

Errata notice

Performance of U.S. 15-Year-Old Students in Mathematics, Science, and Reading Literacy in an International Context – First Look at PISA 2012 (NCES 2014-024) and supplemental tables.

Since the report and supplemental tables were released on December 3, 2013, the OECD issued revised data. As a result, the following changes have been made to the above report and supplemental tables, as well as to the HTML versions of the tables and figures on the NCES website.

Report:

On pg. 9, under “U.S. Performance in Science Literacy”, the relative standing of the U.S. in terms of the percentage of top-performing 15-year-old students was changed to indicate that “The U.S. percentage was lower than 16 education systems, higher than 27 education systems, and not measurably different than 16 education systems.”

Figure 1: The percentage of students at level 5 and above for Belgium was changed from 19 to 20.

Figure 2: The percentage of students at level 5 and above for Belgium was changed from being significantly different from the U.S. percentage to not measurably different from the U.S. percentage.

Figure 3: The placement of Belgium was changed from being above Chinese Taipei to below Chinese Taipei.

Table 3: The placement of Belgium was changed from being in between the Netherlands and Switzerland to in between Macao-China and Vietnam. The placement of Canada was changed from being below Chinese Taipei to above Chinese Taipei.

Table 5: The mean score of Belgium was changed from 511 to 512.

Table B-2: The exclusion rate of Bulgaria was changed from 2.5 to 2.6. The exclusion rate of Denmark was changed from 6.1 to 6.2. The exclusion rate of Estonia was changed from 5.7 to 5.8. The exclusion rate of France was changed from 4.3 to 4.4. The exclusion rate of Kazakhstan was changed from 3.3 to 3.4. The exclusion rate of Latvia was changed from 3.9 to 4.0. The exclusion rate of Luxembourg was changed from 8.3 to 8.4. The exclusion rate of the Netherlands was changed from 4.3 to 4.4. The exclusion rate of Romania was changed from 3.4 to 3.5. The exclusion rate of the Republic of Serbia was changed from 2.8 to 2.9. The exclusion rate of Switzerland was changed from 4.1 to 4.2. The exclusion rate of the U.S. was changed from 5.3 to 5.4.

Supplemental Tables:

Table M1: The OECD average percentage at proficiency level 4 was changed from 18.2 to 18.1. The S.E. for percentage below proficiency level 1 for Belgium was changed from .56 to .58. The percentage at proficiency level 1 for Belgium was changed from 11.9 to 12.0; the S.E. was changed from .61 to .52. The S.E. for percentage at proficiency level 2 for Belgium was changed from .60 to .58. The percentage at

proficiency level 3 for Belgium was changed from 22.6 to 22.4; the S.E. was changed from .72 to .70. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for Belgium was changed from 20.7 to 20.6; the S.E. was changed from .62 to .63. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 5 was changed from .52 to .73. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 6 was changed from .38 to .43. The S.E. for percentage below proficiency level 1 for Canada changed from .29 to .28. The S.E. for percentage at proficiency level 3 for Canada changed from .63 to .62.

Figure M1a: The percentage at proficiency levels 5 and above for Belgium was changed from 19 to 20.

Table M1b: The percentage below proficiency level 2 for Belgium was changed from 18.9 to 19.0; the S.E. was changed from .83 to .82. The percentage at proficiency levels 5 and above for Belgium was changed from 19.4 to 19.5; the S.E. was changed from .73 to .76.

