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## What Is The Nation's Report Card ${ }^{\text {Tw }}$ ?

The Nation's Report Card ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ informs the public about the academic achievement of elementary and secondary students in the United States. Report cards communicate the findings of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), a continuing and nationally representative measure of achievement in various subjects over time.

Since 1969, NAEP assessments have been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and other subjects. NAEP collects and reports information on student performance at the national and state levels, making the assessment an integral part of our nation's evaluation of the condition and progress of education. Only academic achievement data and related background information are collected. The privacy of individual students and their families is protected.

NAEP is a congressionally authorized project of the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) within the Institute of Education Sciences of the U.S. Department of Education. The Commissioner of Education Statistics is responsible for carrying out the NAEP project. The National Assessment Governing Board oversees and sets policy for NAEP.
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# Executive Summary 

Nationally representative samples of 209,000 fourth-graders and 175,200 eighthgraders participated in the 2011 National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) in mathematics. At each grade, students responded to questions designed to measure what they know and can do across five mathematics content areas: number properties and operations; measurement; geometry; data analysis, statistics, and probability; and algebra.

## Both fourth- and eighth-graders score higher in 2011 than in previous assessment years

At grade 4, the average mathematics score in 2011 was 1 point higher than in 2009, and 28 points higher than in 1990 (figure A).

- Scores were higher in 2011 than in 2009 for White, Black, and Hispanic students but did not change significantly for Asian/Pacific Islander or American Indian/Alaska Native students. There were no significant changes in the White - Black or White - Hispanic score gaps from 2009 to 2011.
- Scores were higher in 2011 than in 2009 for both male and female students.

At grade 8, the average mathematics score in 2011 was 1 point higher than in 2009, and 21 points higher than in 1990.

- The average score for Hispanic students was higher in 2011 than in 2009, and the White Hispanic score gap was smaller than in 2009. There were no other significant changes from 2009 to 2011 in the scores for other racial/ ethnic groups.
- Female students scored higher in 2011 than in 2009, but the score for male students was not significantly different from the score in 2009.

Figure A. Trend in fourth- and eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores


## Highest percentages to date of fourth- and eighth-graders performing at or above the Proficient level

At grade 4, the percentages of students performing at or above the Proficient level and at Advanced were higher in 2011 than in any of the previous assessment years (figure B). The percentage of students at or above Basic did not change significantly from 2009 to 2011. Eighty-two percent of students had at least a basic knowledge of fourth-grade mathematics in 2011 compared to 50 percent of students in 1990.

Figure B. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics achievement-level results


At grade 8, the percentage of students at or above Proficient in 2011 was higher than in earlier assessment years (figure C). The percentages at or above Basic and at Advanced in 2011 were not significantly different from 2009 but were higher than in 1990. Seventy-three percent of students had at least a basic knowledge of eighth-grade mathematics in 2011 compared to 52 percent in 1990.

Figure C. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics achievement-level results


* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.


## Examples of knowledge and skills demonstrated by students performing at each achievement level

## Basic

- Compute the difference of two 4-digit numbers (grade 4).
- Identify congruent angles in a figure (grade 8).


## Proficient

- Draw a line segment of a given length (grade 4).
- Use an algebraic model to estimate height (grade 8).


## Advanced

- Solve a story problem involving time (grade 4).
- Compare similar parallelograms (grade 8).
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## Scores in 18 states and jurisdictions higher than in 2009 at grade 4 or 8 and lower in 2 states

| Changes in average mathematics scores for public school students from 2009 to 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both grades | Grade 4 only | Grade 8 only |  |
| Higher | District of Columbia Hawaii New Mexico Rhode Island | Alabama <br> Arizona <br> Georgia <br> Maryland <br> Wyoming | Arkansas <br> Colorado <br> Maine <br> Mississippi <br> Nevada | Ohio <br> Oklahoma <br> Texas <br> West Virginia |
| Lower |  | New York | Missouri |  |
| Scores were not significantly different from 2009 at either grade in 32 states and jurisdictions. |  |  |  |  |

## Score gaps narrow in some states

| At grade 4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White - Black score gaps narrowed from | White - Hispanic score gaps narrowed |  |
| 1992 to 2011 in 16 of 35 participating states | from 1992 to 2011 in 4 of 21 participating |  |
| with samples large enough to report results | states with samples large enough to report <br> for Black students. | results for Hispanic students. |
| Alabama | Michigan | Massachusetts |
| California | Mississippi | New Jersey |
| Delaware | New Jersey | New York |
| Florida | New York | Rhode Island |
| Georgia | North Carolina |  |
| Louisiana | Pennsylvania |  |
| Maryland | Texas |  |
| Massachusetts | Virginia |  |
| Racial/ethnic gaps did not widen from 1992 to 2011 in any of the states |  |  |
| that participated in both years. |  |  |

## At grade 8

Score gaps between higher- and lowerincome students narrowed from 2003 to 2011 in four states.

| Georgia | Massachusetts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Illinois | New York |

Score gaps between higher- and lowerincome students widened from 2003 to 2011 in one jurisdiction.

District of Columbia Illinois New York

## Other

 information presented in this report- Results in 2011 for additional racial/ethnic groups
- Calculator use at grade 4
- Mathematics coursetaking at grade 8

NOTE: In NAEP, lower-income students are students identified as eligible for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP). Higher-income students are not eligible for NSLP.


## Introduction

## The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

 mathematics assessment measures students' knowledge and skills in mathematics and students' ability to apply their knowledge in problem-solving situations. The results from the 2011 assessment presented in this report are compared to those from previous years, showing how students' performance in mathematics has changed over time.
## The Mathematics Framework

The National Assessment Governing Board oversees the development of NAEP frameworks that describe the specific knowledge and skills to be assessed in each subject. Frameworks incorporate ideas and input from subject area experts, school administrators, policymakers, teachers, parents, and others. NAEP frameworks also describe the types of questions to be included and how they should be designed and scored.

## Mathematics content areas

To ensure an appropriate balance of content and allow for a variety of ways of knowing and doing mathematics, the Mathematics Framework for the 2011 National Assessment of Educational Progress specifies that each question in the assessment measure one of five mathematical content areas. Although the names of the content areas, as well as some of the topics in those areas, have changed over the years, there has been a consistent focus across frameworks on collecting information on students' performance in the following five areas:

Number properties and operations measures students' understanding of ways to represent, calculate, and estimate with numbers.
At grade 4, number properties and operations questions focus on computation with or understanding of whole numbers and common fractions and decimals. At grade 8, questions measure computation with rational and common irrational numbers as well as students' ability to solve problems using proportional reasoning and apply properties of select number systems.
Measurement assesses students' knowledge of units of measurement for such attributes as capacity, length, area, volume, time, angles, and rates.
At grade 4, measurement questions focus on customary units such as inch, quart, pound, and hour, and common metric units such as centimeter, liter, and gram, as well as the geometric attribute of length. At grade 8, questions concentrate on the use of square units for measuring area and surface area, cubic units for measuring volume, degrees for measuring angles, and rates.
Geometry measures students' knowledge and understanding of shapes in two and three dimensions, and relationships between shapes such as symmetry and transformations.
At grade 4, geometry questions focus on simple figures and their attributes, including plane figures such as triangles and circles and solid figures such as cubes and spheres. At grade 8, questions address the properties of plane figures, especially parallel and perpendicular lines, angle relationships in polygons, cross sections of solids, and the Pythagorean theorem.
Data analysis, statistics, and probability measures students' understanding of data representation, characteristics of data sets, experiments and samples, and probability.
At grade 4, data analysis, statistics, and probability questions focus on students' understanding of how data are collected and organized, how to read and interpret various representations of data, and basic concepts of probability. At grade 8, questions address organizing and summarizing data (including tables, charts, and graphs), analyzing statistical claims, and probability.
Algebra measures students' understanding of patterns, using variables, algebraic representation, and functions.

At grade 4, algebra questions measure students' understanding of algebraic representation, patterns, and rules; graphing points on a line or a grid; and using symbols to represent unknown quantities. At grade 8 , questions measure students' understanding of patterns and functions; algebraic expressions, equations, and inequalities; and algebraic representations, including graphs.

## Levels of mathematical complexity

The framework describes three levels of mathematical complexity that reflect the cognitive demands that questions make on students' thinking.
Low complexity questions typically specify what a student is to do, which is often to carry out a routine mathematical procedure.
Moderate complexity questions involve more flexibility of thinking and often require a response with multiple steps.

High complexity questions make heavier demands on students' thinking and often require abstract reasoning or analysis in a novel situation.

Mathematics
Framework
for the 2011
National
Assessment
of Educational

## Progress

The complete mathematics
framework for the 2011 assessment is available at http://www.nagb.org/ publications/frameworks/ math-2011-framework.pdf and contains detailed information on the mathematical content areas, levels of complexity, format of assessment questions, and assessment design.
Updates to the framework over the years have provided more detail regarding the assessment design for grades 4 and 8 but have not changed the content, allowing for the comparison of students' performance in 2011 to previous assessment years.

Mathematical complexity involves what a question asks students to do and not how they might undertake it. The complexity of a question is not directly related to its format, and therefore it is possible for some multiple-choice questions to assess complex mathematics and for some constructed-response (i.e., open-ended) questions to assess routine mathematical ideas.

## Assessment Design

Because the 2011 mathematics assessment covered a breadth of content and included more questions than any one student could answer, each student took just a portion of the assessment. The 158 questions that made up the entire fourth-grade assessment were divided into 10 sections, each containing between 15 and 19 questions, depending on the balance between multiple-choice and constructed-response (i.e., open-ended) questions. The eighth-grade assessment contained 155 questions that were divided into 10 sections of between 14 and 17 questions. At both grades, each student responded to questions in two 25-minute sections.

Some questions incorporated the use of rulers (at grade 4) or ruler/protractors (at grade 8), and some questions incorporated the use of geometric shapes or other manipulatives that were provided for students. Twenty percent of the fourth-grade assessment allowed for the use of a four-function calculator that was provided to students. Thirty percent of the eighth-grade assessment allowed for the use of a scientific or graphing calculator; students could either use their own calculator or one provided by NAEP.

The proportion of assessment questions devoted to each of the five content areas varied by grade to reflect the differences in emphasis in each area specified in the framework (table 1). The largest portion of the fourth-grade assessment focused on number properties and operations (40 percent), and the largest portion of the eighth-grade assessment focused on algebra (30 percent).

Table 1. Target percentage distribution of NAEP mathematics questions, by grade and content area: 2011

| Content area | Grade 4 | Grade 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number properties and operations | 40 | 20 |
| Measurement | 20 | 15 |
| Geometry | 15 | 20 |
| Data analysis, statistics, and probability | 10 | 15 |
| Algebra | 15 | 30 |

## Reporting NAEP Results

The 2011 mathematics assessment results are based on nationally representative samples of 209,000 fourth-graders from 8,500 schools and 175,200 eighth-graders from 7,610 schools. Because the elementary schools participating in NAEP are given the option of including all of their fourth-grade students in the sample, and fourth-grade response rates are typically higher, the number of students assessed at grade 4 is larger than the number of students at grade 8. Results for the nation reflect the performance of students attending public schools (including charter schools), private schools, Bureau of Indian Education schools, and Department of Defense schools. Results for states and other jurisdictions reflect the performance of students in public schools only and are reported along with the results for public school students in the nation.

## Scale scores

NAEP mathematics results for grades 4 and 8 are reported as average scores on a 0-500 scale. Because NAEP scales are developed independently for each subject, scores cannot be compared across subjects.

In addition to reporting an overall mathematics score for each grade, scores are reported at five percentiles to show trends in results for students performing at lower (10th and 25th percentiles), middle (50th percentile), and higher (75th and 90th percentiles) levels.

## Achievement levels

Based on recommendations from policymakers, educators, and members of the general public, the Governing Board sets specific achievement levels for each subject area and grade. Achievement levels are performance standards showing what students should know and be able to do. NAEP results are reported as percentages of students performing at or above the Basic and Proficient levels and at the Advanced level.

Basic denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at each grade.

Proficient represents solid academic performance. Students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter.

## Advanced represents superior performance.

As provided by law, the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), upon review of congressionally mandated evaluations of NAEP, has determined that achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted with caution. The NAEP achievement levels have been widely used by national and state officials.


## Interpreting the Results

## Differences in performance over time and between student groups

National results from the 2011 mathematics assessment are compared to results from eight previous assessment years for both grades 4 and 8. State results from 2011 are compared to results from seven earlier assessments at grade 4 and eight earlier assessments at grade 8. Changes in students' performance over time are summarized by comparing the results in 2011 to 2009 and the first assessment year, except when pointing out consistent patterns across assessment years.

NAEP reports results using widely accepted statistical standards; findings are reported based on a statistical significance level set at .05 with appropriate adjustments for multiple comparisons (see the Technical Notes for more information). An asterisk (*) is used in tables and figures to indicate that an earlier year's score or percentage is significantly different from the 2011 results. Only those differences that are found to be statistically significant are discussed as higher or lower. The same standard applies when comparing the performance of one student group to another.

A score that is significantly higher or lower in comparison to an earlier assessment year is reliable evidence that student performance has changed. However, NAEP is not designed to identify the causes of these changes. Although comparisons are made in students' performance based on demographic characteristics and educational experiences, the results cannot be used to establish a cause-and-effect relationship between student characteristics and achievement. Many factors may influence student achievement, including educational policies and practices, available resources, and the demographic characteristics of the student body. These factors may change over time and vary among student groups.

## Accommodations and exclusions in NAEP

It is important to assess all selected students from the population, including students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL). To accomplish this goal, many of the same accommodations that students use on other tests (e.g., extra testing time or individual rather than group administration) are provided for SD and ELL students participating in NAEP. Accommodations were first made available in mathematics at the national level in 1996 and at the state level in 2000. Prior to 1996, no accommodations were provided in the NAEP mathematics assessments.

Because providing accommodations represented a change in testing conditions that could potentially affect the measurement of changes over time, split samples of students were assessed nationally in 1996 and at the state level in 2000. In each of these years, accommodations were permitted in one sample and were not permitted in the other. Although the results for both samples are presented in the tables and figures, any comparisons to these years in the text are based on only the accommodated samples.

