

# A Profile of State Assessment Programs 2009

Since 2003, the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) has supported research that compares the proficiency standards of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) with those of individual states. State assessments are placed onto a common scale defined by NAEP, which allows states' proficiency standards to be compared not only to NAEP, but also to each other.<sup>1</sup> While the mapped NAEP equivalent scores of state standards are useful in determining the relative rigor of states' proficiency standards, the results of the studies should be interpreted with caution. Variations among states can be due to many factors, including differences in assessment frameworks, test specifications, the psychometric properties of the tests, the definition of Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) standards, and the standard-setting process.

In 2007, in collaboration with the Education Information Management Advisory Consortium (EIMAC)—Task Force on Assessment of the Council of Chief State School Officers—NCES conducted a survey of state assessment programs to gain contextual information about the states' assessment programs in 2006–07 and to note changes in their assessments between the 2004–05 and 2006–07 school years that could affect the interpretation of the mapping results. The NAEP State Coordinator in every state was asked to provide information about the state's testing program through an online survey. After this information was verified and confirmed by the NAEP State Coordinator of each state, it was summarized in individual state profiles.<sup>2</sup> These profiles were designed in collaboration with a panel of NAEP State Coordinators.

In support of the 2009 Mapping Study, NAEP State Coordinators were asked by NCES to update the information collected on their state assessment program in 2007. Following similar verification steps, the information was summarized into profiles to provide a concise snapshot of all state assessment programs in the 2008–09 school year. Each profile presents information on the grades and subjects tested during the 2008–09 year, state performance levels and performance level descriptors, the composition of main state assessments, and changes to the state assessments between 2006–07 and 2008–09.

A sample profile is shown below. Information on the state assessment programs is presented in nine blocks. The first block combines all subjects. The remaining blocks (2–9) are presented twice, once for Reading/Language Arts and then for Mathematics. The example that follows is for Reading/Language Arts only. Some answers may have been edited for consistency or for space limitations; however, the substance of all answers is unchanged from what states provided to the NAEP State Coordinators. All web addresses in these profiles were verified on May 15, 2011. In any block, the symbol “—” indicates that a state's information was either not provided (for example, if there is no information on performance level descriptors of an alternate assessment for meeting AYP) or not applicable (for example, if the information relates to the proportion of the test score from short constructed response items, but the test does not use short constructed response items).

---

<sup>1</sup> Documents that discuss the research on NAEP and state proficiency standards are available at: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/>.

<sup>2</sup> The 2007 State Profiles are available at: [http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/profile\\_standards\\_2007.asp](http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/profile_standards_2007.asp).

**Block 1** summarizes information about each state’s testing program: the name of the program, the different tests, the type and format of each test, the grades and subjects tested, and the tests’ purpose. States were asked to enter up to four tests in Mathematics, English Language Arts, Reading, and Science. Response options for test type were: regular, alternate, modified, and portfolio assessments.<sup>3</sup> Response options for test format were: criterion-referenced (CRT), norm-referenced (NRT), combination CRT/NRT, and other formats. Response options for test purpose were: instructional, student accountability, school accountability, staff accountability, and other. Additional information provided by NAEP State Coordinators summarizing their states’ tests and test purposes is included at the end of the block. An accessible table containing the information in this block can be found at: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/block1.asp>.

Block 1		Example State																		
		State Assessment and Accountability Program (SAAP)																		
Component		Test		Grades Tested												Test Purpose <sup>1</sup>				
		Type	Format	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Instructional	Student Accountability	School Accountability	Staff Accountability
<b>Language Arts</b>																				
Comprehensive Assessments of State Students (CASS)		Regular	CRT		√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√				√		√	
CASS - Alternate (CASS-Alt)		Alternate	CRT	√			√	√	√	√	√	√	√				√		√	
<b>Mathematics</b>																				
CASS		Regular	CRT		√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√				√		√	
CASS-Alt		Alternate	CRT	√			√	√	√	√	√	√	√				√		√	
<b>Science</b>																				
CASS		Regular	CRT							√		√					√		√	
CASS-Alt		Alternate	CRT							√		√					√		√	