Table M2: For the quantity subscale: The percentage at proficiency level 1 for Denmark was changed from 13.0 to 12.9; the S.E. was changed from .72 to .71. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for Denmark was changed from 22.8 to 22.9; the S.E. was changed from .75 to .78. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for Denmark was changed from 25.9 to 26.1; the S.E. was changed from .89 to .90. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for Denmark was changed from 20.2 to 20.0; the S.E. was changed from .79 to .84. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for Denmark was changed from 9.8 to 9.7; the S.E. was changed from .64 to .59. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for Denmark was changed from 2.8 to 2.9; the S.E. was changed from .29 to .30. The percentage below proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 10.7 to 10.9; the SE was changed from 1.02 to 1.01. The percentage at proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 18.5 to 18.3; the S.E. was changed from .99 to 1.06. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for the U.S. was changed from 24.1 to 24.0; the S.E. was changed from .81 to .78. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for the U.S. was changed from 21.6 to 21.5; the S.E. was changed from .84 to .97. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for the U.S. was changed from 14.6 to 14.7; the S.E. was changed from .84 to .93. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for the U.S. was changed from 7.5 to 7.6; the S.E. was changed from .65 to .66. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 6 for the U.S. was changed from .38 to .41.

For the uncertainty and data subscale: The percentage at proficiency level 1 for the OECD average was changed from 14.8 to 14.7. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 3 for the OECD average was changed from .15 to .16. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for the OECD average was changed from 3.2 to 3.3. The percentage below proficiency level 1 for Denmark was changed from 4.4 to 4.5; the S.E. was changed from .49 to .50. The S.E. for proficiency level 1 for Denmark was changed from .81 to .82. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for Denmark was changed from 23.2 to 23.3; the S.E. was changed from .82 to .95. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for Denmark was changed from 28.2 to 27.8; the S.E. was changed from .86 to .89. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 4 for Denmark was changed from .80 to .81. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for Denmark was changed from 9.3 to 9.4; the S.E. was changed from .69 to .68. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for Denmark was changed from 2.2 to 2.3. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 1 for Italy was changed from .57 to .56. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 4 for Italy was changed from .53 to .52. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 5 for Italy was changed from .40 to .39. The percentage below proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 7.0 to 7.1; the S.E. was changed from .74 to .70. The percentage at proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 16.2 to 16.0; the S.E. was changed from .96 to .99. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 2 for the U.S. was changed from .84 to .92. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for the U.S. was changed from 25.0 to 24.8; the S.E. was changed from .90 to .99. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 4 for the U.S. was changed from 1.02 to 1.11.

The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 5 for the U.S. was changed from .72 to .76. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for the U.S. was changed from 2.0 to 2.1; the S.E. was changed from .31 to .33.

For the change and relationships subscale: The percentage at proficiency level 6 for the OECD average was changed from 4.5 to 4.6. The percentage at proficiency level 1 for Denmark was changed from 14.5 to 14.7; the S.E. was changed from .67 to .69. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for Denmark was changed from 23.9 to 23.7. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for Denmark was changed from 25.8 to 25.9; the S.E. was changed from .91 to .88. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 4 for Denmark was changed from .71 to .68. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for Denmark was changed from 8.7 to 8.6; the S.E. was changed from .68 to .62. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for Denmark was changed from 2.5 to 2.6; the S.E. was changed from .34 to .32. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 2 for Italy was changed from .54 to .55. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 4 for Italy was changed from .47 to .46. The percentage below proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 8.0 to 7.9; the S.E. was changed from .74 to .73. The percentage at proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 16.8 to 17.0; the S.E. was changed from 1.00 to .96. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for the U.S. was changed from 24.2 to 24.0; the S.E. was changed from .89 to .92. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 3 for the U.S. was changed from .80 to .91. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for the U.S. was changed from 15.8 to 15.7; the S.E. was changed from .77 to .79. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for the U.S. was changed from 8.1 to 8.2; the S.E. was changed from .71 to .69. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 6 for the U.S. was changed from .45 to .39.