Even with the availability of accommodations, some students may still be excluded. Differences in student populations and in state policies and practices for identifying and including SD and ELL students should be considered when comparing variations in exclusion and accommodation rates. States and jurisdictions also vary in their proportions of special-needs students (especially ELL students).

The National Assessment Governing Board has been exploring ways to reduce variation in exclusion rates for SD and ELL students across states and districts. See the section in this report on NAEP Inclusion for more information about the Governing Board's new policy on inclusion.

## Fourth-graders post

## highest score to date

The average mathematics score for the nation's fourth-graders in 2011 was higher than the scores in the eight previous assessment years (figure 1). Students scored 1 point higher in 2011 than in 2009 and 28 points higher than in 1990.

Other national results highlighted in this section show higher scores in 2011 than 2009 for White, Black, and Hispanic students; both male and female students; and students from lower- and higher-income families. State results show higher scores in 2011 than 2009 for 9 of the 52 participating states and jurisdictions, and a lower score in 1 state.

Figure 1. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores

*Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.
$=-$ Accommodations not permitted

## Scores higher than in 2009 for all but the lowest-performing students

Scores were higher in 2011 than in 2009 for students at each of the percentiles reported on except the 10th percentile, at which there was no significant change in comparison to 2009 (figure 2). Scores at all five percentiles were higher in 2011 than in 1990, with larger gains for lower-performing students at the 10th and 25th percentiles than for higher-performing students at the 90th percentile.

Figure 2. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics percentile scores



SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2011 Mathematics Assessments.

## A closer look at some of the background characteristics of lower- and higher-performing students

Profiles of students scoring at the lower end of the scale (below the 25th percentile) and those scoring at the higher end (above the 75th percentile) show how the two groups differed demographically.

Among fourth-graders who scored below the 25th percentile (i.e., below a score of 222) in 2011,

- 31\% were White, 28\% were Black, 34\% were Hispanic, and 2\% were Asian;
- 74\% were eligible for free/reducedprice school lunch;
- 24\% were identified as students with disabilities; and
- 22\% were identified as English language learners.

Among fourth-graders who scored above the 75th percentile (i.e., above a score of 261) in 2011,

- 72\% were White, 5\% were Black, 10\% were Hispanic, and 10\% were Asian;
- 23\% were eligible for free/reducedprice school lunch;
- 4\% were identified as students with disabilities; and
- 3\% were identified as English language learners.

The percentages of students performing at or above Proficient and at Advanced were higher in 2011 than in any of the previous assessment years (figure 3). The percentage of students at or above Basic did not change significantly from 2009 to 2011 but was higher in 2011 than in 1990.

Figure 3. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics achievement-level results
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## White, Black, and Hispanic students make gains; gaps persist

Average scores for White, Black, and Hispanic students were higher in 2011 than in any of the previous assessment years (figures 4 and 5). The 25-point score gap between White and Black students in 2011 was not significantly different from the gap in 2009. However, larger gains from 1990 to 2011 for Black students than for White students contributed to a smaller gap in 2011 in comparison to the first assessment year. The 20-point score gap between White and Hispanic students in 2011 was not significantly different from the gap in either 2009 or 1990.

Figure 4. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps for White and Black students


Figure 5. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps for White and Hispanic students


[^4]NOTE: White excludes students of Hispanic origin. Hispanic includes Latino. Score gaps are calculated based on differences between unrounded average scores.

The average score for Asian/Pacific Islander students in 2011 did not change significantly from the score in 2009 but was higher than the score in 1990 (figure 6). Asian/Pacific Islander students scored 7 points higher on average than White students in 2011, which was unchanged from the score gap in 2009.

The average score for American Indian/Alaska Native students in 2011 was not significantly different from the score in 2009 (figure 7). The 24-point score gap between American Indian/ Alaska Native and White students in 2011 was also not significantly different from the gap in 2009.

Figure 6. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps for Asian/Pacific Islander and White students


* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.
${ }^{1}$ Score gaps reflect the average score for Asian/Pacific Islander students minus the score for White students.
NOTE: Special analyses raised concerns about the accuracy and precision of the results for Asian/Pacific Islander students in 2000; therefore, they are omitted from this figure. Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Score gaps are calculated based on differences between unrounded average scores. Score differences between Asian/Pacific Islander and White students were not found to be statistically significant in 1990, 1992, and 1996.

Figure 7. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps for White and American Indian/Alaska Native students


* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.

NOTE: Sample sizes were insufficient to permit reliable estimates for American Indian/Alaska Native students in 1990, 1992, and 1996 (accommodations-not-permitted sample).
Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Score gaps are calculated based on differences between unrounded average scores. The score difference between White and American Indian/Alaska Native students was not found to be statistically significant in 1996.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2011 Mathematics Assessments.

The percentage of White fourth-graders was smaller in 2011 than in any of the earlier assessment years, and the percentage of Hispanic students was larger (table 2). In comparison to the first assessment year in 1990, the percentage of Asian/Pacific Islander students was larger in 2011, and the percentage of Black students was smaller.

Table 2. Percentage distribution of students assessed in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity: Various years, 1990-2011

| Race/ethnicity | $1990^{1}$ | $1992^{1}$ | 1996 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| White | $75^{*}$ | $73^{*}$ | $66^{*}$ | $64^{*}$ | $60^{*}$ | $58^{*}$ | $57^{*}$ | $56^{*}$ | 54 |
| Black | $18^{*}$ | $17^{*}$ | 16 | 16 | $17^{*}$ | $16^{*}$ | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| Hispanic | $6^{*}$ | $6^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | $15^{*}$ | $18^{*}$ | $19^{*}$ | $20^{*}$ | $21^{*}$ | 22 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $1^{*}$ | $2^{*}$ | 5 | $\ddagger$ | $4^{*}$ | $4^{*}$ | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Two or more races | \#* $^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $2^{*}$ | 2 |

\# Rounds to zero.
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Special analyses raised concerns about the accuracy and precision of the results for Asian/Pacific Islander students in 2000; therefore, they are omitted from this table.

* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.
'Accommodations not permitted.
NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Prior to 2011, students in the two or more races category were categorized as unclassified. The percentages of American Indian/Alaska Native students in 1990 (0.56) and 1992 (0.56) were significantly different from the percentage in 2011 (1.10). Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.


## NAEP Results for Newly Reported Racial/Ethnic Groups

In compliance with new standards from the U.S. Office of Management and Budget for collecting and reporting data on race/ethnicity, additional information on students' race/ethnicity was collected in 2011 so that results could be reported separately for Asian students, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students, and students categorized as being two or more races (multiracial). See the Technical Notes for more information.

The average score in 2011 for Asian students was higher than the scores for all other reported racial/ethnic groups (table 3). Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students scored higher on average than Black, Hispanic, and American Indian/Alaska Native students, but lower than White and multiracial students. The score for multiracial students was higher than the scores for Black, Hispanic, and American Indian/Alaska Native students, but lower than the score for White students.

Table 3. Percentage of students, average scores, and achievement-level results in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics, by selected racial/ethnic groups: 2011

|  |  |  | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Percentage | Average | Below | At | At | At |
| Selected racial/ethnic groups | of students | scale score | Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Asian | 5 | 257 | 7 | 28 | 44 | 20 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | $\#$ | 236 | 23 | 43 | 28 | 7 |
| Two or more races | 2 | 245 | 13 | 42 | 35 | 10 |
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## Percentages of Hispanic students at Proficient and Advanced higher than in 2009

A closer look at achievement-level results shows where improvements were made for different racial/ethnic groups. The percentages of Hispanic students performing at Proficient and at Advanced were higher in 2011 than in 2009 (figure 8). The percentage of White students at Advanced was also higher in 2011 than in 2009. There was no significant change from 2009 to 2011 in the percentages of students in any of the five racial/ethnic groups performing below or at the Basic level.

In comparison to 1990, the percentage of students performing below the Basic level was lower in 2011, and the percentage at Proficient was higher for all the racial/ethnic groups with samples large enough to report results. The percentages of Black and Hispanic students at Basic were higher in 2011 than in 1990, and the percentage of White students at Advanced was higher.

Higher percentages of Black and American Indian/Alaska Native students than other racial/ethnic groups continued to perform below Basic in 2011. The percentage of Asian/Pacific Islander students at Advanced was higher than the percentages of other racial/ethnic groups in 2011.


## No significant change in gender gap from 2009

In 2011, male students scored 1 point higher on average than female students (figure 9). Scores for both male and female students were higher in 2011 than in any of the earlier assessment years. The average score for male students in 2011 (241.4) was 1 point higher than the score in 2009 (240.6), and the average score for female students was also 1 point higher.

Figure 9. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps, by gender



## Private school students score higher than those in public schools

In 2011, the average mathematics score for fourth-graders attending public schools was 7 points lower than the overall score for students attending private schools, and 5 points lower than for students attending Catholic schools specifically (figure 10). There may be many reasons why private school students perform differently, on average, from public school students. Differences in demographic composition, availability of resources, admissions policies, parental involvement, and other factors not measured in NAEP may influence student achievement scores.

The average score for public school students was 1 point higher in 2011 than in 2009, while there was no significant change in the score for private school students overall or for Catholic school students over the same period. Scores for all three groups were higher in 2011 than in 1990; however, the 7-point score gap between private and public school students in 2011 was not significantly different from the gap in 1990.

Figure 10. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores, by type of school


Ninety-two percent of fourth-graders attended public schools in 2011, and 8 percent attended private schools, including 4 percent in Catholic schools (table 4). In comparison to 1990, the percentage of students attending public schools in 2011 was larger, and the percentage attending private schools was smaller.

Table 4. Percentage distribution of students assessed in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics, by type of school: Various years, 1990-2011

| Type of school | $1990^{1}$ | $1992^{1}$ | 1996 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Public | $89^{*}$ | $88^{*}$ | $89^{*}$ | $90^{*}$ | $90^{*}$ | $90^{*}$ | $91^{*}$ | 91 | 92 |
| Private | $11^{*}$ | $12^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | $10^{*}$ | $10^{*}$ | 10 | $9^{*}$ | 9 | 8 |
| $\quad$ Catholic | $7^{*}$ | $8^{*}$ | $8^{*}$ | $5^{*}$ | $5^{*}$ | $5^{*}$ | $4^{*}$ | 4 | 4 |
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## Highest scores to date for students across income levels

Students' eligibility for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) is used in NAEP as an indicator of family income. Students from lower-income families are eligible for either free or reduced-price school lunches, while students from higher-income families are not (see the Technical Notes for eligibility criteria). Because of the improved quality of the data on students' eligibility in more recent years, results are only compared back to 2003.

Average mathematics scores were higher in 2011 than in earlier assessment years both for students who were eligible for free and reduced-price school lunch, as well as for students who were not eligible (figure 11). In 2011, fourth-graders who were eligible for free lunch scored 24 points lower on average than those not eligible. Students eligible for reduced-price lunch scored 13 points lower than those not eligible.

Figure 11. Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores, by eligibility for free or reduced-price school lunch


In comparison to previous assessment years, the percentage of fourth-graders eligible for free school lunch was larger in 2011, and the percentages of students eligible for reduced-price school lunch or not eligible for NSLP were smaller (table 5).

Table 5. Percentage distribution of students assessed in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics, by eligibility for free or reduced-price school lunch: Various years, 2003-11

| Eligibility status | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Eligible for free lunch | $33^{*}$ | $35^{*}$ | $36^{*}$ | $38^{*}$ | 43 |
| Eligible for reduced-price lunch | $8^{*}$ | $7^{*}$ | $6^{*}$ | $6^{*}$ | 5 |
| Not eligible | $50^{*}$ | $50^{*}$ | $52^{*}$ | $49^{*}$ | 46 |
| Information not available | $10^{*}$ | $8^{*}$ | 7 | $7^{*}$ | 6 |

* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

## More students have teachers not permitting calculators during mathematics lessons in 2011 than in previous years

Teachers reported on the extent to which they permitted students to use calculators during mathematics lessons. Teachers selected one of three responses indicating "unrestricted use," "restricted use," or "calculators are not permitted."

Sixty-two percent of fourth-graders had teachers who reported permitting the restricted use of calculators in 2011 (table 6). Because teachers were asked the same question as part of the 2005, 2007, and 2009 assessments, the percentages can be compared over time. A higher percentage of students had teachers who did not permit the use of calculators in 2011 than in earlier assessment years, while the percentage permitting restricted use was lower in 2011 than in earlier years.

Table 6. Percentage of students assessed in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics, by the extent of calculator use in mathematics lessons: Various years, 2005-11

| Extent of calculator use | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unrestricted use | $5^{*}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Restricted use | $75^{*}$ | $69^{*}$ | $67^{*}$ | 62 |
| Calculators are not permitted | $20^{*}$ | $27^{*}$ | $29^{*}$ | 34 |

* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.

The extent to which students had teachers who permitted calculator use for mathematics lessons was different for those who were or were not eligible for NSLP. The percentage of students whose teachers permitted restricted use of calculators was higher for students who were not eligible for NSLP than for students who were eligible, and the percentage of students whose teachers did not permit them to use calculators was higher for eligible students (figure 12).

Figure 12. Percentage of students assessed in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics, by eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch and extent of calculator use in mathematics lessons: 2011


[^7]In 2011, students whose teachers permitted restricted use of calculators during mathematics lessons scored higher on average than students whose teachers allowed unrestricted use or did not permit the use of calculators (figure 13).

Figure 13. Average scores in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics, by teachers' responses to a question about the extent to which their students use calculators during mathematics lessons: 2011

To what extent are students permitted to use calculators during mathematics lessons?



## Explore Additional Results

Results for other background questions from the fourth-grade student, teacher, and school questionnaires are available in the NAEP Data Explorer at http://nces.ed.gov/ nationsreportcard/ naepdata/.

## State Performance at Grade 4

NAEP state results make it possible to examine the progress of students in each participating state over time. The national and state results presented in this section are for public school students only and may differ from the national results presented earlier that are based on data for both public and private school students. All 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Department of Defense schools participated in the 2011 mathematics assessment. These 52 states and jurisdictions are all referred to as "states" in the following summary of results. State results for grade 4 are also available for seven earlier assessment years (table 7). While all states have participated in the assessments since 2003, not all have participated or met the criteria for reporting in earlier assessment years.