<sup>1</sup> Example purposes: Instructional: student diagnosis, student placement, instructional planning, program evaluation, improvement of instruction for groups of students, etc.  
Student Accountability: student awards/recognition, honors diploma, student promotion/retention, required remediation, exit requirement, etc.  
School Accountability: monetary awards/penalties, school accreditation, school performance reporting, high school skills guarantee, school improvement plans, etc.  
Staff Accountability: staff awards/recognition, salary increases, staff dismissal, staff evaluation or certification, staff monetary penalties, etc.

<sup>3</sup> For reference, definitions of different types of assessments are available at the National Center on Educational Outcomes (NCEO) website, at: <http://www.cehd.umn.edu/NCEO/TopicAreas/AlternateAssessments/altAssessTopic.htm>.

**Block 2** summarizes information about the composition of the main state assessments in 2008–09 for grades 4 and 8 in Reading/Language Arts and Mathematics. It shows the number of items for each type of question and each type’s respective weight in the final score. If the state indicated that an item type was not used, the type’s weight is indicated by “—.” An accessible table containing the information in this block can be found at:

<http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/block2.asp> .

**Block 3** includes additional information about the timing of the assessments and whether assessments measured skills acquired only in prior grades. An accessible table containing the information in this block can be found at: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/block3.asp>.

**Block 4** summarizes information about the assessments and performance levels used by the state in 2008–09 for state accountability for grades 4 and 8 in Reading/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as the assessments and performance levels used to determine AYP. The symbol “—” indicates that the information was not provided (e.g., if the state did not provide additional information about performance levels used during the 2008–09 academic year). An accessible table containing the information in this block can be found at: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/block4.asp>.

<b>Example State</b>		<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>									
<b>Block 2</b>	<b>Composition of the Main Reading/Language Arts Test in 2008–09</b>										
		Multiple Choice		Short Constructed Response		Extended Constructed Response		Performance Tasks		Other	
		Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score
	<b>Grade 4</b>	24	50%	0	—	3	50%	0	—	0	—
	<b>Grade 8</b>	24	50%	0	—	3	50%	0	—	0	—
<b>Block 3</b>	<b>Administration of the Main Reading/Language Arts Test in 2008–09</b>										
	Were any of the 2008–09 assessments used for AYP reporting for grades 4 or 8 administered in the fall 2008?							No.			
<b>Block 4</b>	<b>Performance Levels and AYP</b>										
	Performance levels used during the 2008–09 year						Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, and Advanced				
	Test used for AYP determination						Comprehensive Assessments of State Students (CASS)				
	Performance level used for AYP						Proficient				
	Other tests used for AYP determination						CASS-Alt assessments are used in AYP determination.				
	Test used for state accountability						CASS				
	Performance level used for state accountability						Proficient				
	First implementation of performance standards for the 2008–09 assessments						2005–06 school year				
Additional information about performance levels used during the 2008–09 academic year						—					

**Block 5** provides the performance level descriptors used for meeting AYP in 2008–09 assessments for grades 4 and 8 in Reading/Language Arts and Mathematics. The descriptors correspond to the proficient performance level as it is defined by each state. A web address is included if the state provided a link. An accessible table containing the information in this block can be found at: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/block5.asp>.

**Block 6** lists the performance level descriptors used for meeting AYP in 2008–09 alternate assessments for grades 4 and 8 in Reading/Language Arts and Mathematics. The descriptors correspond to the proficient performance level as it is defined by each state. A “—” indicates that the state did not provide performance level descriptors. A web address is included if the state provided a link. An accessible table containing the information in this block can be found at: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/block6.asp>.