For the space and shape subscale: The percentage below proficiency level 1 for Denmark was changed from 5.0 to 5.1; the S.E. was changed from .52 to .54. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 1 for Denmark was changed from .68 to .65. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for Denmark was changed from 29.2 to 29.0; the S.E. was changed from .81 to .80. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for Denmark was changed from 19.1 to 18.9; the S.E. was changed from .69 to .71. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for Denmark was changed from 7.5 to 7.6; the S.E. was changed from .68 to .60. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for Denmark was changed from 1.8 to 1.9; the S.E. was changed from .27 to .28. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 2 for Italy was changed from .58 to .57. The S.E. for the percentage below proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 1.05 to 1.02. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from .99 to .98. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for the U.S. was changed from 25.1 to 25.0; the S.E. was changed from .96 to .87. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for the U.S. was changed from 20.5 to 20.6; the S.E. was changed from .98 to .91. In addition, percentage of students at proficiency level 3 in the U.S. changed from being greater than to not measurably different than the OECD average. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 4 for the U.S. was changed from .83 to .84. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 5 for the U.S. was changed from .53 to .54. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 6 for the U.S. was changed from .35 to .36.

Table M3: For the formulating subscale: The percentage below proficiency level 1 for Colombia was changed from 43.1 to 43.2; the S.E. was changed from 1.76 to 1.75. The percentage at proficiency level 1 for Colombia was changed from 29.7 to 29.2; the S.E. was changed from 1.06 to .98. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 2 for Colombia was changed from .90 to .89. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for Colombia was changed from 7.0 to 7.2; the S.E. was changed from .65 to .63. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for Colombia was changed from 2.1 to 2.2. The percentage below proficiency level 1 for Italy was changed from 12.5 to 12.4. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 1 for Italy was changed from .51 to .52. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 3 for Italy

was changed from .55 to .54. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 4 for Italy was changed from .42 to .43. The S.E. for the percentage below proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 1.09 to 1.03. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 1.03 to .96. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for the U.S. was changed from 24.6 to 24.5; the S.E. was changed from 1.06 to 1.02. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for the U.S. was changed from 21.6 to 21.5; the S.E. was changed from 1.22 to 1.16. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for the U.S. was changed from 13.7 to 13.8; the S.E. was changed from 1.12 to 1.17. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for the U.S. was changed from 7.0 to 6.9; the S.E. was changed from .69 to .62. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for the U.S. was changed from 3.0 to 3.1; the S.E. was changed from .42 to .43.

For the employing subscale: The percentage below proficiency level 1 for Colombia was changed from 46.9 to 46.8; the S.E. was changed from 1.70 to 1.62. The percentage at proficiency level 1 for Colombia was changed from 28.4 to 28.2; the S.E. was changed from 1.00 to .88. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for Colombia was changed from 16.2 to 16.3; the S.E. was changed from .97 to .86. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 3 for Colombia was changed from .69 to .63. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for Colombia was changed from 1.8 to 2.0; the S.E. was changed from .33 to .30. The estimate for the percentage at proficiency level 5 for Colombia no longer needs to be interpreted with caution. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 3 for Italy was changed from .56 to .57. The percentage below proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 8.6 to 8.7; the S.E. was changed from .84 to .77. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 1.11 to 1.05. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for the U.S. was changed from 26.0 to 25.9; the S.E. was changed from 1.06 to 1.13. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for the U.S. was changed from 23.7 to 23.6; the S.E. was changed from .86 to .87. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 4 for the U.S. was changed from .81 to .78. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 5 for the U.S. was changed from .66 to .63.

