combined schools

---

1 Regular school districts included any local school district that was not a component of a supervisory union or was a local school district component of a supervisory union sharing a superintendent and administrative services with other local school districts (i.e., Education Agency types 1 and 2 on the CCD).
2 A district is a “charter agency” if all schools associated with the agency are charter schools (i.e., Education Agency type 7 on the CCD) or if the district is an “other education agency” (i.e., Education Agency type 8 on the CCD) and the district has at least one charter school when matched against the corresponding 2008–09 CCD school file.
3 Charter school districts were included even if enrollment data were missing.
4 Poverty status was based on district-wide estimates of the percent of children 5–17 years of age in families living below the poverty level.
5 Minority enrollment includes American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Black, Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, and students of two or more races.
in the 50 states and the District of Columbia. The nationally representative sample was selected from the 2011–12 NCES Common Core of Data (CCD) Public School Universe file, which was the most current file available at the time of selection. The sampling frame included 50,807 regular elementary schools, 16,536 regular middle schools, and 19,247 regular high school/combined schools. For purposes of this study, “regular” schools included charter schools. Excluded from the sampling frame were schools with a high grade of prekindergarten, kindergarten, or ungraded, schools with zero, missing, or “not applicable” enrollment, along with special education, vocational, and alternative/other schools, and schools outside the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

For this survey, the public school sampling frame was stratified by instructional level (elementary, middle, and high school/combined), community type (city, suburban, town, and rural), and enrollment size (less than 300, 300 to 499, 500 to 999, and 1,000 or more) to create 45 primary strata. Within each stratum, schools were sorted by region (Northeast, Midwest, South, and West) and percent White, non-Hispanic enrollment in the school (missing, 96 percent or more, 81 to 95 percent, 51 to 80 percent, and 50 percent or less) prior to selection to induce additional implicit stratification. Within each primary stratum, schools were selected systematically using sampling rates that depended on the size classification of the school.

Private elementary and secondary schools. For this population, FRSS survey samples are constructed from the NCES Private School Universe Survey (PSS). (For information on PSS, see the PSS chapter.) The sample usually consists of regular private elementary, secondary, and combined schools, with a private school being defined as a school not in the public system that provides instruction for any of grades K–12 (or comparable ungraded levels) where the instruction is not provided in a private home. The following variables may be used for stratification or sorting within primary strata: instructional level (elementary, secondary, and combined), affiliation (Catholic, other religious, and nonsectarian), school size, geographic region, community type, and categories of percent minority enrollment. Schools are generally selected from each primary stratum with probabilities proportional to the weight reflecting the school’s probability of inclusion in the area sample.

Elementary and secondary school teachers. Teacher surveys generally use a two-stage sampling process. This involves selecting a sample of schools during the first stage (according to procedures described above) and obtaining lists of teachers from the selected schools. During the second stage of sampling, teachers are selected from the lists provided by the schools. The sampling criteria for teachers are dependent on the needs of the specific study.

Public libraries. Public libraries have been surveyed by the FRSS in the past (e.g., survey on programs for adults in public library outlets). For any future survey of public libraries, a sample will be drawn from the most recent Public Library Survey (PLS) universe file, currently conducted by the Institute of Museum and Library Services. The specific sampling procedures will depend on the needs of the survey.

Special populations. Other sources may serve as sampling frames, depending on the needs of the survey. For example, for Participation of Migrant Students in Title I Migrant Education Program (MEP) Summer-Term Projects, the districts and other entities serving migrant students were selected from the U.S. Department of Education’s 1995–96 Migrant Education Program Universe data file.

Data Collection and Processing
Most FRSS surveys are self-administered questionnaires where respondents are offered the option of completing the survey on paper (submitted by mail, fax, or email) or via the Web, with telephone follow-up for survey nonresponse and data clarification. On rare occasions, a few have been telephone surveys, including one that used random digit dialing techniques. FRSS questionnaires are pretested, and efforts are made to check for consistency in the interpretation of questions and to eliminate ambiguous items before fielding the survey.