	Example State	Reading/Language Arts
<b>Block 5</b>	<p><b>Performance Level Descriptors for Meeting Adequate Yearly Progress</b></p> <p><b>Grade 4:</b> Students performing at the Proficient level demonstrate a fundamental understanding of what they read by applying various strategies when reading textual/informational, functional, and literary/recreational materials. To some degree these students use various skills and strategies, including demonstrating a knowledge of sentence structure, making inferences, and distinguishing fiction from nonfiction. They recognize some literary elements and devices including characters, similes, and important details as they read literary/recreational text. As a part of understanding informational/textual and functional materials, students at this level are beginning to locate information, identify important details, use sentence structure, and distinguish fact from fiction. Their vocabulary knowledge includes recognition of some antonyms, synonyms, and some use of structural analysis skills.</p> <p>Performance level descriptors are available online at  <a href="http://www.STDOE.st.gov/pdf/AchievementLevelsGrade4.pdf">http://www.STDOE.st.gov/pdf/AchievementLevelsGrade4.pdf</a></p>	<p><b>Grade 8:</b> Students performing at the Proficient level utilize strategies to make inferences to determine bias or theme and use specific context clues to determine some word meanings. They can distinguish among characteristics of some types of poetry such as ballads, epics, haikus, limericks, and lyrics. They often identify literary elements and can describe their impact on setting, mood, characterization, or theme. These students also are able to identify the elements of plot.</p>
<b>Block 6</b>	<p><b>Performance Level Descriptors of Alternate Assessment for Meeting Adequate Yearly Progress</b></p> <p><b>Grade 4:</b> A fourth-grade student performing at the Proficient level on the Example State Extended Standards in Reading demonstrates fundamental knowledge that meets the extended standards in multiple phoneme words, reading simple sentences, synonyms, literary elements (main characters and details), and letter blends. This knowledge might be demonstrated at different complexity levels and with varying degrees of assistance.</p> <p>Performance level descriptors are available online at:  <a href="http://www.STDOE.st.gov/pdf/AchievementLevels.pdf">http://www.STDOE.st.gov/pdf/AchievementLevels.pdf</a></p>	<p><b>Grade 8:</b> —</p>

**Block 7** presents changes to the main state assessment in Reading/Language Arts and Mathematics between the 2006–07 and 2008–09 school years. Each state self-reported whether the changes to its assessment were significant or not. For many states, additional information about the changes is included in a note below the block. An accessible table containing the information in this block can be found at: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/block7.asp>.

**Block 8** provides information about the comparability of the state assessments between 2006–07 and 2008–09. Specifically, it is the answer given to the survey question “Are the reported 2008–09 state assessment results for grades 4 and 8 Reading or Mathematics directly comparable with the 2006–07 reported results?” Each state self-reported whether its 2006–07 and 2008–09 assessments were comparable or not. An accessible table containing the information in this block can be found at: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/block8.asp>.

**Block 9** provides additional information about changes to the state assessment, inclusion policies, or administration of the state assessment between 2006–07 and 2008–09 that would have an impact on the ability to compare outcomes over time. An accessible table containing the information in this block can be found at: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/statemapping/block9.asp>.

**Example State**
**Reading/Language Arts**

**Block 7**

**Changes to State Assessments Between 2006–07 and 2008–09**

	No Significant Changes	Changed Cut Scores	Changed The Period of Administration	Changed Assessment Items	Used Entirely Different Assessment	Realigned To New Content Standards	Changed Proficiency Standards	Changed Accommodation Policy	Changed Re-Test Policy	Changed Test Contractors	Other Changes
Grade 4	√									•	
Grade 8	√									•	

**Block 8**

**Are the reported 2008–09 state assessment results for grades 4 and 8 directly comparable with the 2006–07 reported results?**

---

Yes, they can be compared. The testing contractors changed but the test and scales did not change.

---

**Block 9**

**Are there differences in the administration of assessments or in the reporting of outcomes between 2006–07 and 2008–09 due to policy or legislative changes having an impact on the ability to compare outcomes over time?**

---

None.

---

## Source

U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Survey of State Assessment Program Characteristics.

