


# Measuring Status and Change in NAEP Inclusion Rates of Students With Disabilities

## MONTANA Fact Sheet



### Key terms for tables and figures

**Benchmark:** a predicted inclusion rate for a state computed from the probability of inclusion of each student in that state based on the student's disability characteristics.

**Nation-based approach:** uses national averages to set benchmark inclusion rates for each type of student.

**Jurisdiction-specific approach:** uses averages in each jurisdiction to set benchmark inclusion rates for each type of student for that jurisdiction.

**Status:** the inclusiveness of a state or jurisdiction is measured by the difference between its actual inclusion rate and its nation-based benchmark inclusion rate in a given year.

**Change:** measured by change over time in the difference between a state's actual and benchmark inclusion rate. If a state is 1 point above its benchmark for inclusion in 2007 and 5 points above its benchmark in 2009 (and that change, 4 points, is statistically significant from zero) it is said to have become more inclusive from 2007 to 2009.

For additional information, refer to the report and documentation at:  
<http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/inclusion/>.

Table 1. Nation-based change measures for the inclusion of public school students with disabilities who are not English language learners in Montana: 2005-07, 2007-09, and 2005-09

Mathematics Grade 4				Reading Grade 4			
	2005	2007	2009		2005	2007	2009
Actual inclusion rate	83.4	81.7	86.5	Actual inclusion rate	61.1	64.8	70.9
Benchmark inclusion rate	78.9	80.6	83.9	Benchmark inclusion rate	59.3	66.5	68.9
Status	4.5	1.1	2.6	Status	1.8	-1.7	2.1
Change: 2005-07, 2007-09		-3.4	1.5	Change: 2005-07, 2007-09		-3.6	3.8
Change: 2005-09		-1.9		Change: 2005-09		0.3	

Mathematics Grade 8				Reading Grade 8			
	2005	2007	2009		2005	2007	2009
Actual inclusion rate	84.2	77.3	77.3	Actual inclusion rate	66.6	69.2	70.5
Benchmark inclusion rate	78.6	77.3	78.8	Benchmark inclusion rate	66.0	73.2	72.3
Status	5.6	0.0	-1.6	Status	0.6	-4.0	-1.9
Change: 2005-07, 2007-09		-5.6	-1.5	Change: 2005-07, 2007-09		-4.6	2.1
Change: 2005-09		-7.1*		Change: 2005-09		-2.4	

\* Statistically different from zero ( $p < .05$ )

NOTE: A supporting table containing the information in this exhibit can be found at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/inclusion/table1.asp>

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2005, 2007, and 2009 Mathematics and Reading Assessments.

Table 2. Jurisdiction-specific change measure for the inclusion of public school students with disabilities who are not English language learners in Montana: 2005-07, 2007-09, and 2005-09

Mathematics Grade 4				Reading Grade 4			
	2005-07	2007-09	2005-09		2005-07	2007-09	2005-09
Change	-0.8	2.6	1.8	Change	-0.8	2.9	2.1

Mathematics Grade 8				Reading Grade 8			
	2005-07	2007-09	2005-09		2005-07	2007-09	2005-09
Change	-7.8*	-0.3	-8.0*	Change	-5.1	0.1	-5.0

\* Statistically different from zero ( $p < .05$ )



NOTE: A supporting table containing the information in this exhibit can be found at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/inclusion/table2.asp>

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2005, 2007, and 2009 Mathematics and Reading Assessments.



# Nation-based Approach—Mathematics Results

Figure 1. Nation-based benchmark and actual inclusion rates, status and change measures of public school students with disabilities who are not English language learners in Montana, mathematics: 2005, 2007, and 2009

## Grade 4



## Grade 8



\* Statistically different from zero ( $p < .05$ )



NOTE: Significance tests were performed only for the change measures. A supporting table containing the information in this exhibit can be found at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/inclusion/table1.asp>

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2005, 2007, and 2009 Mathematics Assessments.



# Nation-based Approach—Reading Results

Figure 2. Nation-based benchmark and actual inclusion rates, status and change measures of public school students with disabilities who are not English language learners in Montana, reading: 2005, 2007, and 2009

## Grade 4



## Grade 8




\* Statistically different from zero ( $p < .05$ )

NOTE: Significance tests were performed only for the change measures. A supporting table containing the information in this exhibit can be found at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/inclusion/table1.asp>

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2005, 2007, and 2009 Reading Assessments.

# Student Characteristics

Figure 3. Percentage of public school students with disabilities who are not English language learners identified with a given characteristic in Montana compared to those in the nation, mathematics grade 4: 2005, 2007, and 2009



NOTE: A supporting table containing the information in this exhibit can be found at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/studies/inclusion/figure3.asp>

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2005, 2007, and 2009 Mathematics Assessments.