For the interpreting subscale: The S.E. for the percentage below proficiency level 1 for Colombia was changed from 1.40 to 1.41. The percentage at proficiency level 1 for Colombia was changed from 33.4 to 32.8; the S.E. was changed from 1.09 to 1.03. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for Colombia was changed from 22.3 to 22.2; the S.E. was changed from .97 to .91. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for Colombia was changed from 7.4 to 7.8; the S.E. was changed from .63 to .62. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for Colombia was changed from 1.9 to 2.1; the S.E. was changed from .30 to .28. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for Colombia was changed from .2 to .3; the S.E. was changed from .09 to .08. The estimate for the percentage at proficiency level 5 for Colombia no longer needs to be interpreted with caution. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 3 for Italy was changed from .54 to .53. The percentage below proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 8.3 to 8.1; the S.E. was changed from .89 to .83. The percentage at proficiency level 1 for the U.S. was changed from 16.1 to 16.3; the S.E. was changed from .86 to .88. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for the U.S. was changed from 23.9 to 24.0; the S.E. was changed from .86 to .97. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for the U.S. was changed from 22.9 to 23.0; the S.E. was changed from .85 to .90. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for the U.S. was changed from 16.9 to 17.0; the S.E. was changed from .99 to 1.02. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for the U.S. was changed from 8.7 to 8.4; the S.E. was changed from .64 to .62. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for the U.S. was changed from 3.1 to 3.2; the S.E. was changed from .41 to .43.

Table M5: For the quantity subscale: The U.S. moved from below the Russian Federation to above the Russian Federation.

For the uncertainty and data subscale: The U.S. moved from below the Czech Republic to above the Czech Republic.

Table M6: For the formulating subscale: The average score for the U.S. was changed from 475 to 476.

For the employing subscale: The average score for Colombia was changed from 367 to 368; the S.E. was changed from 3.3 to 3.2. The average score of Israel was changed from being not measurably different from the U.S. to lower than the U.S.

For the interpreting subscale: The average score for the OECD average was changed from being higher than the U.S. to not measurably different from the U.S. The average score for the U.S. was changed from 489 to 490. The average score for Colombia was changed from 387 to 388.

Table M7: The 10th percentile S.E. for Belgium was changed from 4.0 to 3.9. The 25th percentile cut score for Belgium was changed from 444 to 443; the S.E. was changed from 3.1 to 3.4. The 75th percentile S.E. for Belgium was changed from 2.4 to 2.8. The 90th percentile S.E. for Belgium was changed from 2.7 to 2.5. The 90th-10th percentile S.E. for Belgium was changed from 4.9 to 4.6.

Figure M2a, Table M2b: The male-female difference for Belgium was changed from 6 to 11, and the placement of Belgium was changed from being in between Iceland and Malaysia to in between the Netherlands and Portugal. In addition, the male-female difference in scores was changed from being not measurably different to being statistically different.

Table M9: The OECD average percentage at proficiency level 4 was changed from 18.2 to 18.1.

Table M11: The OECD average percentage at proficiency level 4 was changed from 18.2 to 18.1.

Table S1: The OECD average percentage at proficiency level 6 was changed from 1.2 to 1.1. The percentage below proficiency level 1 for Belgium was changed from 5.8 to 5.9; the S.E. was changed from .47 to .53. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 1 for Belgium was changed from .61 to .58. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 2 for Belgium was changed from .69 to .63. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 3 for Belgium was changed from .72 to .71. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for Belgium was changed from 22.9 to 23.0; the S.E. was changed from .61 to .66. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for Belgium was changed from 8.3 to 8.1; the S.E. was changed from .41 to .42. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for Belgium was changed from 1.0 to .9; the S.E. was changed from .13 to .16.

Figure S1a: The percentage at proficiency level 5 and above for Belgium was changed from being significantly different from the U.S. to not measurably different from the U.S.

Table S1b: The percentage below proficiency level 2 for Belgium was changed from 17.6 to 17.7; the S.E. was changed from .84 to .86. The percentage at proficiency levels 5 and above for Belgium was changed from 9.3 to 9.1; the S.E. was changed from .46 to .43. The percentage at proficiency level 5 and above for Belgium was changed from being significantly different from the U.S. to not measurably different from the U.S.

Table S2: The S.E. for Belgium was changed from 2.1 to 2.2.