## Glossary

AYP	Adequate Yearly Progress
CRT	Criterion-Referenced Test
ECA	End-of-Course Assessments
ELA	English Language Arts
ELP	English Language Proficiency
EOC	End-of-Course Exams
EOG	End-of-Grade Exams
IEP	Individualized Education Program
LEA	Local Education Agency
LEP	Limited English Proficiency
NAEP	National Assessment of Educational Progress
NCLB	No Child Left Behind
NRT	Norm-Referenced Test
PLD	Performance Level Descriptor
SAT/10	Stanford Achievement Test – Tenth Edition
SEA	State Education Agency

# Florida

## Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

Component	Test		Grades Tested												Test Purpose <sup>1</sup>					
	Type	Format	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Instructional	Student Accountability	School Accountability	Staff Accountability	Other
<b>Reading</b>																				
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Reading	Regular	CRT				√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√			√	√	√		
Florida Alternate Assessment [2]	Alternate	CRT				√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√			√	√	√		
Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR) [3]	Regular	CRT	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√					[4]
<b>Mathematics</b>																				
FCAT Mathematics	Regular	CRT				√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√			√	√	√		
Florida Alternate Assessment [2]	Alternate	CRT				√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√			√	√	√		
<b>Science</b>																				
FCAT Science	Regular	CRT						√			√			√		√	√	√		
Florida Alternate Assessment [2]	Alternate	CRT						√			√			√		√	√	√		

<sup>1</sup> Example purposes: Instructional: student diagnosis, student placement, instructional planning, program evaluation, improvement of instruction for groups of students, etc.  
 Student Accountability: student awards/recognition, honors diploma, student promotion/retention, required remediation, exit requirement, etc.  
 School Accountability: monetary awards/penalties, school accreditation, school performance reporting, high school skills guarantee, school improvement plans, etc.  
 Staff Accountability: staff awards/recognition, salary increases, staff dismissal, staff evaluation or certification, staff monetary penalties, etc.

<sup>2</sup> The Florida Alternate Assessment is designed for students whose participation in the general statewide assessment (FCAT) is not appropriate even with accommodations. Aligned to the Sunshine State Standards (SSS) in Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science; the Florida Alternate Assessment measures student academic performance on the Sunshine State Standards Access Points at three levels of complexity, participatory, supported, and independent. Access Points are extensions of the general standards and capture the essence of the SSS with reduced levels of complexity. It is expected that only students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who are eligible under IDEA will participate in the Florida Alternate Assessment. Florida offers an Alternate Assessment for those students (a) unable to master the Sunshine State Standards, even with appropriate and allowable course accommodations; (b) unable to master standards based on demonstrated cognitive ability; (c) participating in a modified curriculum based on competencies in the Sunshine State Standards Access Points; (d) requiring extensive direct instruction in academic and vocational competencies as well as domestic, community living, and leisure activities; and (e) having deficits in adaptive behavior, as demonstrated by the inability to function effectively and independently in everyday living skills across a variety of settings.

<sup>3</sup> K–2 FAIR is administered three times a year to all students in K, 1, and 2. FAIR is administered three times a year to all students in grades 3–5. FAIR is administered three times a year to those students in grades 6–12 who score a Level 1 or 2 on the FCAT. The test is not required but is provided by the state to the districts at no cost to them. FAIR was developed by the Florida Center for Reading Research (FCRR) in collaboration with Just Read, Florida!. Additional information can be found at [www.fcrr.org/FAIR/index.shtml](http://www.fcrr.org/FAIR/index.shtml).

<sup>4</sup> Administered three times a year to provide screening, diagnostic, and progress monitoring information that is essential to guiding instruction.