## Scores higher than in 2009 for students in nine states and lower in one state

The map below highlights changes in states' average fourth-grade mathematics scores from 2009 to 2011 (figure 14). Scores were higher in 2011 than in 2009 in Alabama, Arizona, the District of Columbia, Georgia, Hawaii, Maryland, New Mexico, Rhode Island, and Wyoming. The average score in New York was lower in 2011 than in 2009.


#### Abstract

Forty percent ${ }^{1}$ of fourth-grade public school students performed at or above the Proficient level in 2011, with percentages ranging from 22 percent $^{1}$ in the District of Columbia to 58 percent in Massachusetts (figure 15). Among the nine states that had higher average scores in 2011 than in 2009, only Arizona and the District of Columbia also had higher percentages of students at or above Proficient in 2011 (see appendix table A-14).


[^8]Figure 14. Changes in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores between 2009 and 2011


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Table 7. Average scores in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students, by state/jurisdiction:
Various years, 1992-2011

| State/jurisdiction | Accommodations not permitted |  |  | Accommodations permitted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1992 | 1996 | 2000 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 219* | 222* | 226* | 224* | 234* | 237* | 239* | 239* | 240 |
| Alabama | 208* | 212* | 218* | 217* | 223* | 225* | 229 | 228* | 231 |
| Alaska | - | 224* | - | - | 233* | 236 | 237 | 237 | 236 |
| Arizona | 215* | 218* | 219* | 219* | 229* | 230* | 232* | 230* | 235 |
| Arkansas | 210* | 216* | 217* | 216* | 229* | 236 | 238 | 238 | 238 |
| California | 208* | 209* | 214* | 213* | 227* | 230* | 230* | 232 | 234 |
| Colorado | 221* | 226* | - | - | 235* | 239* | 240* | 243 | 244 |
| Connecticut | 227* | 232* | 234* | 234* | 241 | 242 | 243 | 245 | 242 |
| Delaware | 218* | 215* | - | - | 236* | 240 | 242* | 239 | 240 |
| Florida | 214* | 216* | - | - | 234* | 239 | 242 | 242 | 240 |
| Georgia | 216* | 215* | $220 *$ | 219* | 230* | 234* | 235* | 236* | 238 |
| Hawaii | 214* | 215* | 216* | 216* | 227* | 230* | 234* | 236* | 239 |
| Idaho | 222* | - | 227* | 224* | 235* | 242 | 241 | 241 | 240 |
| Illinois | - | - | 225* | 223* | 233* | 233* | 237 | 238 | 239 |
| Indiana | 221* | 229* | 234* | 233* | 238* | 240* | 245 | 243 | 244 |
| lowa | 230* | 229* | 233* | 231* | 238* | 240* | 243 | 243 | 243 |
| Kansas | - | - | 232* | 232* | 242* | 246 | 248 | 245 | 246 |
| Kentucky | 215* | 220* | 221* | 219* | 229* | 231* | 235* | 239 | 241 |
| Louisiana | 204* | 209* | 218* | 218* | 226* | 230 | 230 | 229 | 231 |
| Maine | 232* | 232* | 231* | 230* | 238* | 241* | 242 | 244 | 244 |
| Maryland | 217* | 221* | 222* | 222* | 233* | 238* | 240* | 244* | 247 |
| Massachusetts | 227* | 229* | 235* | 233* | 242* | 247* | 252 | 252 | 253 |
| Michigan | $220 *$ | 226* | 231* | 229* | 236 | 238 | 238 | 236 | 236 |
| Minnesota | 228* | 232* | 235* | 234* | 242* | 246* | 247 | 249 | 249 |
| Mississippi | 202* | 208* | 211* | 211* | 223* | 227* | 228 | 227 | 230 |
| Missouri | 222* | 225* | 229* | 228* | 235* | 235* | 239 | 241 | 240 |
| Montana | - | 228* | 230* | 228* | 236* | 241* | 244 | 244 | 244 |
| Nebraska | 225* | 228* | 226* | 225* | 236* | 238 | 238 | 239 | 240 |
| Nevada | - | 218* | $220 *$ | $220 *$ | 228* | 230* | 232* | 235 | 237 |
| New Hampshire | 230* | - | - | - | 243* | 246* | 249* | 251 | 252 |
| New Jersey | 227* | 227* | - | - | 239* | 244* | 249 | 247 | 248 |
| New Mexico | 213* | 214* | 214* | 213* | 223* | 224* | 228* | 230* | 233 |
| New York | 218* | 223* | 227* | 225* | 236 | 238 | 243* | $241 *$ | 238 |
| North Carolina | 213* | 224* | 232* | $230 *$ | 242* | 241* | 242* | 244 | 245 |
| North Dakota | 229* | 231* | 231* | 230* | 238* | 243* | 245 | 245 | 245 |
| Ohio | 219* | - | 231* | 230* | 238* | 242 | 245 | 244 | 244 |
| Oklahoma | $220 *$ | - | 225* | 224* | 229* | 234* | 237 | 237 | 237 |
| Oregon | - | 223* | 227* | 224* | 236 | 238 | 236 | 238 | 237 |
| Pennsylvania | 224* | 226* | - | - | 236* | 241* | 244 | 244 | 246 |
| Rhode Island | 215* | 220* | 225* | 224* | 230* | 233* | 236* | 239* | 242 |
| South Carolina | 212* | 213* | $220 *$ | $220 *$ | 236 | 238 | 237 | 236 | 237 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | 237* | 242 | 241 | 242 | 241 |
| Tennessee | 211* | 219* | $220 *$ | $220 *$ | 228* | 232 | 233 | 232 | 233 |
| Texas | 218* | 229* | 233* | 231* | 237* | 242 | 242 | 240 | 241 |
| Utah | 224* | 227* | 227* | 227* | 235* | 239* | 239* | 240 | 243 |
| Vermont | - | 225* | 232* | 232* | 242* | 244* | 246 | 248 | 247 |
| Virginia | 221* | 223* | 230* | 230* | 239* | 240* | 244 | 243 | 245 |
| Washington | - | 225* | - | - | 238* | 242 | 243 | 242 | 243 |
| West Virginia | 215* | 223* | 225* | 223* | 231* | 231* | 236 | 233 | 235 |
| Wisconsin | 229* | 231* | - | - | 237* | 241* | 244 | 244 | 245 |
| Wyoming | 225* | 223* | 229* | 229* | 241* | 243 | 244 | 242* | 244 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 193* | 187* | 193* | 192* | 205* | 211* | 214* | 219* | 222 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | 224* | 228* | 227* | 237* | 239* | 240 | 240 | 241 |

[^9]Figure 15. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students, by state/jurisdiction: 2011


[^10]NOTE: The shaded bars are graphed using unrounded numbers. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

## States vary in racial/ethnic makeup

The performance of students in individual states should be interpreted in the context of differences in their demographic makeup. For example, the proportions of students from different racial/ethnic groups reported in NAEP varied widely across states in 2011 (figure 16).

- White students made up the largest proportion of fourth-grade public school students in the nation ( 52 percent), with percentages in the states ranging from 8 percent in the District of Columbia to 92 percent in Maine, Vermont, and West Virginia.
- Black students made up 16 percent of fourth-grade public school students nationally, ranging from 1 percent of the students in Idaho, Montana, and Wyoming to 77 percent in the District of Columbia.
- Hispanic students made up 24 percent of fourth-grade public school students in the nation, ranging from 1 percent of the students in Vermont and West Virginia to 60 percent in New Mexico.
- Asian students made up 5 percent of fourth-grade public school students in the nation but over one-third of the students in Hawaii ( 36 percent).
- American Indian/Alaska Native students made up 1 percent of fourth-grade public school students in the nation but about one-fifth of the students in Alaska (23 percent) and in Oklahoma (18 percent).
Although not shown in the figure, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students made up 33 percent of the students in Hawaii, and 2 percent or less of the students in all the other states. The Department of Defense schools had the highest proportion of multiracial students (11 percent); 8 percent or less of the students in other states identified with two or more races.

Almost all of the states that participated in the mathematics assessment in 1992 had larger percentages of Hispanic students and smaller percentages of White students in 2011 (see appendix table A-12). There were no significant changes in the percentages of Hispanic students in New York or White students in Alabama, Louisiana, South Carolina, or Tennessee; and the percentages of White students in the District of Columbia and Mississippi were higher in 2011 than in 1992.

## White - Black score gaps narrow from 1992 in 16 states, and White - Hispanic score gaps narrow in 4 states

Average mathematics scores for White, Black, and Hispanic students were higher in 2011 than in 1992 for fourth-graders in the nation and in all the states that participated in both assessment years and had samples large enough to report results for each group (figure 17). The White - Black score gap narrowed from 1992 to 2011 in 16 of the 35 states with samples large enough to report results for Black students. The White - Hispanic gap narrowed from 1992 to 2011 in 4 of the 21 states with samples large enough to report results for Hispanic students. Both the White - Black and White - Hispanic score gaps narrowed in Massachusetts, New Jersey, and New York.

## State Profiles

Additional information on each state's school and student populations and their performance on NAEP assessments is available at http://nces .ed.gov/nationsreportcard/ states/.

Figure 16. Percentage range of fourth-grade public school students assessed in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity: 2011


Hispanic


American Indian/Alaska Native


Black


Asian

$\square$ Less than 5\%
5-9\%
$\square 10-24 \%$
25-49\%
50-74\%
75\% or more
'Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools). NOTE: Black includes African American, and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Results are not shown for students whose race/ethnicity was Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander or two or more races.

Figure 17. Changes between 1992 and 2011 NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps for fourth-grade public school students, by selected race/ethnicity categories and state/jurisdiction

|  |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  | Score gap |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State/jurisdiction | Overall | White | Black | Hispanic | White - Black | White - Hispanic |
| Nation (public) | A | A | - | - | Narrowed | Narrowed |
| Alabama | A | - | - | $\ddagger$ | Narrowed | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arizona | A | - | - | A | - | - |
| Arkansas | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| California | A | - | A | - | Narrowed | $\checkmark$ |
| Colorado | A | A | A | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Connecticut | A | A | A | A | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Delaware | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | Narrowed | $\ddagger$ |
| Florida | A | A | A | A | Narrowed | - |
| Georgia | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | Narrowed | $\ddagger$ |
| Hawaii | A | A | A | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Idaho | - | - | $\ddagger$ | $\Delta$ | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Illinois | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Indiana | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\ddagger$ |
| lowa | - | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kentucky | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Louisiana | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | Narrowed | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | A | A | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | A | A | Narrowed | $\checkmark$ |
| Massachusetts | A | A | - | A | Narrowed | Narrowed |
| Michigan | A | $\Delta$ | A | $\ddagger$ | Narrowed | $\ddagger$ |
| Minnesota | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Mississippi | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | Narrowed | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | - | - | - | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nebraska | A | A | A | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Hampshire | - | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | A | A | A | A | Narrowed | Narrowed |
| New Mexico | A | A | A | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| New York | A | A | A | A | Narrowed | Narrowed |
| North Carolina | $\Delta$ | A | A | $\ddagger$ | Narrowed | $\ddagger$ |
| North Dakota | A | A | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Ohio | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ |
| Oklahoma | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Oregon | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pennsylvania | A | A | A | A | Narrowed | $\checkmark$ |
| Rhode Island | A | A | - | A | $\checkmark$ | Narrowed |
| South Carolina | - | - | - | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tennessee | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | A | A | A | - | Narrowed | $\checkmark$ |
| Utah | - | - | $\ddagger$ | $\triangle$ | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Vermont | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Virginia | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | Narrowed | $\ddagger$ |
| Washington | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| West Virginia | A | A | A | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | A | A | A | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Wyoming | - | - | + | $\Delta$ | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | - | A | A | A | - | $\checkmark$ |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |

$\Delta$ Higher in 2011. - State/jurisdiction did not participate in 1992.
Not significantly different from 2011. $\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
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# Assessment Content at Grade 4 

> Additional insight into students' performance on the NAEP mathematics assessment can be obtained by examining what fourth-graders are expected to know and be able to do and how they performed on some of the assessment questions designed to measure their knowledge and skills.

## Mathematics Achievement-Level Descriptions for Grade 4

NAEP mathematics achievement-level descriptions outline expectations of student performance at each grade. The specific descriptions of what fourth-graders should know and be able to do at the Basic, Proficient, and Advanced mathematics achievement levels are presented below. (Note that the shaded text is a short, general summary to describe performance at each achievement level.)
NAEP achievement levels are cumulative; therefore, students performing at the Proficient level also display the competencies associated with the Basic level, and students at the Advanced level also demonstrate the skills and knowledge associated with both the Basic and the Proficient levels. The cut score indicating the lower end of the score range for each level is noted in parentheses.

## Basic (214)

> Fourth-grade students performing at the Basic level should show some evidence of understanding the mathematical concepts and procedures in the five NAEP content areas.

Fourth-graders performing at the Basic level should be able to estimate and use basic facts to perform simple computations with whole numbers; show some understanding of fractions and decimals; and solve some simple real-world problems in all NAEP content areas. Students at this level should be able to use-although not always accurately-four-function calculators, rulers, and geometric shapes. Their written responses are often minimal and presented without supporting information.

## Proficient (249)

Fourth-grade students performing at the Proficient level should consistently apply integrated procedural knowledge and conceptual understanding to problem solving in the five NAEP content areas.
Fourth-graders performing at the Proficient level should be able to use whole numbers to estimate, compute, and determine whether results are reasonable. They should have a conceptual understanding of fractions and decimals; be able to solve real-world problems in all NAEP content areas; and use four-function calculators, rulers, and geometric shapes appropriately. Students performing at the Proficient level should employ problem-solving strategies such as identifying and using appropriate information. Their written solutions should be organized and presented both with supporting information and explanations of how they were achieved.

## Advanced (282)

Fourth-grade students performing at the Advanced level should apply integrated procedural knowledge and conceptual understanding to complex and nonroutine real-world problem solving in the five NAEP content areas.
Fourth-graders performing at the Advanced level should be able to solve complex nonroutine real-world problems in all NAEP content areas. They should display mastery in the use of four-function calculators, rulers, and geometric shapes. These students are expected to draw logical conclusions and justify answers and solution processes by explaining why, as well as how, they were achieved. They should go beyond the obvious in their interpretations and be able to communicate their thoughts clearly and concisely.