Table S3: The 10th percentile cut score for Belgium was changed from 369 to 368. The 25th percentile S.E. was changed from 3.1 to 3.3. The 75th percentile cut score for Belgium was changed from 579 to 577; the S.E. was changed from 2.0 to 2.5. The 90th percentile cut score for Belgium was changed from 630 to 629; the S.E. was changed from 2.1 to 2.0. The 90th-10th percentile S.E. for Belgium was changed from 5.0 to 4.9. The 90th percentile S.E. for Canada changed from 2.5 to 2.6.

Figure S2a: The male-female difference for Belgium was changed from 0 to 4, and the placement of Belgium was changed from being in between the OECD average and Germany to in between Norway and Ireland.

Table S2b: The male-female difference for Belgium was changed from 0 to 4; the S.E. was changed from being not applicable and not shown, to 3.6. In addition, the placement of Belgium was changed from being in between the OECD average and Germany to in between Norway and Ireland.

Table S4: The OECD average percentage at proficiency level 6 was changed from 1.2 to 1.1.

Table R1: The S.E. for the percentage below proficiency level 1b for Belgium was changed from .33 to .31. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 1b for Belgium was changed from .38 to .40. The percentage at proficiency level 1a for Belgium was changed from 10.5 to 10.4; the S.E. was changed from .57 to .55. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for Belgium was changed from 20.2 to 20.4; the S.E. was changed from .60 to .62. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 3 for Belgium was changed from .72 to .70. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for Belgium was changed from 24.0 to 24.4; the S.E. was changed from .61 to .71. The percentage at proficiency level 5 for Belgium was changed from 10.7 to 10.4; the S.E. was changed from .51 to .54. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for Belgium was changed from 1.6 to 1.4; the S.E. was changed from .19 to .17.

Figure R1a: The placement of Belgium was changed from above Chinese Taipei, to below Chinese Taipei.

Table R1b: The percentage below proficiency level 2 for Belgium was changed from 16.2 to 16.1; the S.E. was changed from .77 to .81. The percentage at proficiency levels 5 and above for Belgium was changed from 12.3 to 11.8; the S.E. was changed from .55 to .60. The placement of Belgium was changed from above Chinese Taipei, to below Chinese Taipei.

Table R2: The S.E. for Belgium was changed from 2.2 to 2.3. The placement of Belgium was changed from being in between the Netherlands and Switzerland to in between Vietnam and Macao-China. The placement of Canada was changed from being below Chinese Taipei to above Chinese Taipei.

Table R3: The OECD average 75th percentile S.E. was changed from .60 to .50. The 10th percentile cut score for Belgium was changed from 372 to 373. The 75th percentile S.E. for Belgium was changed from 2.7 to 2.2. The 90th percentile cut score for Belgium was changed from 635 to 633. The 90th-10th cut score gap for Belgium was changed from 264 to 260; the S.E. was changed from 4.9 to 4.8. The placement of Belgium was changed from being in between Singapore and Slovak Republic to in between Norway and Singapore. The 25th percentile S.E. for Canada changed from 2.3 to 2.2.

Table R2b: The S.E. for the male-female difference for Belgium was changed from 3.4 to 3.5.

Table T2: The S.E. for the change in average score between 2012-2003 for Belgium was changed from 3.6 to 3.7. The S.E. for the change in average score between 2012-2009 for Belgium was changed from 3.8 to 3.9.

Table T3: The S.E. for 2012 for Belgium was changed from 2.1 to 2.2. The change in average score between 2012-2009 for Belgium was changed from -1 to -2; the S.E. was changed from 3.8 to 3.9.

Table T4: The S.E. for 2012 for Belgium was changed from 2.2 to 2.3. The change in average score between 2012-2000 was changed from 2 to 1; the S.E. was changed from 7.2 to 7.3. The S.E. for the change in average score between 2012-2003 was changed from 6.5 to 6.6. The S.E. for the change in average score between 2012-2009 was changed from 4.1 to 4.2. The placement of Belgium was changed from being in between the Netherlands and Switzerland to in between Macao-China and Vietnam.