**Composition of the Main Reading/Language Arts Test in 2008–09**

	Multiple Choice		Short Constructed Response		Extended Constructed Response		Performance Tasks		Other	
	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score
<b>Grade 4</b>	41	92%	3	6%	1	2%	0	—	0	—
<b>Grade 8</b>	41	92%	3	6%	1	2%	0	—	0	—

**Administration of the Main Reading/Language Arts Test in 2008–09**

Were any of the 2008–09 assessments used for AYP reporting for grades 4 or 8 administered in the fall of 2008?	No.
--	-----

**Performance Levels and AYP**

Performance levels used during the 2008–09 year	Levels 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5
Test used for AYP determination	FCAT Reading
Performance level used for AYP	Achievement Level 3 and above
Other tests used for AYP determination	Florida Alternate Assessment. Achievement Level 4 and above.
Test used for state accountability	FCAT Reading
Performance level used for state accountability	Achievement Level 3 and above
First implementation of performance standards for the 2008–09 assessments	1999
Additional information about performance levels used during the 2008–09 academic year	—

**Performance Level Descriptors for Meeting Adequate Yearly Progress**

**Grade 4:** Level 3 – This student has partial success with the challenging content of the Sunshine State Standards, but performance is inconsistent. A student scoring in Level 3 answers many of the test questions correctly but is generally less successful with questions that are the most challenging. (Proficient performance on the FCAT is described for students attaining achievement Levels 3–5 on the FCAT, with Level 1 being the lowest and Level 5 being the highest.)

Performance level descriptors are available online at:

<http://fcat.fldoe.org/pdf/fcAchievementLevels.pdf>

**Grade 8:** Level 3 – This student has partial success with the challenging content of the Sunshine State Standards, but performance is inconsistent. A student scoring in Level 3 answers many of the test questions correctly but is generally less successful with questions that are the most challenging. (Proficient performance on the FCAT is described for students attaining achievement Levels 3–5 on the FCAT, with Level 1 being the lowest and Level 5 being the highest.)

Performance level descriptors are available online at:

<http://fcat.fldoe.org/pdf/fcAchievementLevels.pdf>

**Performance Level Descriptors of Alternate Assessment for Meeting Adequate Yearly Progress**

**Grade 4:** This student has demonstrated some or all of the following grade-level access points skills:

- identify topic, characters, settings, actions and events in fictional stories;
- read one syllable high frequency sight words;
- create picture story from dictated sentences that identify characters, events, and/or setting;
- identify main idea and supporting details in stories and informational text;
- obtain information from illustrations and identify actions that lead to predictable effects;
- categorize pictures;
- relate new vocabulary to familiar words 8. identify common antonyms using pictures.

**Grade 8:** This student has demonstrated some or all of the following grade-level access points skills:

- identify characters, settings, actions, events, and/or main topic and solution in fiction and nonfiction stories;
- use information from nonfiction text to identify main idea and supporting details;
- organize information to demonstrate understanding;
- read text with high frequency sight words;
- use graphic organizer to identify similarities and differences and sequence of events in stories and informational text;
- identify topic, relevant details and facts to determine main idea;
- use content clues and graphics to determine meaning of unknown words 8. recognize new vocabulary that is introduced and taught directly.

**Changes to State Assessments Between 2007 and 2009**

	No Significant Changes	Changed Cut Scores	Changed The Period of Administration	Changed Assessment Items	Used Entirely Different Assessment	Realigned To New Content Standards	Changed Proficiency Standards	Changed Accommodation Policy	Changed Re-Test Policy	Changed Test Contractors	Other Changes
Grade 4	√										
Grade 8	√										

**Are the reported 2008–09 state assessment results for grades 4 and 8 directly comparable with the 2006–07 reported results?**

Yes.

**Are there differences in the administration of assessments or in the reporting of outcomes between 2006–07 and 2008–09 due to policy or legislative changes having an impact on the ability to compare outcomes over time?**

None.

**Composition of the Main Mathematics Test in 2008–09**

	Multiple Choice		Short Constructed Response		Extended Constructed Response		Performance Tasks		Other	
	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score	Number of Items	Proportion of Score
<b>Grade 4</b>	40	100%	0	—	0	—	0	—	0	—
<b>Grade 8</b>	30	60%	4	8%	2	4%	0	—	14	28%

Note: Grade 8 assessment includes gridded items.