## What Fourth-Graders Know and Can Do in Mathematics

The item map below is useful for understanding performance at different levels on the NAEP scale. The scale scores on the left represent the scores for students who were likely to get the items correct or complete. The cut score at the lower end of the range for each achievement level is boxed. The descriptions of selected assessment questions indicating what students need to do to answer the question correctly, along with the corresponding mathematics content areas, are listed on the right.

For example, the map on this page shows that fourth-graders performing at the Basic level with a score of 216 were likely to be able to determine the measurements needed for computing area. Students performing at the Proficient level with a score of 279 were likely to be able to recognize and extend an algebraic pattern. Students performing at the Advanced level with a score of 290 were likely to be able to compare two sets of data presented graphically.

GRADE 4 NAEP MATHEMATICS ITEM MAP

| Scale score | Content area | Question description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

NOTE: Regular type denotes a constructed-response question. Italic type denotes a multiple-choice question. The position of a question on the scale represents the scale score attained by students who had a 65 percent probability of successfully answering a constructed-response question, or a 74 percent probability of correctly answering a four-option multiple-choice question. For constructed-response questions, the question description represents students' performance rated as completely correct. Scale score ranges for mathematics achievement levels are referenced on the map.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

## Mathematics Content Area: Number Properties and Operations

## Subtract:

6,090
4,843
(A) 1,147
(B) 1,247
(C) 2,257
(D) 2,853

This multiple-choice question from the 2011 mathematics assessment asks students to answer a subtraction problem involving two 4-digit numbers. The problem requires students to regroup twice to obtain the correct answer of 1,247 (Choice B). Students were not permitted to use a calculator to answer this question.
Seventy-four percent of fourth-grade students answered this question correctly. The most common incorrect answer (Choice D), selected by 13 percent of the students, resulted from not doing any regrouping and just subtracting the smaller number from the corresponding larger number at each place value. Choices A and C, while selected less frequently, represent different regrouping errors.

Percentage of fourth-grade students in each response category: 2011

| Choice A | Choice B | Choice C | Choice D | Omitted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | 5 | 13 | 1 |

The table below shows the percentage of fourth-grade students performing at each achievement level who answered this question correctly. For example, 73 percent of fourth-graders at the Basic level selected the correct answer choice.

Percentage of fourth-grade students responding correctly at each achievement level: 2011

| Overall | Below Basic | At Basic | At Proficient | At Advanced |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 74 | 40 | 73 | 90 | 97 |

## Mathematics Content Area: Geometry



How are the right triangle and the rectangle alike?
(A) Each figure has at least one right angle.
(B) Each figure has parallel sides.
(C) Each figure has at least one line of symmetry.
(D) Each figure has at least two sides that are the same length.

This multiple-choice question measures student performance in the geometry content area.
The question asks students to compare two geometric figures-a right triangle and a rectangleand identify a property common to both figures. Students were not permitted to use a calculator on this question.
Forty-nine percent of fourth-grade students were able to correctly recognize that each figure has at least one right angle (Choice A). The most common incorrect answer (Choice D), selected by 29 percent of students, may have been the result of misinterpreting the length of the hypotenuse as being equal in length to the longer leg of the right triangle.

Percentage of fourth-grade students in each response category: 2011

| Choice A | Choice B | Choice C | Choice D | Omitted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 49 | 9 | 12 | 29 | 1 |

The table below shows the percentage of fourth-grade students performing at each achievement level who answered this question correctly. For example, 64 percent of fourth-graders at the Proficient level selected the correct answer choice.

Percentage of fourth-grade students responding correctly at each achievement level: 2011

| Overall | Below Basic | At Basic | At Proficient | At Advanced |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 49 | 28 | 39 | 64 | 90 |

## Mathematics Content Area: Measurement

## MOVIE TIMES

Early Show $\quad 3: 15$

Late Show 7:30

The early show and the late show for a movie last the same amount of time. The early show begins at 3:15 P.M. and ends at $4: 27$ P.m. The late show begins at 7:30 P.M. At what time does the late show end?
Show your work.

This short constructed-response question measures fourth-graders' ability to perform computations using units of time. The first step requires students to determine the length of the movie from the starting and ending times of the early show. The second step requires that they add that length of time to the starting time of the late show. Students were permitted to use a calculator to solve this question. Responses were rated using three scoring levels.
Correct responses gave an answer of 8:42 for the ending time of the late show and provided supporting work, which included either showing a computation for determining the length of the movie from the times of the early show ( $4: 27-3: 15=1: 12$, "1 hour and 12 minutes"), or showing the addition of 1:12 to 7:30.

Partial responses did one of the following:

- Gave an answer of $8: 42$ with no work or incorrect work,
- Determined the length of the movie ( 1 hour and 12 minutes) but did not answer $8: 42$, or
- Incorrectly determined the length of the movie but correctly used that time to determine the ending time of the late show.

Incorrect responses gave an incorrect end time for the late show.

The student response shown below was rated as "Correct" because it provided the correct answer with supporting work. Thirty-one percent of fourth-graders' responses to this question received a rating of "Correct."


The student response shown below was rated as "Partial" because the ending time of the late show was correctly determined based on an incorrect time for the length of the movie. Eighteen percent of fourth-graders' responses to this question received a rating of "Partial" for one of the reasons described on the previous page.

$$
\begin{gathered}
3: 15104: 27=\begin{array}{r}
-\begin{array}{c}
4: 27 \\
3: 15 \\
1: 32 \\
7: 30 \\
+1: 32
\end{array} \\
\hline 9: 02
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

Explore
Additional
Sample
Questions and Data
Additional sample questions from the NAEP mathematics assessment can be found in the NAEP Questions Tool (NQT) at http://nces.ed.gov/ nationsreportcard/itmrlsx/ landing.aspx.
The NQT makes it possible to search for questions by subject, grade, difficulty, and other characteristics. You can view questions, scoring guides, sample student responses, and performance data, as well as create customized reports.

Percentage of fourth-grade students in each response category: 2011

| Correct | Partial | Incorrect | Omitted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 31 | 18 | 47 | 4 |

The table below shows the percentage of fourth-graders performing at each achievement level who received a rating of "Correct" on the question. For example, 76 percent of students performing at the Advanced level provided a response rated as "Correct."

Percentage of fourth-grade students' responses rated as "Correct" at each achievement level: 2011

| Overall | Below Basic | At Basic | At Proficient | At Advanced |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 31 | 1 | 19 | 52 | 76 |

## Eighth-graders score higher in 2011 than in previous assessment years

The average mathematics score for the nation's eighth-graders in 2011 was higher than the scores in the eight previous assessment years (figure 18). Students scored 1 point higher in 2011 than in 2009 and 21 points higher than in 1990.

Other national results show higher scores in 2011 than 2009 for Hispanic students, female students, and students from both lower- and higher-income families. State results show higher scores in 2011 than in 2009 for 13 of the 52 participating states and jurisdictions, and a lower score in 1 state.

Figure 18. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores


## Improvement from 2009 to 2011 among middle-performing students

Scores were higher in 2011 than in 2009 for students at the 25th and 50th percentiles, but did not change significantly for lower-performing students at the 10th percentile, or higherperforming students at the 75th and 90th percentiles (figure 19). Scores at all five percentiles were higher in 2011 than in 1990.

Figure 19. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics percentile scores


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2011 Mathematics Assessments.

## A closer look at some of the background characteristics of lower- and higher-performing students

Profiles of students scoring at the lower end of the scale (below the 25th percentile) and those scoring at the higher end (above the 75th percentile) show how the two groups differed demographically.

Among eighth-graders who scored below the 25th percentile (i.e., below a score of 260) in 2011,

- 33\% were White, 28\% were Black, 32\% were Hispanic, and 2\% were Asian;
- 68\% were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch;
- 25\% were identified as students with disabilities; and
- 15\% were identified as English language learners.

Among eighth-graders who scored above the 75th percentile (i.e., above a score of 309) in 2011,

- 72\% were White, 5\% were Black, 11\% were Hispanic, and 10\% were Asian;
- 20\% were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch;
- 2\% were identified as students with disabilities; and
- 1\% were identified as English language learners.

Thirty-five percent of eighth-graders performed at or above the Proficient level in 2011, which was higher than the percentage in any of the previous assessment years (figure 20). The percentages of students performing at or above the Basic level and at Advanced did not change significantly from 2009 to 2011, but were still higher in 2011 than in the earlier assessments from 1990 to 2007.

Figure 20. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics achievement-level results
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## Scores higher than in 2009 for Hispanic students but not significantly different for other racial/ethnic groups

While there were no significant changes from 2009 to 2011 in the average scores for White or Black students (figure 21), the average score for Hispanic students was 4 points higher in 2011 than in 2009 (figure 22). Scores for all three groups were higher in 2011 than in 1990.

The 31-point score gap between White and Black students in 2011 did not differ significantly from the gap in either 2009 or 1990. The 23-point score gap between White and Hispanic students in 2011 was smaller than the gap in 2009 but not significantly different from the gap in 1990.

Figure 21. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps for White and Black students


* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.

NOTE: Black includes African American. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Score gaps are calculated based on differences between unrounded average scores.

Figure 22. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps for White and Hispanic students

*Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.
NOTE: White excludes students of Hispanic origin. Hispanic includes Latino. Score gaps are calculated based on differences between unrounded average scores.

[^13]-     -         - Accommodations not permitted - Accommodations permitted

The average score for Asian/Pacific Islander students in 2011 did not change significantly from the score in 2009 but was higher than the score in 1990 (figure 23). Asian/Pacific Islander students scored 9 points higher on average than White students in 2011, which was not significantly different from the score gap in 2009.

The average score for American Indian/Alaska Native students in 2011 was not significantly different from any of the earlier assessments in which samples were large enough to report results (figure 24). American Indian/Alaska Native students scored 28 points lower on average than White students in 2011, which was not significantly different from the gap in 2009.

Figure 23. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps for Asian/Pacific Islander and White students


* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.

NOTE: Special analyses raised concerns about the accuracy and precision of the results for Asian/Pacific Islander students in 1996; therefore, they are omitted from this figure. Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Score gaps are calculated based on differences between unrounded average scores. Score differences between Asian/Pacific Islander and White students were not found to be statistically significant in 1990, 1992, and 2000.

Figure 24. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps for White and American Indian/Alaska Native students


* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.

NOTE: Sample sizes were insufficient to permit reliable estimates for American Indian/Alaska Native students in 1990, 1992, and 1996. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Score gaps are calculated based on differences between unrounded average scores.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2011 Mathematics Assessments.

The percentage of White eighth-graders was smaller in 2011 than in any of the earlier assessment years, and the percentage of Hispanic students was larger (table 8). The percentage of Asian/ Pacific Islander students did not change significantly from 2009 to 2011 but was larger in 2011 than in 1990.

Table 8. Percentage distribution of students assessed in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity: Various years, 1990-2011

| Race/ethnicity | $1990^{1}$ | $1992^{1}$ | 1996 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| White | $73^{*}$ | $73^{*}$ | $69^{*}$ | $65^{*}$ | $63^{*}$ | $61^{*}$ | $59^{*}$ | $58^{*}$ | 55 |
| Black | 16 | $16^{*}$ | 17 | 16 | $16^{*}$ | $16^{*}$ | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Hispanic | $7^{*}$ | $8^{*}$ | $10^{*}$ | $13^{*}$ | $15^{*}$ | $16^{*}$ | $18^{*}$ | $20^{*}$ | 21 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $2^{*}$ | $2^{*}$ | $\ddagger$ | $4^{*}$ | $4^{*}$ | $5^{*}$ | $5^{*}$ | 5 | 6 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | 1 |
| Two or more races | \#* $^{*}$ | 1 | \#* $^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ | 2 |

\# Rounds to zero.
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Special analyses raised concerns about the accuracy and precision of the results for Asian/Pacific Islander students in 1996; therefore, they are omitted from this table.

* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.
${ }^{1}$ Accommodations not permitted.
NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Prior to 2011, students in the two or more races category were categorized as unclassified. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.


## NAEP Results for Newly Reported Racial/Ethnic Groups

In compliance with new standards from the U.S. Office of Management and Budget for collecting and reporting data on race/ethnicity, additional information on students' race/ethnicity was collected in 2011 so that results could be reported separately for Asian students, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students, and students categorized as being two or more races (multiracial). See the Technical Notes for more information.

The average score in 2011 for Asian students was higher than the scores for all the other reported racial/ethnic groups (table 9). Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students scored higher on average than Black students, lower than White and multiracial students, and not significantly different from Hispanic and American Indian/ Alaska Native students. The score for multiracial students was higher than the scores for Black, Hispanic, and American Indian/Alaska Native students, but lower than the score for White students.

Table 9. Percentage of students, average scores, and achievement-level results in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics, by selected racial/ethnic groups: 2011

|  |  |  | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Percentage | Average | Below | At | At |
| Selected racial/ethnic groups | of students | scale score | Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Asian | 5 | 305 | 12 | 30 | 34 | 24 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | $\#$ | 269 | 41 | 37 | 17 | 4 |
| Two or more races | 2 | 288 | 22 | 38 | 28 | 11 |

\# Rounds to zero.
NOTE: Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

## Percentages of Hispanic students at Proficient and Advanced higher than in 2009

A closer look at achievement-level results shows where improvements were made for different racial/ethnic groups. The percentages of Hispanic students performing at Proficient and at Advanced were higher in 2011 than in 2009 (figure 25). There were no significant changes from 2009 to 2011 in the percentages of students in other racial/ethnic groups performing at the Basic, Proficient, and Advanced levels.

In comparison to 1990, the percentages of students performing below the Basic level were lower in 2011 for all the racial/ethnic groups with samples large enough to report results; however, the percentage of Black students below Basic in 2011 (49 percent) was still higher than the percentages of other racial/ethnic groups. White, Hispanic, and Asian/Pacific Islander students all had higher percentages at Advanced in 2011 than in 1990. The percentage of Asian/ Pacific Islander students at Advanced in 2011 (22 percent) was double the percentage for White students (11 percent).


Figure 25. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics achievement-level results, by race/ethnicity


## Female students score higher than in 2009

The average score for female students was higher in 2011 than in 2009, while there was no significant change in the score for male students over the same period (figure 26). Scores for both groups were higher in 2011 than in the earlier assessment years from 1990 to 2007. Male students scored 1 point higher on average than female students in 2011.