For example, for the School Safety and Discipline: 2013–14 survey, questionnaires and cover letters were mailed to the principal of each sampled school. The cover letter introduced the study and requested that the questionnaire be completed by the person most knowledgeable about safety and discipline at the school. Respondents were asked to provide information for the 2013–14 school year to date, and were offered options of completing the survey on paper or online. Telephone follow-up for survey nonresponse and data clarification was initiated in March 2014 and completed in July 2014.

For questionnaires completed on paper, data are keyed with 100 percent verification. To check the data for accuracy and consistency, questionnaire responses from all modes undergo range and logic editing. Cases with missing or inconsistent items are contacted by telephone.
The unweighted survey response rate was 86 percent and the weighted response rate using the initial base weights was 85 percent. The survey weights were adjusted for questionnaire nonresponse and the data were then weighted to yield national estimates that represent all eligible regular public schools in the United States.

**Estimation**

**Weighting.** The response data are weighted to produce national estimates. The weights are designed to adjust for the variable probabilities of selection and differential nonresponse. Ineligible units are deleted from the initial sample before weighting and analysis. In the case of two-stage sampling—for example, for teacher-level surveys—the weights used to produce national estimates are designed to reflect the variable probabilities of selection of the sampled schools and teachers and are adjusted for differential unit (teacher sampling list and questionnaire) nonresponse.

**Imputation.** Because item nonresponse in FRSS surveys is typically very low, only limited use of imputation is required. Missing data are imputed for the items with a response rate of less than 100 percent using a “hot-deck” approach to obtain a “donor” from which the imputed values are derived. Donors are identified by matching selected characteristics to the case with missing data (the recipient). For categorical items, the imputed value is simply the corresponding value from the donor. For continuous numerical items (e.g., number of instructional rooms with wireless Internet connections), an appropriate ratio (e.g., the proportion of instructional rooms with wireless Internet connections) may be calculated for the donor, and this ratio applied to available data (e.g., reported number of instructional rooms) for the recipient to obtain the corresponding imputed value.

For example, in the *Condition of Public School Facilities: 2012–13*, missing data were imputed for the 48 items with a response rate of less than 100 percent. The missing data were imputed using a “hot-deck” approach. The matching characteristics used to identify a donor included instructional level, enrollment size, community type, region, percent eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, and categories of percent minority enrollment. In addition, other relevant questionnaire items were used to form appropriate imputation groupings. Once a donor was found, the imputed value was simply the corresponding value from the donor school.

**Sampling Error**

FRSS estimates are based on the selected samples and, consequently, are subject to sampling variability. The standard error is a measure of the variability of estimates due to sampling. Jackknife replication is the method used to compute estimates of the standard errors.

**Nonsampling Error**

Nonsampling error describes variations in the estimates that may be caused by population coverage limitations and data collection, processing, and reporting procedures. The sources of nonsampling errors are typically problems like unit and item nonresponse, differences in respondents’ interpretations of the meaning of questions, response differences related to the particular time the survey was conducted, and mistakes made during data preparation. It is difficult to identify and estimate either the amount of nonsampling error or the bias caused by this error.

To minimize the potential for nonsampling error, FRSS surveys use a variety of procedures, including a pretest of the questionnaire with members of the population to be surveyed. The pretest provides the opportunity to check for consistency of interpretation of questions and definitions and to eliminate ambiguous items. The questionnaire and instructions are also extensively reviewed by NCES and the data requestor. In addition, extensive editing of the questionnaire responses is conducted to check the data for accuracy and consistency. Cases with missing, inconsistent, or out-of-range items are recontacted by telephone to resolve problems. Data entered for all surveys received by mail, fax, e-mail, or telephone are verified to ensure accuracy.

**Coverage Error.** FRSS surveys are subject to any coverage error present in the major NCES data files that serve as their sampling frames. Many FRSS surveys use CCD surveys as the sampling frame.

There is a potential for undercoverage bias associated with the absence of population units (e.g., schools) built between the time when the sampling frame is constructed and the time of the FRSS survey administration. Since teacher coverage depends on teacher lists sent by the schools, teacher coverage is assumed to be good.