**Administration of the Main Mathematics Test in 2008–09**

Were any of the 2008–09 assessments used for AYP reporting for grades 4 or 8 administered in the fall of 2008?	No.
--	-----

**Performance Levels and AYP**

Performance levels used during the 2008–09 year	Levels 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5
Test used for AYP determination	FCAT Mathematics
Performance level used for AYP	Achievement Level 3 and above
Other tests used for AYP determination	Florida Alternate Assessment: Achievement Level 4 and above.
Test used for state accountability	FCAT Mathematics
Performance level used for state accountability	Achievement Level 3 and above
First implementation of performance standards for the 2008–09 assessments	Grade 4: 2002; Grade 8: 1999
Additional information about performance levels used during the 2008–09 academic year	—

**Performance Level Descriptors for Meeting Adequate Yearly Progress**

**Grade 4:** Level 3: This student has partial success with the challenging content of the Sunshine State Standards, but performance is inconsistent. A student scoring in Level 3 answers many of the test questions correctly but is generally less successful with questions that are the most challenging. (Proficient performance on the FCAT is described for students attaining achievement Levels 3–5 on the FCAT, with Level 1 being the lowest and Level 5 being the highest.)

Performance level descriptors are available online at:

<http://fcats.fldoe.org/pdf/fcAchievementLevels.pdf>

**Grade 8:** Level 3: This student has partial success with the challenging content of the Sunshine State Standards, but performance is inconsistent. A student scoring in Level 3 answers many of the test questions correctly but is generally less successful with questions that are the most challenging. (Proficient performance on the FCAT is described for students attaining achievement Levels 3–5 on the FCAT, with Level 1 being the lowest and Level 5 being the highest.)

Performance level descriptors are available online at:

<http://fcats.fldoe.org/pdf/fcAchievementLevels.pdf>

**Performance Level Descriptors of Alternate Assessment for Meeting Adequate Yearly Progress**

**Grade 4:** This student has demonstrated some or all of the following grade-level access points skills:

- identify the meaning of mathematics symbols (+, -, and =);
- solve addition and subtraction facts using numbers paired with pictures and mathematics symbols for sums up to 12;
- recognize halves as part of a whole;
- apply concept of grouping to create sets of tens and ones up to 18;
- identify months using a calendar;
- identify a clock as a tool to tell time;
- measure length of an object to whole inches;
- count, compare, and order numbers from 0 to 18 using sets of objects and/or pictures paired with numbers;
- use ordinal numbering;
- determine if the number of objects in two sets are the same or different (equal or unequal);
- locate angles in a triangle.

**Grade 8:** This student has demonstrated some or all of the following grade-level access points skills:

- solve addition and subtraction number sentences involving one and two digit numbers using physical models, diagrams, tables, and pictographs;
- translate real-world situations into number sentences involving addition and subtraction using information from physical and visual models, tables, and pictographs;
- use counting and grouping to multiply and divide quantities to 50 using sets of the same number of objects;
- describe relationship between two sets of related numbers;
- express, represent, and use fractions including halves, fourths, and thirds, using whole objects or sets, pictures, number names, and numerals;
- use counting, grouping, and place value to identify values of whole numbers to 100;
- locate right angle of a triangle;
- use physical models to match triangles that are similar;
- measure the sides of a triangle;
- identify standard units of measurement for length, weight, and capacity;
- use a clock to identify time to the minute;
- identify mode in a set of data up to 5 numbers 13. organize data in pictographs and match labels for categories.

**Changes to State Assessments Between 2007 and 2009**

	No Significant Changes	Changed Cut Scores	Changed The Period of Administration	Changed Assessment Items	Used Entirely Different Assessment	Realigned To New Content Standards	Changed Proficiency Standards	Changed Accommodation Policy	Changed Re-Test Policy	Changed Test Contractors	Other Changes
Grade 4	√										
Grade 8	√										

**Are the reported 2008–09 state assessment results for grades 4 and 8 directly comparable with the 2006–07 reported results?**

Yes.

**Are there differences in the administration of assessments or in the reporting of outcomes between 2006–07 and 2008–09 due to policy or legislative changes having an impact on the ability to compare outcomes over time?**

None.