Figure 26. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps, by gender
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## No significant change in gap between public and private school students

In 2011, the average mathematics score for eighth-graders attending public schools was 13 points lower than the overall score for students attending private schools, and 13 points ${ }^{2}$ lower than for students attending Catholic schools specifically (figure 27). The score gap between private and public school students in 2011 was not significantly different from the gaps in previous assessment years.

The average score for public school students was 1 point higher in 2011 than in 2009, while there was no significant change in the scores for private school students overall or for Catholic school students over the same period. Scores for all three groups were higher in 2011 than in 1990.

Ninety-two percent of eighth-graders attended public schools in 2011, and 8 percent attended private schools, including 4 percent in Catholic schools. The proportions of students attending public and private schools have not changed significantly in comparison to 2009 or 1990.

[^15]Figure 27. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores, by type of school


## Students across income levels score higher in 2011

Average mathematics scores were higher in 2011 than in earlier assessment years both for students who were eligible for free and reduced-price school lunch, as well as for students who were not eligible (figure 28). In 2011, eighth-graders who were eligible for free lunch scored 28 points lower on average than those not eligible. Students eligible for reduced-price lunch scored 16 points ${ }^{3}$ lower than those not eligible.
${ }^{3}$ The score-point difference is based on the difference between the unrounded scores as opposed to the rounded scores shown in the figure.

Figure 28. Trend in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores, by eligibility for free or reduced-price school lunch


In comparison to previous assessment years, the percentage of eighth-graders eligible for free school lunch was larger in 2011, and the percentages of students eligible for reduced-price school lunch or not eligible for NSLP were smaller (table 10).

Table 10. Percentage distribution of students assessed in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics, by eligibility for free or reduced-price school lunch: Various years, 2003-2011

| Eligibility status | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Eligible for free lunch | $26^{*}$ | $29^{*}$ | $32^{*}$ | $34^{*}$ | 39 |
| Eligible for reduced-price lunch | $7^{*}$ | $7^{*}$ | $6^{*}$ | $6^{*}$ | 5 |
| Not eligible | $55^{*}$ | $56^{*}$ | $55^{*}$ | $54^{*}$ | 50 |
| Information not available | $11^{*}$ | $8^{*}$ | $7^{*}$ | $7^{*}$ | 6 |

* Significantly different (p<.05) from 2011.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

## One-third of students taking algebra I in eighth grade

Eighth-graders participating in the 2011 NAEP mathematics assessment were asked what math class they were taking that year. Students selected one course from the following list:

- Geometry
- Algebra II
- Algebra I (one-year course)
- First year of a two-year Algebra I course
- Introduction to algebra or pre-algebra
- Basic or general eighth-grade math
- Integrated or sequential math
- Other math class
- Second year of a two-year Algebra I course

Thirty-four percent of eighth-graders reported taking algebra I (one-year course) in 2011, which was higher than the percentages of students who reported taking each of the other types of mathematics classes listed (table 11). The next highest percentage of students reported taking basic or general mathematics followed by those taking an introductory algebra class.

The percentage of students who reported taking algebra I in 2011 was not significantly different from 2009 but was higher than the percentage who reported taking it in 2005. The percentage of students who reported taking an introductory algebra class was lower in 2011 than in 2009 and 2005. There has been no significant change in the percentage of students taking a basic or general mathematics class.

Table 11. Percentage of students assessed in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics, by the type of mathematics class taken during the school year: Various years, 2005-11

| Type of class taken | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Geometry | $4^{*}$ | $4^{*}$ | $4^{*}$ | 5 |
| Algebra II | $3^{*}$ | $3^{*}$ | $3^{*}$ | 4 |
| Algebra I (one-year course) | $30^{*}$ | $31^{*}$ | 33 | 34 |
| First year of a two-year Algebra I course | $3^{*}$ | $3^{*}$ | 2 | 2 |
| Second year of a two-year Algebra I course | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Introduction to algebra or pre-algebra | $27^{*}$ | $27^{*}$ | $25^{*}$ | 23 |
| Basic or general eighth-grade math | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Integrated or sequential math | $1^{*}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Other math class | $5^{*}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |

* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.


Students who reported taking algebra I scored higher on average than students taking an introductory algebra class or a basic or general mathematics class (figure 29). The average score for students who reported taking a basic mathematics class was lower than the score for students taking an introduction to algebra.

Figure 29. Average scores in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics, by the type of mathematics class students took during the school year: 2011


NOTE: Results are not shown for the other types of mathematics classes taken by students.

The proportions of students taking certain mathematics courses in 2011 varied by race/ethnicity (table 12). For example, with one exception, the percentage of Asian students taking algebra I was higher than the percentages of other racial/ethnic groups (the percentage of Asian students was not significantly different from the percentage of Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students taking algebra I). The percentage of American Indian/Alaska Native students taking an introductory algebra class was higher than the percentages of other racial/ethnic groups. The percentages of students taking a basic math course were higher for Black, Hispanic, and American Indian/Alaska Native students than for White, Asian, and multiracial students.

Table 12. Percentage of students assessed in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity and the type of mathematics class taken during the school year: 2011

| Type of class taken | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian | American Indian/Alaska Native | Native <br> Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander | Two or more races |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra I (one-year course) | 36 | 28 | 33 | 45 | 24 | 37 | 34 |
| Introduction to algebra or pre-algebra | 25 | 23 | 20 | 13 | 32 | 20 | 24 |
| Basic or general eighth-grade math | 23 | 30 | 29 | 13 | 29 | 26 | 23 |

NOTE: Results are not shown for the other types of mathematics classes taken by students. Black includes African American, and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.
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## State Performance at Grade 8

All 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Department of Defense schools participated in the 2011 mathematics assessment at grade 8. These 52 states and jurisdictions are all referred to as "states" in the following summary of results. State results for grade 8 are also available for eight earlier assessment years (table 13). While all states have participated in the assessments since 2003, not all have participated or met the criteria for reporting in earlier assessment years.
As in the grade 4 section, the results presented in this section for the nation and states are for public school students only and may differ from the national results presented earlier that are based on data for both public and private school students.

## Scores higher than in 2009 for students in 13 states and lower in 1 state

The map below highlights changes in states' average eighth-grade mathematics scores from 2009 to 2011 (figure 30). Scores were higher in 2011 than in 2009 in Arkansas, Colorado, the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Maine, Mississippi, Nevada, New Mexico, Ohio, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Texas, and West Virginia. The average score in Missouri was lower in 2011 than in 2009.

Thirty-four percent of eighth-grade public school students performed at or above the Proficient level in 2011, with percentages ranging from 17 percent in the District of Columbia to 51 percent in Massachusetts (figure 31). The percentages of students at or above Proficient were higher in 2011 than in 2009 in the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Maine, Mississippi, Nevada, New Mexico, Rhode Island, and Virginia (see appendix table A-23). Percentages of students at or above Proficient were lower in 2011 than in 2009 in Missouri, New York, and Oregon.

Figure 30. Changes in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores between 2009 and 2011


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Table 13. Average scores in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1990-2011

|  | Accommodations not permitted |  |  |  | Accommodations permitted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State/jurisdiction | 1990 | 1992 | 1996 | 2000 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 262* | 267* | 271* | 274* | 272* | 276* | 278* | 280* | 282* | 283 |
| Alabama | 253* | 252* | 257* | 262* | 264* | 262* | 262* | 266 | 269 | 269 |
| Alaska | - | - | 278* | - | - | 279* | 279* | 283 | 283 | 283 |
| Arizona | 260* | 265* | 268* | 271* | 269* | 271* | 274* | $276 *$ | 277 | 279 |
| Arkansas | 256* | 256* | 262* | 261* | 257* | 266* | 272* | $274 *$ | 276* | 279 |
| California | 256* | 261* | 263* | 262* | 260* | 267* | 269* | 270 | 270 | 273 |
| Colorado | 267* | 272 * | 276* | - | - | 283* | 281* | $286 *$ | 287* | 292 |
| Connecticut | 270* | 274* | 280* | 282* | 281* | 284* | 281* | $282 *$ | 289 | 287 |
| Delaware | 261* | 263* | 267* | - | - | 277* | 281* | 283 | 284 | 283 |
| Florida | 255* | 260* | 264* | - | - | 271* | 274* | 277 | 279 | 278 |
| Georgia | 259* | 259* | 262* | 266* | 265* | 270 * | 272* | 275* | 278 | 278 |
| Hawaii | 251* | 257* | 262* | 263* | 262* | 266* | 266* | 269* | 274* | 278 |
| Idaho | 271* | 275* | - | 278* | 277* | 280* | 281* | 284* | 287 | 287 |
| Illinois | 261* | - | - | 277* | 275* | 277* | 278* | 280 | 282 | 283 |
| Indiana | 267* | 270* | $276 *$ | 283 | 281* | 281* | $282^{*}$ | 285 | 287 | 285 |
| lowa | 278* | 283 | 284 | - | - | 284 | 284 | 285 | 284 | 285 |
| Kansas | - | - | - | 284* | 283* | 284* | 284* | 290 | 289 | 290 |
| Kentucky | 257* | 262* | 267* | 272* | 270* | 274* | 274* | 279* | 279 | 282 |
| Louisiana | 246* | 250* | 252* | 259* | 259* | 266* | 268* | 272 | 272 | 273 |
| Maine | - | 279* | 284* | 284* | 281* | 282* | 281* | 286* | 286* | 289 |
| Maryland | 261* | 265* | 270* | 276* | 272* | 278* | 278* | 286 | 288 | 288 |
| Massachusetts | - | 273* | 278* | 283* | 279* | 287* | 292* | 298 | 299 | 299 |
| Michigan | 264* | 267* | 277 | 278 | 277 | 276 | 277 | 277 | 278 | 280 |
| Minnesota | 275* | 282* | 284* | 288* | 287* | 291* | 290* | 292* | 294 | 295 |
| Mississippi | - | 246 * | 250* | 254* | 254* | 261* | 262* | 265* | 265* | 269 |
| Missouri | - | 271* | 273* | 274* | 271* | 279* | 276* | 281 | 286* | 282 |
| Montana | 280* | - | 283* | 287* | 285* | 286* | 286* | $287 *$ | 292 | 293 |
| Nebraska | 276* | 278* | 283 | 281 | 280* | 282 | 284 | 284 | 284 | 283 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | 268* | 265* | 268* | 270* | 271* | 274* | 278 |
| New Hampshire | 273* | 278* | - | - | - | 286* | 285* | 288* | 292 | 292 |
| New Jersey | 270* | 272 * | - | - | - | 281* | 284* | 289* | 293 | 294 |
| New Mexico | 256* | 260 * | 262* | 260* | 259* | 263* | 263* | 268* | 270* | 274 |
| New York | 261* | 266* | 270 * | 276 | 271* | 280 | 280 | 280 | 283 | 280 |
| North Carolina | 250* | 258* | 268* | $280 *$ | 276* | 281* | 282* | 284 | 284 | 286 |
| North Dakota | 281* | 283* | 284* | 283* | 282* | 287* | 287* | 292 | 293 | 292 |
| Ohio | 264* | 268* | - | 283* | 281* | 282* | 283* | 285* | 286* | 289 |
| Oklahoma | 263* | 268* | - | 272* | $270 *$ | 272* | 271* | 275* | 276* | 279 |
| Oregon | 271* | - | 276* | 281 | 280 | 281 | 282 | 284 | 285 | 283 |
| Pennsylvania | 266* | 271* | - | - | - | 279* | 281* | 286 | 288 | 286 |
| Rhode Island | 260* | 266* | 269* | 273* | 269* | 272* | 272* | 275* | 278* | 283 |
| South Carolina | - | 261* | 261* | 266* | 265* | 277* | 281 | 282 | 280 | 281 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 285* | 287* | $288 *$ | 291 | 291 |
| Tennessee | - | 259* | 263* | 263* | 262* | 268* | 271* | 274 | 275 | 274 |
| Texas | 258* | 265* | 270* | 275* | 273* | 277* | 281* | 286 * | 287* | 290 |
| Utah | - | 274* | 277* | 275* | 274* | 281* | 279* | 281 | 284 | 283 |
| Vermont | - | - | 279* | 283* | 281* | 286* | 287* | 291* | 293 | 294 |
| Virginia | 264* | 268* | 270 * | 277* | 275* | 282* | 284* | 288 | 286 | 289 |
| Washington | - | - | 276* | - | - | 281* | 285* | 285* | 289 | 288 |
| West Virginia | 256* | 259* | 265* | 271* | 266* | 271 | 269* | 270 * | 270 * | 273 |
| Wisconsin | 274* | 278* | 283* | - | - | 284* | 285* | 286* | 288 | 289 |
| Wyoming | 272* | 275* | 275* | 277* | 276* | 284* | 282* | 287 | 286 | 288 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 231* | 235* | 233* | 234* | 235* | 243* | 245* | $248 *$ | 254* | 260 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | 274* | 278* | 277* | 285* | 284* | 285* | 287 | 288 |

- Not available. The state/jurisdiction did not participate or did not meet the minimum participation guidelines for reporting.
* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011 when only one state/jurisdiction or the nation is being examined.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Figure 31. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students, by state/jurisdiction: 2011


[^17]NOTE: The shaded bars are graphed using unrounded numbers. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

## Over one-third of states have 50 percent or more of eighth-graders eligible for school lunch

Information about differences in the demographic makeup of individual states provides a necessary context for interpreting state results. For example, the proportions of eighth-graders from lower-income families who were eligible for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) varied among states (figure 32). Forty-eight percent of eighth-grade public school students in the nation were eligible for either free or reduced-price school lunch in 2011 (see appendix table A-21). The percentages of eligible students ranged from 23 percent in New Hampshire to 71 percent in the District of Columbia. In comparison to 2003, the percentages of eligible students were larger in 2011 for the nation and all the states except West Virginia where there was no significant change.

Figure 32. Percentage range of eighth-grade public school students assessed in NAEP mathematics who were identified as eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch: 2011


## Score gaps between higher- and lower-income students narrow from 2003 in four states and widen in one state

Average mathematics scores were higher in 2011 than in 2003 both for students who were not eligible for free or reduced-price school lunch (those from higher-income families) and students who were eligible (those from lower-income families) in the nation and in 44 states (figure 33). Only five states had a statistically significant change in the score gaps between the two groups over that period.