**Nonresponse Error.** Unit response for most FRSS surveys is 85 percent or higher. (See table FRSS-1.) Item nonresponse for most items is less than 1 percent. The weights are adjusted for unit nonresponse. Imputation is performed for items with an item response rate of less than 100 percent.

**Measurement Error.** Errors may result from problems such as misrecording of responses; incorrect editing, coding, and data entry; different interpretations of
definitions and the meaning of questions; memory effects; the timing of the survey; and the respondent’s inability to report certain data due to their recordkeeping system. Nonsampling errors are not easy to measure and, for measurement purposes, usually require that an experiment be conducted as part of the data collection procedures or that data external to the study be used. These types of experiments are not generally conducted by the FRSS.

Comparability

Some FRSS surveys have been repeated so that results can be compared over time. Examples of these surveys are listed below.

- The FRSS survey on condition of public school facilities was conducted in 1999 and 2013 and many of the same data items were collected in both administrations.

- The FRSS conducted surveys of telecommunications and Internet access in public schools during each year 1994 through 2003 and again in 2005. In addition, the telecommunications survey was conducted in private schools during 1995 and 1998–99.

- The survey on dual credit and exam-based courses in public high schools was conducted during the 2002–03 school year and repeated in the 2010–11 school year.

- Sets of surveys on arts education were conducted at the public elementary and secondary school levels during 1994, 1999, and 2009–10. The FRSS also conducted sets of surveys on arts education at the public school teacher level in 2000 and 2010.

- A district-level survey on technology-based distance education courses for public school students was administered in 2002–03 and 2004–05. Two types of comparisons are possible with these FRSS data. The first type involves comparisons of the cross-sectional estimates for the two or more time periods. The second type of comparison provides longitudinal analysis of change between 2002–03 and 2004–05.

Occasionally, an FRSS survey is fielded to provide data that can be compared with data from another NCES survey. For example, the FRSS survey School Safety and Discipline: 2013–14 was designed to provide comparable data for a subset of items in the 2009–10 School Survey on Crime and Safety (SSOCS). In another example, the 1996 Survey on Family and School Partnerships in Public Schools, K–8 was designed to provide data that could be compared with parent data from the 1996 National Household Education Survey as well as with data from the Prospects Study, a congressionally mandated study of educational growth and opportunity from 1991 to 1994. A third example is the 2001 Survey on High School Guidance Counseling, which was designed to provide data that could be compared to data from the 1984 Administrator and Teacher Survey supplement to the High School and Beyond Longitudinal Study.
## Table FRSS-1. Weighted unit response rates for recent FRSS surveys: Selected years, 2009–2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>List participation rate</th>
<th>Weighted 1st level response rate</th>
<th>Overall weighted response rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School Safety and Discipline: 2013–14</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of Public School Facilities: 2012–13</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Credit and Exam-Based Courses Survey: 2010–11</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary School Arts Education Survey: Fall 2009</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary School Arts Education Survey: Fall 2009</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropout Prevention Services and Programs Survey: 2010–11</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance Education Courses for Public School Elementary and Secondary School Students: 2009–10</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers’ Use of Educational Technology in U.S. Public Schools, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Education Surveys of Elementary School Teachers: Elementary School Classroom Teachers: Fall 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Education Surveys of Elementary School Teachers: Elementary School Music Specialist: Fall 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Education Surveys of Elementary School Teachers: Elementary School Visual Arts Specialist: Fall 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Education Surveys of Secondary School Teachers: Secondary School Music Specialists: Fall 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Not applicable.

