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Mapping states’ standards onto the NAEP scales

Under the 2001 and 2015 reauthorizations of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, states are required to define and report their standards of reading and mathematics for grades 4 and 8. Because each state sets its own standards, students who meet the standards set by one state may not be able to meet the standards set by another state. Comparing the stringency of the standards set by the states is possible because Congress mandated states to participate in the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). NAEP provides a common scale on which the stringency of the various state criteria for proficiency can be compared.

The NAEP equivalent score—that is, the NAEP score that corresponds to a state's standard—is determined by a direct application of equipercentile mapping. For a given grade and subject, the percentage of students in each NAEP school who met the state assessment standard is matched to the point on the NAEP scale corresponding to that percentage. For example, if 70 percent of the students in grade 4 in a particular school are meeting the state reading achievement standard and 70 percent of the students in the NAEP achievement distribution in that school are at or above 241 on the NAEP scale, then the best estimate using the results from that school is that the state's standard is equivalent to 241 on the NAEP scale. Results are then aggregated over all schools in the state that are participating in NAEP to provide an estimate of the NAEP score that is equivalent to the state's threshold for its standard. By extension, when estimating the NAEP scores equivalent to the standard of a common assessment shared by a group of states, all schools participating in NAEP in those states are included in the estimation.

The classification of NAEP equivalent scores into NAEP achievement levels accounts for the error associated with the estimates. A state's NAEP equivalent score is assigned the highest NAEP achievement level for which the upper bound of the score's margin of error equals or exceeds cut score of the achievement level. For example, in grade 4 reading, a state with a NAEP equivalent score of 236 and a standard error of 1.5 would have an upper bound of 239, calculated by (236 + 2 x 1.5). Since 239 exceeds the NAEP Proficient cut score of 238 but does not exceed the NAEP Advanced cut score of 268, the state's standard would be classified as NAEP Proficient. However, if the score's standard error were 0.5, its upper bound would be 237, calculated by (236 + 2 x 0.5). Since 237 exceeds the NAEP Basic cut score of 208 but does not exceed the NAEP Proficient cut score of 238, the state's standard would be classified as NAEP Basic. NAEP achievement level cut scores for 2019 can be found in table 3 in the main report (NCES 2021-036).

In reporting the mapping results, in addition to the NAEP equivalent scores, two types of error—standard error and relative error—are presented to describe the sources of variation in the mapping of state proficiency standards. The sources of random variation (measurement error and sampling variation) are accounted for by the standard error of the mapping, and the amount of error that is added to the placement of the standard, given the fact that NAEP and the state assessment may not measure exactly the same knowledge and skills, is captured in the relative error. This measure is based on the accuracy with which school-level percentages of students meeting the state standard are reproduced by applying the cut score indicated by the linkage to the NAEP results in each school, after taking into account measurement variation in NAEP and NAEP student sampling within each participating school.
A relative error greater than 0.5 (i.e., when the mapping error accounts for more than half of the total variation) indicates that the error is too large to support useful inferences from the placement of the state standard onto the NAEP scale without additional evidence. In the figures and tables in this report, a black triangle indicates that the relative error is greater than 0.5.

Additional details on the mapping methodology can be found in the previously published report NCES 2010-456.
This section provides two supporting data tables. **Tables A-1 and A-2** display the NAEP equivalent scores for each state, and the last two rows show the NAEP equivalent scores for the testing programs when all participating states in each program are considered as one single jurisdiction. A black triangle indicates that the relative error is greater than 0.5, and the results should be interpreted with caution.

Data tables with the complete NAEP scale score equivalents can be found at [https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/data_tables.aspx](https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/data_tables.aspx) for 2009 and 2017.

**Table A-1. NAEP equivalent scores for state grade 4 reading and mathematics standards for proficient performance, by state and testing program: 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Testing program</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NAEP equivalent score</td>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>NAEP equivalent score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>PARCC</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>PARCC</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>PARCC</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes at end of table.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Testing program</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NAEP equivalent score</td>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>NAEP equivalent score</td>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire²</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>227 ▲</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico³</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>180 ▲</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARCC</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBAC¹</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not available
† Not applicable
▲ Interpret data with caution. Relative error greater than 0.5.
¹ Connecticut was not included in estimating the SBAC reading standard because the state did not use all SBAC reading assessment components.
² New Hampshire was not included in the study because the state did not use the same assessment for all students in grade 4 for reading and mathematics.
³ The NAEP equivalent score of Puerto Rico’s reading standard is not available because the NAEP reading assessment was not administered in the jurisdiction.
NOTE: West Virginia was not included in grade 4 reading because reading data were not available. Summary tables displaying the relative error are available at https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/. PARCC refers to Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers, and SBAC refers to Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium.
Table A-2. NAEP equivalent scores for state grade 8 reading and mathematics standards for proficient performance, by state and testing program: 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Testing program</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAEP equivalent score</td>
<td>Standard error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td></td>
<td>263</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td>272</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td></td>
<td>261</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td></td>
<td>272</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut¹</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>PARCC</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td></td>
<td>278</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td>269</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td></td>
<td>288</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>PARCC</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td></td>
<td>261</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>PARCC</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td></td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
<td>272</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td></td>
<td>269</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td>265</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire²</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td>261</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
<td>268 ▲</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td></td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes at end of table.
## Table A-2. NAEP equivalent scores for state grade 8 reading and mathematics standards for proficient performance, by state and testing program: 2019—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Testing program</th>
<th>NAEP equivalent score</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>NAEP equivalent score</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td>261</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
<td>276</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td></td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td>266</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
<td>285</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
<td>277</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>SBAC</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
<td>282</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td></td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARCC&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBAC&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>262</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

— Not available.
† Not applicable.
▲ Interpret data with caution. Relative error greater than 0.5.
<sup>1</sup> Connecticut was not included in estimating the SBAC reading standard because the state did not use all SBAC reading assessment components.
<sup>2</sup> New Hampshire was not included in the study because the state did not use the same assessment for all students in grade 8 for reading and mathematics.
<sup>3</sup> The NAEP equivalent score of Puerto Rico’s reading standard is not available because the NAEP reading assessment was not administered in the jurisdiction.
<sup>4</sup> The NAEP equivalent score of the PARCC standards for grade 8 mathematics was not estimated because all states using PARCC did not require all students to take a general mathematics assessment.

NOTE: States that did not require all eligible students to take a general grade 8 reading or mathematics assessment were not included in the analysis. West Virginia was not included in grade 8 reading because reading data were not available. Summary tables displaying the relative error are available at [https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/](https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/). PARCC refers to Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers, and SBAC refers to Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium.
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