- Score gaps in Georgia, Illinois, and Massachusetts narrowed, where scores for both groups were higher than in 2003.
- The gap in New York narrowed, where the score for students who were not eligible did not change significantly, and the score for eligible students was higher than in 2003.
- The gap in the District of Columbia widened, where scores for both groups were higher than in 2003.

Figure 33. Changes between 2003 and 2011 NAEP mathematics average scores and score gaps for eighth-grade public school students, by eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch and state/jurisdiction

| State/jurisdiction | Eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch |  | Score gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not eligible | Eligible | Not eligible - Eligible |
| Nation (public) | A | A | Narrowed |
| Alabama | A | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Alaska | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Arizona | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Arkansas | $\Delta$ | A | - |
| California | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Colorado | - | $\Delta$ | - |
| Connecticut | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Delaware | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Florida | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Georgia | A | - | Narrowed |
| Hawaii | A | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Idaho | $\Delta$ | A | $\checkmark$ |
| Illinois | - | A | Narrowed |
| Indiana | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| lowa | A | A | $\checkmark$ |
| Kansas | $\Delta$ | - | - |
| Kentucky | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Louisiana | - | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ }$ |
| Maine | - | - | - |
| Maryland | $\Delta$ | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Massachusetts | - | $\Delta$ | Narrowed |
| Michigan | A | A | $\checkmark$ |
| Minnesota | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Mississippi | $\Delta$ | - | - |
| Missouri | A | A | $\checkmark$ |
| Montana | $\Delta$ | - | - |
| Nebraska | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Nevada | $\Delta$ | $\triangle$ | - |
| New Hampshire | A | A | $\checkmark$ |
| New Jersey | A | - | - |
| New Mexico | A | A | $\checkmark$ |
| New York | $\checkmark$ | - | Narrowed |
| North Carolina | - | A | $\checkmark$ |
| North Dakota | $\Delta$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Ohio | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Oklahoma | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Oregon | A | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Pennsylvania | - | $\Delta$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Rhode Island | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| South Carolina | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | $\checkmark$ |
| South Dakota | A | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Tennessee | - | $\Delta$ | - |
| Texas | A | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Utah | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Vermont | A | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Virginia | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Washington | A | - | $\checkmark$ |
| West Virginia | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Wisconsin | - | - | - |
| Wyoming | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | A | $\Delta$ | Widened |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| A Higher in 2011. <br> Not significantly diff | \# Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate |  |  |

## Compare <br> Results Among <br> Participating States

The NAEP State Comparison Tool (http://nces.ed.gov/ nationsreportcard/ statecomparisons/) provides tables and maps showing how the average scores in states overall and for selected student groups compare, or how the change in performance between two assessment years compares across states.
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# Assessment Content at Grade 8 


#### Abstract

Additional insight into students' performance on the NAEP mathematics assessment can be obtained by examining what eighth-graders are expected to know and be able to do and how they performed on some of the assessment questions designed to measure their knowledge and skills.


## Mathematics Achievement-Level Descriptions for Grade 8

NAEP mathematics achievement-level descriptions outline expectations of student performance at each grade. The specific descriptions of what eighth-graders should know and be able to do at the Basic, Proficient, and Advanced mathematics achievement levels are presented below. (Note that the shaded text is a short, general summary to describe performance at each achievement level.)
NAEP achievement levels are cumulative; therefore, students performing at the Proficient level also display the competencies associated with the Basic level, and students at the Advanced level also demonstrate the skills and knowledge associated with both the Basic and the Proficient levels. The cut score indicating the lower end of the score range for each level is noted in parentheses.

Basic (262)

> Eighth-grade students performing at the Basic level should exhibit evidence of conceptual and procedural understanding in the five NAEP content areas. This level of performance signifies an understanding of arithmetic operations-including estimationon whole numbers, decimals, fractions, and percents.

Eighth-graders performing at the Basic level should complete problems correctly with the help of structural prompts such as diagrams, charts, and graphs. They should be able to solve problems in all NAEP content areas through the appropriate selection and use of strategies and technological tools-including calculators, computers, and geometric shapes.
Students at this level also should be able to use fundamental algebraic and informal geometric concepts in problem solving.
As they approach the Proficient level, students at the Basic level should be able to determine which of the available data are necessary and sufficient for correct solutions and use them in problem solving. However, these eighth-graders show limited skill in communicating mathematically.

## Proficient (299)


#### Abstract

Eighth-grade students performing at the Proficient level should apply mathematical concepts and procedures consistently to complex problems in the five NAEP content areas.


Eighth-graders performing at the Proficient level should be able to conjecture, defend their ideas, and give supporting examples. They should understand the connections among fractions, percents, decimals, and other mathematical topics such as algebra and functions. Students at this level are expected to have a thorough understanding of Basic level arithmetic operations-an understanding sufficient for problem solving in practical situations.

Quantity and spatial relationships in problem solving and reasoning should be familiar to them, and they should be able to convey underlying reasoning skills beyond the level of arithmetic. They should be able to compare and contrast mathematical ideas and generate their own examples. These students should make inferences from data and graphs; apply properties of informal geometry; and accurately use the tools of technology. Students at this level should understand the process of gathering and organizing data and be able to calculate, evaluate, and communicate results within the domain of statistics and probability.

## Advanced (333)

> Eighth-grade students performing at the Advanced level should be able to reach beyond the recognition, identification, and application of mathematical rules in order to generalize and synthesize concepts and principles in the five NAEP content areas.

Eighth-graders performing at the Advanced level should be able to probe examples and counterexamples in order to shape generalizations from which they can develop models. Eighth-graders performing at the Advanced level should use number sense and geometric awareness to consider the reasonableness of an answer. They are expected to use abstract thinking to create unique problem-solving techniques and explain the reasoning processes underlying their conclusions.

## What Eighth-Graders Know and Can Do in Mathematics

The item map below is useful for understanding performance at different levels on the NAEP scale. The scale scores on the left represent the scores for students who were likely to get the items correct or complete. The cut score at the lower end of the range for each achievement level is boxed. The descriptions of selected assessment questions indicating what students need to do to answer the question correctly, along with the corresponding mathematics content areas are listed on the right.

For example, the map on this page shows that eighth-graders performing at the Basic level with a score of 290 were likely to be able to solve a story problem that involves computing with money. Students performing at the Proficient level with a score of 317 were likely to be able to use an algebraic model to estimate height. Students performing at the Advanced level with a score of 346 were likely to be able to use number properties to determine the parity of an unknown number.

GRADE 8 NAEP MATHEMATICS ITEM MAP

| Scale score | Content area | Question description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

NOTE: Regular type denotes a constructed-response question. Italic type denotes a multiple-choice question. The position of a question on the scale represents the scale score attained by students who had a 65 percent probability of successfully answering a constructed-response question, or a 72 percent probability of correctly answering a five-option multiple-choice question. For constructed-response questions, the question description represents students' performance rated as completely correct. Scale score ranges for mathematics achievement levels are referenced on the map.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

## Mathematics Content Area: Geometry



In this figure, line $\ell$ is parallel to line $m$. Which of the following pairs of angles must have the same measure?
(A) Angles 1 and 2
(B) Angles 1 and 5
© Angles 2 and 3
(D) Angles 4 and 5
(E) Angles 4 and 8

In this multiple-choice question from the grade 8 mathematics assessment, students are presented with a set of parallel lines cut by a nonperpendicular transversal and are asked to identify a pair of angles that must have the same measure. This question requires students to use properties of parallel lines and transversals to recognize pairs of congruent angles. Students were not permitted to use a calculator to answer this question.

Seventy-one percent of eighth-graders were able to correctly identify that angles 4 and 5 must have the same measure (Choice D). The other answer choices represent different pairs of supplementary angles. The most common incorrect answer (Choice C) was selected by 15 percent of students and may have been selected more frequently because it is the only choice where the pair of angles are consecutive interior angles.

Percentage of eighth-grade students in each response category: 2011

| Choice A | Choice B | Choice C | Choice D | Choice E | Omitted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 5 | 15 | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | 4 | 1 |

The table below shows the percentage of eighth-grade students performing at each achievement level who answered this question correctly. For example, 72 percent of eighth-graders at the Basic level selected the correct answer choice.

Percentage of eighth-grade students responding correctly at each achievement level: 2011

| Overall | Below Basic | At Basic | At Proficient | At Advanced |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 71 | 39 | 72 | 93 | 99 |

## Mathematics Content Area: Algebra

Which of the following is an equation of a line that passes through the point $(0,5)$ and has a negative slope?
(A) $y=5 x$
(B) $y=5 x-5$
(C) $y=5 x+5$
(D) $y=-5 x-5$
(2) $y=-5 x+5$

This question asks students to identify an equation of a line that satisfies two conditions: the graph of the line passes through a given point, and it has a negative slope. The given point is the $y$-intercept of the graph of the line, and all answer choices were presented in slope-intercept form. Students were not permitted to use a calculator to answer this question.

The correct answer (Choice E) was chosen by 31 percent of eighth-grade students. Students who correctly answered this question were able to recognize properties of a line written in slope-intercept form.

The equations in the incorrect answer choices had the following properties:

- Choice $A$ is an equation of a line having a positive slope and $y$-intercept at $(0,0)$,
- Choice $B$ is an equation of a line having a positive slope and $y$-intercept at ( $0,-5$ ),
- Choice $C$ is an equation of a line with the correct $y$-intercept at $(0,5)$, but the slope is positive, and
- Choice $D$ is an equation of a line having a negative slope, but an incorrect $y$-intercept at ( $0,-5$ ).

The most commonly selected incorrect answer (Choice B) may have been the result of reversing the signs of the values in the equation that represents the slope and the $y$-intercept.

Percentage of eighth-grade students in each response category: 2011

| Choice A | Choice B | Choice C | Choice D | Choice E | Omitted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 | 27 | 9 | 20 | 31 | 1 |

The table below shows the percentage of eighth-grade students performing at each achievement level who answered this question correctly. For example, 84 percent of eighth-graders at the Advanced level selected the correct answer choice.

Percentage of eighth-grade students responding correctly
at each achievement level: 2011

| Overall | Below Basic | At Basic | At Proficient | At Advanced |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 31 | 14 | 21 | 47 | 84 |

## Mathematics Content Area: Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability

The circular spinner shown below is divided into 6 congruent sectors. The sectors are yellow or blue.


Label each of the sectors either yellow (Y) or blue (B) so that the probability of spinning the arrow once and landing on yellow is $\frac{1}{3}$.

This short constructed-response question asks students to label (either yellow or blue) the sectors of a spinner that has been divided into 6 congruent sectors to match a given probability. To answer this question correctly, students must determine how many of the sectors need to be labeled yellow and how many sectors need to be labeled blue, so that the probability of spinning the arrow one time and landing on a sector labeled yellow is $\frac{1}{3}$. Students who correctly answered this question recognized that the given probability, $\frac{1}{3}$, needed to be converted to sixths to correspond to the 6 sectors on the spinner. Since $\frac{1}{3}$ is equivalent to $\frac{2}{6}$, a total of 2 sectors need to be labeled yellow, and the remaining 4 sectors need to be labeled blue. Students were permitted to use a calculator to solve this question.

Responses were rated using two scoring levels.
Correct responses labeled the spinner so that 2 sectors were labeled yellow and 4 sectors were labeled blue. (Part of the requirement for a rating of "Correct" was to label each sector of the spinner, including the correct number of blue sectors.)

Incorrect responses did not have the correct number of sectors labeled yellow or blue.

The student response shown below was rated as "Correct" because 2 sectors are labeled " $Y$ " for yellow and 4 sectors are labeled "B" for blue. Fifty-two percent of eighth-graders' responses to this question received a rating of "Correct."


## Explore

More NAEP Mathematics Questions
See how well you perform on NAEP sample questions and how your answers relate to student performance in our Test Yourself tool at: http://nationsreportcard .gov/math_2011/sample_ quest.asp.

Percentage of eighth-grade students in each response category: 2011

| Correct | Incorrect | Omitted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 52 | 46 | 2 |

The table below shows the percentage of eighth-graders performing at each achievement level who received a rating of "Correct" on the question. For example, 81 percent of students performing at the Proficient level provided responses that were rated "Correct."

Percentage of eighth-grade students' responses rated as "Correct" at each achievement level: 2011

| Overall | Below Basic | At Basic | At Proficient | At Advanced |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 52 | 14 | 48 | 81 | 96 |

## NAEP Inclusion

It is important for NAEP to assess as many students selected to participate as possible. Assessing representative samples of students, including students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), helps to ensure that NAEP results accurately reflect the educational performance of all students in the target population, and can continue to serve as a meaningful measure of U.S. students' academic achievement over time.

The National Assessment Governing Board, which sets policy for NAEP, has been exploring ways to ensure that NAEP continues to appropriately include as many students as possible and to do so in a consistent manner for all jurisdictions assessed and reported. In March 2010, the Governing Board adopted a new policy, NAEP Testing and Reporting on Students with Disabilities and English Language Learners. This policy was the culmination of work with experts in testing and curriculum, and those who work with exceptional children and students learning to speak English. The policy aims to

- maximize participation of sampled students in NAEP,
- reduce variation in exclusion rates for SD and ELL students across states and districts,
- develop uniform national rules for including students in NAEP, and
- ensure that NAEP is fully representative of SD and ELL students.

The policy defines specific inclusion goals for NAEP samples. At the national, state, and district levels, the goal is to include 95 percent of all students selected for the NAEP samples, and 85 percent of those in the NAEP sample who are identified as SD or ELL.

Students are selected to participate in NAEP based on a sampling procedure designed to yield a sample of students that is representative of students in all schools nationwide and in public schools within each state. First, schools are selected, and then students are sampled from within those schools without regard to disability or English language proficiency. Once students are selected, those previously identified as SD or ELL may be offered accommodations or excluded.
States and jurisdictions vary in their proportions of special-needs students and in their policies on inclusion and the use of accommodations. Despite the increasing identification of SD and ELL students in some states, in particular of ELL students at grade 4, NAEP inclusion rates have generally remained steady or increased since 2003. Only a small number of states included a smaller percentage of students in the 2011 NAEP mathematics assessments than in 2009. Inclusion rates decreased by more than 1 percentage point for 3 of 52 jurisdictions at each grade. This reflects efforts on the part of states and jurisdictions to include all students who can meaningfully participate in the NAEP assessments. The new NAEP inclusion policy is an effort to ensure that this trend continues.