Table FRSS-2. Weighted unit response rates for previous FRSS surveys: Selected years, 1999–2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>List participation rate</th>
<th>Weighted 1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; level response rate</th>
<th>Overall weighted response rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Schools and Programs for Public School Students At Risk of Educational Failure, 2007–08</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Technology in Public School Districts, Fall 2008</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Technology in U.S. Public Schools, Fall 2008</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-School Programs in Public Elementary Schools, 2008</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools and Classrooms: Fall 2005</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance Education Courses for Public School Elementary and Secondary School Students: 2004–05</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public School Principals’ Perceptions of Their School Facilities: Fall 2005</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foods and Physical Activity in Public Elementary Schools: 2005</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools and Classrooms: Fall 2003</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Credit and Exam-Based Courses: 2003</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance Education Courses for Public School Elementary and Secondary School Students: 2002–03</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools and Classrooms: Fall 2002</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes at end of table.
Table FRSS-2. Weighted unit response rates for previous FRSS surveys: Selected years, 1999–2008—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Weighted 1st level response rate</th>
<th>Overall weighted response rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effects of Energy Needs and Expenditures on U.S. Public Schools: 2001</td>
<td>† 84</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey on High School Guidance Counseling: 2001</td>
<td>† 94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey of Classes that Serve Children Prior to Kindergarten in Public Schools: 2000–01</td>
<td>† 94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Survey of Alternative Schools and Programs: 2001</td>
<td>† 97</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey on Professional Development and Training in U.S. Public Schools: 1999–2000</td>
<td>88 85</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey on Programs for Adults in Public Library Outlets: 2000</td>
<td>† 97</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Not applicable.

Contact Information

For content information about the FRSS project, contact:

John Ralph
Phone: (202) 245-6152
E-mail: john.ralph@ed.gov

Mailing Address:
National Center for Education Statistics
Institute of Education Sciences
Potomac Center Plaza
550 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20202

Methodology and Evaluation Reports

Methodology is discussed in the technical notes to survey reports. Recent reports are listed below.


2. Postsecondary Education Quick Information System (PEQIS)

Overview

The Postsecondary Education Quick Information System (PEQIS) was established in 1991 to quickly collect limited amounts of policy-relevant information from a nationally representative sample of postsecondary institutions or a census of state higher education agencies. Policy analysts, program planners, and decisionmakers in postsecondary education frequently need data on emerging issues quickly. It is not always feasible for NCES to use its large, recurring surveys to provide such data quickly, due to the length of time required to implement large-scale data collection efforts. In addition to obtaining information on emerging issues quickly, PEQIS surveys are used to assess the feasibility of developing large-scale data collection efforts on a given topic or to supplement other NCES postsecondary surveys. Surveys are generally limited to three pages of questions, with a response burden of about 30 to 45 minutes per respondent. To date, 18 PEQIS surveys have been completed, covering such diverse issues as services and support programs for military service members and veterans, dual enrollment programs for high school students, educational technology in teacher education programs, occupational programs, distance learning, precollegiate programs for disadvantaged students, remedial education, campus crime and security, services for deaf and hard-of-hearing students, and students with disabilities.

Sample Design

Most PEQIS institutional surveys use a previously recruited, nationally representative panel of institutions. The PEQIS panel was originally selected and recruited in 1991–92. In 1996, 2002, 2006, and 2011, the PEQIS panel was reselected to reflect changes in the postsecondary education universe that had occurred since the original panel was selected. A modified Keyfitz approach was used to maximize overlap between the panels for each reselection. This approach resulted in about 80 percent of the institutions overlapping for each reselection of the panel.

The 2011 PEQIS panel was constructed from the 2009–10 Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) Institutional Characteristics file. Institutions eligible for the 2011 PEQIS frame included 2-year and 4-year (including graduate-level) institutions that are both Title IV eligible and degree-granting, and are located in the 50 states and the District of Columbia: a total of 4,485 institutions. The 2011 PEQIS sampling frame was stratified by instructional level (4-year, 2-year), control (public, private nonprofit, private for-profit), highest level of offering (doctor’s/first-professional, master’s, bachelor’s, less than bachelor’s), and total enrollment to create 43 primary strata. Within each of the strata, institutions were sorted by region (Northeast, Southeast, Central, West) and by whether the institution had a relatively high combined enrollment of American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian/Pacific Islander, Black, or Hispanic students. The sample of approximately 1,650 institutions was allocated to the strata in proportion to the aggregate square root of total enrollment. Institutions within a primary stratum were sampled with equal probabilities of selection.

Both the 2011 PEQIS survey on dual enrollment programs and courses for high school students and the 2013 PEQIS survey on services and support programs for military service members and veterans at postsecondary institutions used the 2011 PEQIS panel.