Table CM1: The OECD average percentage at proficiency level 4 was changed from 19.7 to 19.8. The OECD average S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 6 was changed from .10 to .09. The percentage below proficiency level 1 for Belgium was changed from 7.2 to 6.8; the S.E. was changed from .52 to .53. The percentage at proficiency level 1 for Belgium was changed from 11.1 to 11.0; the S.E. was changed from .54 to .60. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for Belgium was changed from 18.8 to 18.9; the S.E. was changed from .67 to .63. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 3 for Belgium was changed from .74 to .75. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for Belgium was changed from 21.3 to 21.9; the S.E. was changed from .67 to .64. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 5 for Belgium was changed from .66 to .59. The percentage at proficiency level 6 for Belgium was changed from 4.7 to 4.5; the S.E. was changed from .40 to .37. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 1 for Canada changed from .42 to .41. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 5 for the Republic of Korea changed from 1.23 to 1.22.

Table CM2: The average score for Belgium was changed from 511 to 512; the S.E. was changed from 2.4 to 2.5.

Figure CM1a: The OECD average male-female difference was changed from 12 to 13. The male-female difference for Belgium was changed from 9 to 14, and the placement of Belgium was changed from being in between Norway and Estonia to in between the Russian Federation and Japan.

Table CM1b: The OECD average male-female difference was changed from 12 to 13; the S.E. was changed from .7 to .8. The male-female difference for Belgium was changed from 9 to 14, and the placement of Belgium was changed from being in between Norway and Estonia to in between the Russian Federation and Japan.

Table CR1: The OECD average percentage above proficiency level 4 was changed from 8.0 to 7.9. The S.E. for the percentage below proficiency level 2 for Belgium was changed from .84 to .87. The percentage at proficiency level 2 for Belgium was changed from 20.0 to 20.2; the S.E. was changed from .77 to .71. The percentage at proficiency level 3 for Belgium was changed from 29.6 to 29.3; the S.E. was changed from .89 to .86. The percentage at proficiency level 4 for Belgium was changed from 24.0 to 24.4; the S.E. was changed from .79 to .81. The percentage above proficiency level 4 for Belgium was changed from 9.1 to 9.0; the S.E. was changed from .70 to .64. The S.E. for the percentage at proficiency level 3 for the Republic of Korea was changed from 1.27 to 1.28.

Table CR2: The OECD average S.E. was changed from .6 to .7. The S.E. for Belgium was changed from 2.5 to 2.6.

Figure CR1a: The female-male difference for Belgium was changed from 24 to 25, and the placement of Belgium was changed from being in between Denmark and Ireland to in between Ireland and Austria.

Table CR1b: The OECD average S.E. was changed from .6 to .8. The female-male difference for Belgium was changed from 24 to 25, and the placement of Belgium was changed from being in between Denmark and Ireland to in between Ireland and Austria.

Table A5, A11, A17: The placement of Belgium was changed from being in between the Netherlands and Switzerland to in between Macao-China and before Vietnam. The placement of Canada was changed from being below Chinese Taipei to above Chinese Taipei.

Table AB2: The exclusion rate of Bulgaria was changed from 2.5 to 2.6. The exclusion rate of Denmark was changed from 6.1 to 6.2. The exclusion rate of Estonia was changed from 5.7 to 5.8. The exclusion rate of France was changed from 4.3 to 4.4. The exclusion rate of Kazakhstan was changed from 3.3 to 3.4. The exclusion rate of Latvia was changed from 3.9 to 4.0. The exclusion rate of Luxembourg was changed from 8.3 to 8.4. The exclusion rate of the Netherlands was changed from 4.3 to 4.4. The exclusion rate of Romania was changed from 3.4 to 3.5. The exclusion rate of the Republic of Serbia was changed from 2.8 to 2.9. The exclusion rate of Switzerland was changed from 4.1 to 4.2. The exclusion rate of the U.S. was changed from 5.3 to 5.4.