Data Collection and Processing

Typically, PEQIS surveys are self-administered questionnaires with respondents offered the option of completing the survey on paper (submitted by mail, fax, or email) or via the Web, with telephone follow-up for survey nonresponse and data clarification. Surveys are limited to three pages of questions, with a response burden of about 30 to 45 minutes per respondent. The questionnaires are pretested, and efforts are made to check for consistency in the interpretation of questions and to eliminate ambiguous items before fielding the survey to all institutions in the sample.

The questionnaires are mailed to PEQIS institutions along with a description of the intended respondent (e.g., the person at the institution most knowledgeable about its distance education programs). Nonrespondents who have not returned the survey within a set period of time are followed up by telephone. For questionnaires completed on paper, data are keyed with 100 percent verification. To check the data for accuracy and consistency, questionnaire responses from all modes undergo range and logic editing. Cases with missing or inconsistent items are contacted by telephone.

As an example, in the 2012–13 survey on services and support programs for military service members and veterans, questionnaires and cover letters were mailed to the PEQIS institutions. Institutions were told that the survey was designed to be completed by the person(s)
most knowledgeable about services and support programs for military service members and veterans at the institution. Respondents had the option of completing the survey on paper or via the web. Telephone follow-up of nonrespondents was conducted for survey nonresponse and data clarification.

Estimation

Weighting. The response data are weighted to produce national estimates. The weights are designed to adjust for the variable probabilities of selection and differential nonresponse. For recent PEQIS surveys, the weighted number of eligible institutions represents the estimated universe of approximately 4,380 Title IV-eligible degree-granting institutions in the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

Imputation. Item nonresponse rates for PEQIS surveys are typically very low (less than 1 percent for most items). Data are imputed for all items with a response rate of less than 100 percent.

As an example of the imputation process, in the 2012–13 survey on services and support programs for military service members and veterans, missing data were imputed using a “hot-deck” approach to obtain a “donor” institution from which the imputed values were derived. Under the hot-deck approach, a donor institution that matched selected characteristics of the institution with missing data (the recipient institution) was identified. Once a donor was found, it was used to derive the imputed values for the institution with missing data. For categorical items, the imputed value was simply the corresponding value from the donor institution. For numerical items, the imputed value was calculated by taking the donor’s response for that item and dividing that number by the total number of students enrolled in the donor institution. This ratio was then multiplied by the total number of students enrolled in the recipient institution to provide an imputed value.

Sampling Error

Estimates are based on the selected samples and, consequently, are subject to sampling variability. The standard error is a measure of the variability of the estimates due to sampling. Because the data from PEQIS surveys are collected using a complex sampling design, the variances of the estimates from the surveys (e.g., estimates of proportions) are typically different from what would be expected from data collected with a simple random sample. To generate accurate standard errors for the estimates, standard errors are computed using a technique known as jackknife replication.

Nonsampling Error

Nonsampling error describes variations in the estimates that may be caused by population coverage limitations and data collection, processing, and reporting procedures. The sources of nonsampling errors are typically problems like unit and item nonresponse, differences in respondents’ interpretations of the meaning of questions, response differences related to the particular time the survey was conducted, and mistakes made during data preparation. It is difficult to identify and estimate either the amount of nonsampling error or the bias caused by this error.

To minimize the potential for nonsampling error, PEQIS surveys use a variety of procedures, including a pretest of the questionnaire with the individual at each postsecondary institution deemed to be the most knowledgeable about the survey topic. The pretest provides the opportunity to check for consistency in the interpretation of questions and definitions and to eliminate ambiguous items. The questionnaire and instructions are also extensively reviewed by NCES and the data requestor. In addition, both range and logic editing of the questionnaire responses is conducted to check the data for accuracy and consistency. Cases with missing or inconsistent items are contacted by telephone to resolve problems. Data are keyed with 100 percent verification for surveys received by mail, fax, e-mail, or telephone.

Coverage Error. Because the sampling frames for PEQIS surveys are constructed from IPEDS data files, coverage error is believed to be minimal.

Nonresponse Error. Both unit nonresponse and item nonresponse are quite low in PEQIS surveys. For the 18 surveys completed thus far, weighted unit response has ranged from 87 to 97 percent (see table PEQIS-1). Item nonresponse for most items in PEQIS surveys has been less than 1 percent. The weights are adjusted for unit nonresponse. Imputation is performed for item nonresponse.
Table PEQIS-1. Weighted unit response rates for recent PEQIS surveys: Selected years, 2000–13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Panel participation rate</th>
<th>Weighted 1st level response rate</th>
<th>Overall weighted response rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Services and Support Programs for Military Service Members and Veterans at Postsecondary Institutions, 2012–13&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Enrollment Programs and Courses for High School Students at Postsecondary Institutions: 2010–11&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students with Disabilities at Postsecondary Institutions, 2008–09&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance Education at Postsecondary Institutions, 2006–07&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Technology in Teacher Education Programs for Initial Licensure&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Enrollment Programs and Courses for High School Students</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance Education at Postsecondary Education Institutions, 2000–01</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

— Not available.
† Not applicable.
<sup>1</sup> The sample for this survey consisted of all of the institutions in the 2011 PEQIS panel.
<sup>2</sup> The weighted panel participation rate is not available for this survey.
<sup>3</sup> The sample for this distance education survey consisted of all of the institutions in the 2006 PEQIS panel. In addition, data were collected from one 4-year private for-profit institution that was added to the sample only for this survey because it is the largest provider of online distance education courses in the nation, bringing the total sample size for this survey to 1,628 institutions.
<sup>4</sup> This survey was administered to all 2,512 Title IV degree-granting 4-year public and private postsecondary institutions in the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

**Measurement Error.** This type of nonsampling error may result from different interpretations of survey definitions by respondents or from the institution’s inability to report certain data due to its recordkeeping system. Nonsampling errors are not easy to measure and, for measurement purposes, usually require that an experiment be conducted as part of the data collection procedures or that data external to the study be used. These types of experiments are not generally conducted by PEQIS.

**Comparability**

While most PEQIS surveys are not designed specifically for comparison with other surveys, the data from some PEQIS surveys can be compared with data from other postsecondary surveys. For example, the 1998 *Survey on Students With Disabilities at Postsecondary Education Institutions* complements another NCES study on the self-reported preparation, participation, and outcomes of students with disabilities. In another example, the 1995 *Survey on Remedial Education in Higher Education Institutions* can be compared to data from remedial education surveys conducted by the American Council on Education and the Southern Regional Education Board. A third example is the survey on *Services and Support Programs for Military Service Members and Veterans: 2012–13*, which can be compared to the 2012 American Council on Education survey on campus programs for veterans and service members.

In addition, some PEQIS surveys have been repeated so that results can be compared over time. Examples of these surveys are listed below.

- The PEQIS survey on dual enrollment programs and courses for high school students was conducted for the 2002–03 and 2010–11 academic years.
- The PEQIS survey on students with disabilities at postsecondary institutions was conducted for 1998 and the 2008–09 academic years.
- PEQIS collected data on distance education at postsecondary institutions in 1995, 1998–99, and 2000–01.\(^6\)
- PEQIS conducted surveys on remedial education in 1995 and 2000.\(^7\)

---

\(^6\) A fourth PEQIS survey on distance education, conducted in 2006–07, included many of the same topics covered in the previous surveys, but the data are not comparable because of the revised definition of distance education.

\(^7\) Two earlier surveys on remedial education in postsecondary institutions, for academic years 1983–84 and 1989–90, were conducted using the FRSS because PEQIS was not yet in existence.

---

**Contact Information**

For content information on PEQIS, contact:

John Ralph  
Phone: (202) 245-6152  
E-mail: john.ralph@ed.gov

**Mailing Address:**

National Center for Education Statistics  
Institute of Education Sciences  
U.S. Department of Education  
Potomac Center Plaza  
550 12th Street SW  
Washington, D.C. 20202

**Methodology and Evaluation Reports**

Methodology is discussed in the technical notes to survey reports. Recent reports are listed below.