Determining whether each jurisdiction has met the NAEP inclusion goals involves looking at three different inclusion rates-an overall inclusion rate, an inclusion rate for SD students, and an inclusion rate for ELL students. Each inclusion rate is calculated as the percentage of sampled students who were included in the assessment (i.e., were not excluded).

Inclusion rate percentages are estimates because they are based on representative samples of students rather than on the entire population of students. As such, the inclusion rates are associated with a margin of error. The margin of error for each jurisdiction's inclusion rate was
taken into account when comparing it to the corresponding inclusion goal. For example, if the point estimate of a state's overall inclusion rate was 93 percent and had a margin of error of plus or minus 3 percentage points, the state was considered to have met the 95 percent inclusion goal because the 95 percent goal falls within the margin of error, which ranges from 90 percent to 96 percent. Refer to the Technical Notes for more details about how the margin of error was used in these calculations.

Most of the states/jurisdictions participating in the 2011 mathematics assessment met the 95 percent inclusion goal (figure 34). The goal was not met at grade 8 in Maryland, and at grades 4 and 8 in Oklahoma. See appendix table A-4 for the inclusion rates as a percentage of all students selected in each state/jurisdiction, and table A-5 for the rates as a percentage of the SD or ELL students.

Figure 34. States and jurisdictions meeting the 95 percent inclusion rate goal in NAEP mathematics at grades 4 and 8: 2011


Department of Detense tducation Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

## Inclusion Policy

See the National Assessment Governing Board's policy on NAEP Testing and Reporting on Students with Disabilities and English Language Learners at http://www.nagb.org/policies/PoliciesPDFs/ Reporting\%20and\%20Dissemination/naep_testandreport_studentswithdisabilities.pdf.

# Technical Notes 

## Sampling and Weighting

The schools and students participating in NAEP assessments are selected to be representative of all schools nationally and of public schools at the state level. Samples of schools and students are drawn from each state and from the District of Columbia and Department of Defense schools. The results from the assessed students are combined to provide accurate estimates of the overall performance of students in the nation and in individual states and other jurisdictions.

While national results reflect the performance of students in both public and nonpublic schools (i.e., private schools, Bureau of Indian Education schools, and Department of Defense schools), state-level results reflect the performance of public school students only. More information on sampling can be found at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/about/nathow.asp.

Because each school that participated in the assessment, and each student assessed, represents a portion of the population of interest, the results are weighted to account for the disproportionate representation of the selected sample. This includes oversampling of schools with high concentrations of students from certain racial/ethnic groups and the lower sampling rates of students who attend very small schools.

## School and Student Participation

## National participation

To ensure unbiased samples, NAEP statistical standards require that participation rates for original school samples be 70 percent or higher to report national results separately for public and private schools. In instances where participation rates meet the 70 percent criterion but fall below 85 percent, a nonresponse bias analysis is conducted to determine if the responding school sample is not representative of the population, thereby introducing the potential for nonresponse bias.

The weighted national school participation rates for the 2011 mathematics assessment were 97 percent for grade 4 ( 100 percent for public schools and 74 percent for private schools), and 98 percent for grade 8 ( 100 percent for public schools and 74 percent for private schools). Weighted student participation rates were 95 percent at grade 4, and 93 percent at grade 8.

Nonresponse bias analyses were conducted for the private school samples at both grades. The results of the nonresponse bias analyses showed that, while the original responding school samples may have been somewhat different from the entire sample of eligible schools, including substitute schools and adjusting the sampling weights to account for school nonresponse were partially effective in reducing the potential for nonresponse bias. However, some variables examined in the analyses still indicated potential bias after nonresponse adjustments. For instance, smaller schools were somewhat overrepresented in the final private school samples at both grades, and the responding sample of private schools at grade 8 contained a higher percentage of Black students and a lower percentage of White students than the original sample of eligible private schools.

## State participation

Standards established by the National Assessment Governing Board require that school participation rates for the original state samples need to be at least 85 percent for results to be reported. In 2011, all 52 states and jurisdictions participating in the mathematics assessment at grades 4 and 8 met this participation rate requirement with participation rates of 99 or 100 percent.

## Confidence intervals for state inclusion rates

NAEP endeavors to include as many sampled students as possible in the assessment, including students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), and has established specific inclusion goals: 95 percent of all sampled students and 85 percent of sampled students identified as SD or ELL. Inclusion rates were computed for each state/jurisdiction participating in the 2011 assessment and compared to NAEP inclusion goals. Specifically, Wilson confidence intervals were used in order to avoid having an upper bound greater than 1.

Three inclusion percentages were computed for each state/jurisdiction. An overall inclusion percentage represents included students as a percentage of all students sampled within the state/jurisdiction. In addition, separate percentages were computed to report included students as a percentage of the state/jurisdiction sample that was identified as SD or ELL.

Inclusion percentages are estimates based on a sample, and each estimate has a measure of uncertainty or margin of error. Confidence intervals quantify this uncertainty due to sampling, resulting in interval estimates of the inclusion percentages. Therefore, confidence intervals for inclusion percentages were used to determine upper and lower confidence bounds around the inclusion point estimates.

When determining whether each state/jurisdiction met the NAEP inclusion goals, the confidence intervals were used, rather than just the point estimates. This means that if the inclusion goal of either 95 percent or 85 percent fell within the corresponding confidence interval, the state/ jurisdiction was considered as having met the goal. States/jurisdictions for which the upper bound of the confidence interval was less than 95 percent (or 85 percent) did not meet the inclusion goal.

## Interpreting Statistical Significance

Comparisons over time or between groups are based on statistical tests that consider both the size of the differences and the standard errors of the two statistics being compared. Standard errors are margins of error, and estimates based on smaller groups are likely to have larger margins of error. The size of the standard errors may also be influenced by other factors such as how representative the assessed students are of the entire population.

When an estimate has a large standard error, a numerical difference that seems large may not be statistically significant. Differences of the same magnitude may or may not be statistically significant depending upon the size of the standard errors of the estimates. For example, a 1-point change in the average score for fourth-grade public school students may be statistically significant, while a 1-point change for private school students is not. Standard errors for the estimates presented in this report are available at http://nces.ed.gov/ nationsreportcard/naepdata/.

To ensure that significant differences in NAEP data reflect actual differences and not mere chance, error rates need to be controlled when making multiple simultaneous comparisons. The more comparisons that are made (e.g., comparing the performance of White, Black, Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, and American Indian/Alaska Native students), the higher the probability of finding significant differences by chance. In NAEP, the Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate (FDR) procedure is used to control the expected proportion of falsely rejected hypotheses relative to the number of comparisons that are conducted. A detailed explanation of this procedure can be found at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/tdw/analysis/infer.asp. NAEP employs a number of rules to determine the number of comparisons conducted, which in most cases is simply the number of possible statistical tests. However, when comparing multiple years, the number of years does not count toward the number of comparisons.

## Race/Ethnicity

Prior to 2011, student race/ethnicity was obtained from school records and reported for the six mutually exclusive categories shown on the left side of the chart below. Students identified with more than one of the other five categories were classified as "other" and were included as part of the "unclassified" category, along with students who had a background other than the ones listed or whose race/ethnicity could not be determined.

| Racial/ethnic categories |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Prior to 2011 | In 2011 |
| 1. White | 1. White |
| 2. Black | 2. Black |
| 3. Hispanic | 3. Hispanic |
| 4. Asian/Pacific Islander | 4. Asian |
|  | 5. Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander |
| 5. American Indian/Alaska Native | 6. American Indian/Alaska Native |
| 6. Other or unclassified | 7. Two or more races |

NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.
In compliance with new standards from the U.S. Office of Management and Budget for collecting and reporting data on race/ethnicity, additional information was collected in 2011 so that results could be reported separately for Asian students, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students, and students identifying with two or more races. Beginning in 2011, all of the students participating in NAEP were identified as one of the seven racial/ethnic categories listed on the right side of the chart.

As in earlier years, students identified as Hispanic were classified as Hispanic in 2011 even if they were also identified with another racial/ethnic group. Students identified with two or more of the other racial/ethnic groups (e.g., White and Black) would have been classified as "other" and reported as part of the "unclassified" category prior to 2011, and were classified as "two or more races" in 2011.

When comparing the results for racial/ethnic groups from 2011 to earlier assessment years in this report, the 2011 data for Asian and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students were combined into a single Asian/Pacific Islander category.

## National School Lunch Program

NAEP collects data on student eligibility for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) as an indicator of low family income. Under the guidelines of NSLP, children from families with incomes below 130 percent of the poverty level are eligible for free meals. Those from families with incomes between 130 and 185 percent of the poverty level are eligible for reduced-price meals. (For the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011, for a family of four, 130 percent of the poverty level was $\$ 28,665$, and 185 percent was $\$ 40,793$ in most states.)

Some schools provide free meals to all students irrespective of individual eligibility, using their own funds to cover the costs of noneligible students. Under special provisions of the National School Lunch Act intended to reduce the administrative burden of determining student eligibility every year, schools can be reimbursed based on eligibility data for a single base year. Participating schools might have high percentages of eligible students and report all students as eligible for free lunch. Because of the improved quality of the data on students' eligibility for NSLP, the percentage of students for whom information was not available has decreased compared to the percentages reported prior to the 2003 assessment. Therefore, trend comparisons are only made back to 2003 in this report. For more information on NSLP, visit http://www.fns.usda.gov/cnd/lunch/.

## Appendix Tables

Table A-1. Percentage of fourth- and eighth-grade public and nonpublic school students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) identified, excluded, and assessed in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of all students, by grade and SD/ELL category: Various years, 1992-2011

| Grade and SD/ELL category | Accommodations not permitted |  | Accommodations permitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1992 | 1996 | 1996 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 9 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 |
| Excluded | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Assessed | 3 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| Without accommodations | 3 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| With accommodations | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | 5 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 12 |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 7 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Excluded | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Assessed | 3 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Without accommodations | 3 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| With accommodations | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 3 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Excluded | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Assessed | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| Without accommodations | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| With accommodations | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 9 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Excluded | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Assessed | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| Without accommodations | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| With accommodations | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | 3 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 10 |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 7 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Excluded | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Assessed | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Without accommodations | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| With accommodations | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | 2 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| Excluded | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# |
| Assessed | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Without accommodations | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| With accommodations | + | $\dagger$ | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

[^19]Table A-2. Percentage of fourth- and eighth-grade public and nonpublic school students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) identified, excluded, and assessed in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of students within their racial/ethnic group, by grade and SD/ELL category: 2011

| Grade and SD/ELL category | Race/ethnicity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Black | Hispanic |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |
| Identified | 14 | 17 | 45 |
| Excluded | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Assessed | 12 | 14 | 43 |
| Without accommodations | 3 | 3 | 24 |
| With accommodations | 9 | 12 | 19 |
| SD |  |  |  |
| Identified | 13 | 15 | 12 |
| Excluded | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Assessed | 11 | 12 | 10 |
| Without accommodations | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| With accommodations | 8 | 10 | 8 |
| ELL |  |  |  |
| Identified | 1 | 2 | 38 |
| Excluded | \# | \# | 1 |
| Assessed | 1 | 2 | 37 |
| Without accommodations | \# | 1 | 23 |
| With accommodations | \# | 1 | 14 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |
| Identified | 12 | 17 | 28 |
| Excluded | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Assessed | 10 | 13 | 26 |
| Without accommodations | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| With accommodations | 8 | 11 | 13 |
| SD |  |  |  |
| Identified | 12 | 15 | 12 |
| Excluded | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Assessed | 10 | 12 | 10 |
| Without accommodations | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| With accommodations | 8 | 10 | 8 |
| ELL |  |  |  |
| Identified | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| Excluded | \# | \# | 1 |
| Assessed | \# | 1 | 19 |
| Without accommodations | \# | \# | 12 |
| With accommodations | \# | 1 | 7 |

## \# Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Black includes African American, and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Results are not shown for all racial/ethnic groups. Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-3. Percentage of fourth- and eighth-grade public and nonpublic school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by grade and SD/ELL category: 2011

|  | Percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Assessed |  |  |
|  | Excluded |  | Without | With |
| Grade and SD/ELL category |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 4 | 9 | 91 | 38 |  |
| SD and/or ELL | 15 | 85 | 20 | 52 |
| SD | 4 | 96 | 57 | 66 |
| ELL |  |  |  | 39 |
| Grade 8 | 15 | 85 | 27 |  |
| SD and/or ELL | 19 | 81 | 13 | 58 |
| SD | 7 | 93 | 55 | 68 |
| ELL |  |  |  | 38 |

NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-4. Inclusion rate and confidence interval in NAEP mathematics for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students, as a percentage of all students, by state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Grade 4 |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inclusion rate | 95\% confidence interval |  | Inclusion rate | 95\% confidence interval |  |
|  |  | Lower | Upper |  | Lower | Upper |
| Nation (public) | 981 | 97.6 | 97.9 | 971 | 97.2 | 97.5 |
| Alabama | 991 | 98.0 | 99.3 | 991 | 98.1 | 99.2 |
| Alaska | 971 | 96.4 | 97.8 | 971 | 96.2 | 97.4 |
| Arizona | 991 | 98.5 | 99.3 | 991 | 98.3 | 99.2 |
| Arkansas | 991 | 98.4 | 99.4 | 991 | 98.2 | 99.0 |
| California | 981 | 97.7 | 99.0 | 991 | 98.6 | 99.2 |
| Colorado | 991 | 98.3 | 99.2 | 991 | 98.7 | 99.5 |
| Connecticut | 991 | 98.1 | 99.1 | 991 | 98.2 | 99.0 |
| Delaware | $96^{1}$ | 95.8 | 96.9 | 971 | 96.2 | 97.5 |
| Florida | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.9 | 98.8 | 981 | 97.6 | 98.6 |
| Georgia | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.7 | 98.8 | 971 | 96.0 | 98.2 |
| Hawaii | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.7 | 98.7 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.5 | 98.6 |
| Idaho | 991 | 98.3 | 99.1 | 991 | 98.3 | 99.1 |
| Illinois | $98{ }^{1}$ | 96.8 | 98.4 | 981 | 96.9 | 98.2 |
| Indiana | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.1 | 98.4 | 971 | 96.5 | 98.1 |
| lowa | 991 | 97.8 | 99.1 | 991 | 97.8 | 99.0 |
| Kansas | $98^{1}$ | 97.8 | 98.7 | 991 | 98.1 | 99.1 |
| Kentucky | 971 | 96.1 | 97.6 | 971 | 96.1 | 97.2 |
| Louisiana | 981 | 97.3 | 98.9 | 991 | 98.0 | 99.0 |
| Maine | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.8 | 98.9 | 981 | 98.0 | 98.8 |
| Maryland | 941 | 93.5 | 95.2 | 94 | 92.7 | 94.5 |
| Massachusetts | 971 | 95.9 | 97.6 | $96^{1}$ | 95.0 | 96.8 |
| Michigan | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.1 | 98.4 | $96^{1}$ | 95.6 | 97.0 |
| Minnesota | 991 | 98.0 | 98.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.1 | 98.5 |
| Mississippi | 991 | 98.7 | 99.5 | 991 | 98.4 | 99.3 |
| Missouri | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.8 | 98.8 | 991 | 98.0 | 99.1 |
| Montana | $98{ }^{1}$ | 98.0 | 98.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.9 | 98.8 |
| Nebraska | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.8 | 99.0 | $96^{1}$ | 95.6 | 97.1 |
| Nevada | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.1 | 98.2 | 971 | 96.4 | 97.4 |
| New Hampshire | 981 | 97.7 | 98.7 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.7 | 98.6 |
| New Jersey | 971 | 95.3 | 97.7 | $96^{1}$ | 94.7 | 96.7 |
| New Mexico | 971 | 96.7 | 97.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.5 | 98.5 |
| New York | 991 | 98.1 | 99.1 | 991 | 98.1 | 99.0 |
| North Carolina | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.6 | 98.7 | 981 | 97.6 | 98.6 |
| North Dakota | $96^{1}$ | 95.7 | 97.0 | $96^{1}$ | 94.9 | 96.4 |
| Ohio | $98{ }^{1}$ | 96.9 | 98.3 | 951 | 93.8 | 96.0 |
| Oklahoma | 92 | 90.2 | 93.0 | 90 | 88.8 | 91.4 |
| Oregon | 971 | 96.5 | 98.0 | 991 | 98.0 | 99.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 991 | 98.0 | 99.1 | $98^{1}$ | 96.8 | 98.2 |
| Rhode Island | 991 | 98.7 | 99.3 | 991 | 98.3 | 99.1 |
| South Carolina | 991 | 98.1 | 99.2 | $96^{1}$ | 95.4 | 96.9 |
| South Dakota | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.7 | 98.6 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.8 | 98.6 |
| Tennessee | 971 | 95.6 | 97.4 | $96^{1}$ | 95.2 | 97.1 |
| Texas | $96^{1}$ | 94.9 | 96.6 | 951 | 93.9 | 95.6 |
| Utah | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.1 | 98.6 | 971 | 96.7 | 97.8 |
| Vermont | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.9 | 98.8 | 991 | 98.3 | 99.2 |
| Virginia | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.3 | 98.4 | 971 | 96.2 | 97.8 |
| Washington | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.3 | 98.7 | 981 | 97.7 | 98.8 |
| West Virginia | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.9 | 98.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 98.0 | 98.9 |
| Wisconsin | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.6 | 98.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.3 | 98.5 |
| Wyoming | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.9 | 98.8 | 991 | 98.2 | 99.1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 951 | 93.9 | 95.5 | $96^{1}$ | 94.9 | 96.3 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | 971 | 96.7 | 97.7 | 971 | 96.5 | 97.9 |

${ }^{1}$ The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 95 percent.
${ }^{2}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),
2011 Mathematics Assessment

Table A-5. Inclusion rate and standard error in NAEP mathematics for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |
|  | SD |  | ELL |  | SD |  | ELL |  |
|  | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE |
| Nation (public | 841 | 0.5 | 961 | 0.3 | 80 | 0.6 | 931 | 0.6 |
| Alabama | 881 | 2.5 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ | 891 | 2.4 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Alaska | $86^{1}$ | 2.1 | $92^{1}$ | 1.5 | 77 | 2.4 | 951 | 1.4 |
| Arizona | $91^{1}$ | 1.6 | 991 | 0.5 | 891 | 2.1 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Arkansas | 921 | 1.8 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 0.9 | 881 | 1.7 | $96^{1}$ | 1.9 |
| California | 851 | 2.7 | 981 | 0.8 | $90^{1}$ | 1.4 | 971 | 0.8 |
| Colorado | $90^{1}$ | 1.9 | 991 | 0.4 | $91^{1}$ | 1.9 | 971 | 1.3 |
| Connecticut | $90^{1}$ | 1.8 | 971 | 1.1 | 891 | 1.8 | 931 | 2.2 |
| Delaware | 78 | 1.6 | $88{ }^{1}$ | 2.3 | 78 | 2.3 | $90^{1}$ | 4.4 |
| Florida | $91^{1}$ | 1.3 | $96^{1}$ | 1.1 | $88^{1}$ | 1.7 | 951 | 1.3 |
| Georgia | 871 | 2.1 | $95^{1}$ | 1.9 | 74 | 4.7 | 921 | 4.0 |
| Hawaii | $83^{1}$ | 2.4 | 971 | 0.9 | $91^{1}$ | 1.9 | $90^{1}$ | 1.7 |
| Idaho | $91^{1}$ | 1.7 | 931 | 2.4 | 851 | 2.5 | 951 | 2.3 |
| Illinois | $86^{1}$ | 2.5 | 931 | 1.8 | $84{ }^{1}$ | 2.3 | 901 | 2.3 |
| Indiana | $86^{1}$ | 1.9 | 981 | 0.8 | 831 | 2.5 | 941 | 2.7 |
| lowa | $92{ }^{1}$ | 1.8 | $94{ }^{1}$ | 2.4 | $90^{1}$ | 2.1 | 971 | 1.9 |
| Kansas | 891 | 1.5 | 981 | 0.7 | 891 | 1.9 | 991 | 1.0 |
| Kentucky | 81 | 2.4 | $73^{1}$ | 7.2 | 72 | 2.4 | 851 | 4.4 |
| Louisiana | $88^{1}$ | 2.2 | 991 | 1.3 | $86^{1}$ | 2.4 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Maine | $91^{1}$ | 1.6 | 981 | 1.1 | $91^{1}$ | 1.2 | 971 | 1.7 |
| Maryland | 57 | 3.1 | $86^{1}$ | 2.3 | 43 | 3.1 | 74 | 5.5 |
| Massachusetts | 841 | 2.3 | 891 | 2.1 | 80 | 2.4 | $78{ }^{1}$ | 5.1 |
| Michigan | $85^{1}$ | 2.0 | 941 | 3.3 | 73 | 2.5 | 831 | 4.7 |
| Minnesota | $91^{1}$ | 1.6 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 0.6 | 851 | 2.2 | $91^{1}$ | 3.0 |
| Mississippi | 921 | 2.0 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ | $86^{1}$ | 3.0 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Missouri | 871 | 1.9 | 991 | 0.5 | 891 | 2.2 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Montana | 871 | 1.8 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ | $87^{1}$ | 1.8 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Nebraska | $92{ }^{1}$ | 1.5 | 971 | 1.1 | 76 | 2.4 | $90^{1}$ | 3.7 |
| Nevada | 79 | 2.4 | 981 | 0.5 | 71 | 2.6 | $90^{1}$ | 1.3 |
| New Hampshire | 891 | 1.5 | 931 | 3.1 | $90^{1}$ | 1.3 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| New Jersey | $81^{1}$ | 3.3 | 891 | 3.1 | 75 | 3.1 | 961 | 2.3 |
| New Mexico | $84{ }^{1}$ | 1.9 | 931 | 1.1 | $86^{1}$ | 1.9 | $94{ }^{1}$ | 1.0 |
| New York | 941 | 1.4 | 941 | 1.4 | 931 | 1.3 | 941 | 1.9 |
| North Carolina | 871 | 1.7 | 951 | 1.8 | $86^{1}$ | 2.0 | $96^{1}$ | 1.6 |
| North Dakota | 77 | 1.9 | 851 | 3.7 | 68 | 2.4 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Ohio | 841 | 2.2 | $94{ }^{1}$ | 2.3 | 65 | 3.5 | $96^{1}$ | 2.7 |
| Oklahoma | 49 | 3.9 | $86^{1}$ | 3.8 | 40 | 3.4 | $78{ }^{1}$ | 5.1 |
| Oregon | 851 | 2.1 | $94{ }^{1}$ | 1.4 | 891 | 1.7 | 981 | 1.0 |
| Pennsylvania | $91^{1}$ | 1.5 | 951 | 2.0 | 851 | 2.2 | $92{ }^{1}$ | 2.7 |
| Rhode Island | 941 | 1.1 | 981 | 1.0 | 941 | 1.2 | $91{ }^{1}$ | 3.0 |
| South Carolina | $91^{1}$ | 1.9 | 991 | 0.9 | 67 | 3.1 | 931 | 1.8 |
| South Dakota | 891 | 1.4 | 971 | 1.4 | $87^{1}$ | 1.7 | $80^{1}$ | 4.7 |
| Tennessee | 75 | 3.1 | 921 | 2.8 | 68 | 3.7 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Texas | 60 | 3.8 | $95^{1}$ | 0.7 | 53 | 3.4 | $86^{1}$ | 2.9 |
| Utah | $86^{1}$ | 2.5 | $94{ }^{1}$ | 1.4 | 75 | 2.6 | 841 | 2.9 |
| Vermont | $90^{1}$ | 1.4 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ | 931 | 1.5 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Virginia | $84^{1}$ | 2.0 | 951 | 1.5 | $81^{1}$ | 2.6 | $87^{1}$ | 3.5 |
| Washington | $88^{1}$ | 2.3 | $96^{1}$ | 0.9 | 871 | 2.1 | 951 | 1.6 |
| West Virginia | $91^{1}$ | 1.3 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ | 891 | 1.7 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Wisconsin | $88^{1}$ | 2.0 | 971 | 1.4 | $86^{1}$ | 2.0 | $96^{1}$ | 1.3 |
| Wyoming | $90^{1}$ | 1.4 | $96^{1}$ | 1.8 | 901 | 1.6 | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 69 | 2.3 | $88^{1}$ | 1.6 | 78 | 1.8 | 851 | 2.4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | 871 | 1.5 | 78 | 2.8 | $82^{1}$ | 3.3 | 71 | 4.7 |

[^20]Table A-6. Percentage of fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL) identified, excluded, and accommodated in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of all students, by state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Overall excluded | SD |  |  | ELL |  |  | Overall excluded | SD |  |  | ELL |  |  |
|  |  | Identified | Excluded | Accommodated | Identified | Excluded | Accommodated |  | Identified | Excluded | Accommodated | Identified | Excluded | Accommodated |
| Nation (public) | 2 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 11 | \# | 4 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 6 | \# | 2 |
| Alabama | 1 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 2 | \# | \# |
| Alaska | 3 | 16 | 2 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 7 |
| Arizona | 1 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 12 | \# | 9 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 8 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Arkansas | 1 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 8 | \# | 5 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 5 | \# | 3 |
| California | 2 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 32 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 1 | 4 |
| Colorado | 1 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 16 | \# | 7 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 7 | \# | 3 |
| Connecticut | 1 | 14 | 1 | 11 | 6 | \# | 5 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 4 | \# | 3 |
| Delaware | 4 | 16 | 3 | 10 | 4 | \# | 2 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Florida | 2 | 16 | 1 | 12 | 9 | \# | 8 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 5 | \# | 4 |
| Georgia | 2 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 5 | \# | 3 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Hawaii | 2 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 11 | \# | 5 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 3 |
| Idaho | 1 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 5 | \# | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 4 | \# | 2 |
| Illinois | 2 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 10 | 4 | \# | 2 |
| Indiana | 2 | 16 | 2 | 9 | 7 | \# | 5 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 11 | 3 | \# | 2 |
| lowa | 1 | 15 | 1 | 12 | 6 | \# | 4 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 12 | 3 | \# | 2 |
| Kansas | 2 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 11 | \# | 5 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 7 | \# | 2 |
| Kentucky | 3 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Louisiana | 2 | 20 | 2 | 16 | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Maine | 2 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 3 | \# | 2 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 14 | 3 | \# | 1 |
| Maryland | 6 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Massachusetts | 3 | 18 | 3 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 19 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Michigan | 2 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 4 | \# | 1 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Minnesota | 1 | 15 | 1 | 9 | 10 | \# | 4 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 5 | \# | 2 |
| Mississippi | 1 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 1 | \# | \# |
| Missouri | 2 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Montana | 2 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 2 | \# | \# | 2 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Nebraska | 2 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 8 | \# | 5 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 3 | \# | , |
| Nevada | 2 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 27 | \# | 18 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 4 |
| New Hampshire | 2 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 3 | \# | 2 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 13 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| New Jersey | 3 | 17 | 3 | 12 | 3 | \# | 3 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 12 | 2 | \# | 2 |
| New Mexico | 3 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 4 |
| New York | 1 | 16 | 1 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 14 | 6 | \# | 5 |
| North Carolina | 2 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 7 | \# | 3 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 10 | 5 | \# | 3 |
| North Dakota | 4 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 3 | \# | 1 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 8 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Ohio | 2 | 14 | 2 | 10 | 3 | \# | 3 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Oklahoma | 8 | 15 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Oregon | 3 | 15 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 9 | 6 | \# | 3 |
| Pennsylvania | 1 | 15 | 1 | 11 | 3 | \# | 2 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 11 | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 1 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 6 | \# | 2 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 12 | 3 | \# | 2 |
| South Carolina | 1 | 14 | 1 | 8 | 6 | \# | 2 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 4 | \# | 3 |
| South Dakota | 2 | 16 | 2 | 7 | 5 | \# | 2 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Tennessee | 3 | 14 | 3 | 7 | 4 | \# | 3 | , | 12 | 4 | 7 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Texas | 4 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 22 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| Utah | 2 | 13 | 2 | 7 | 7 | \# | 4 | , | 10 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| Vermont | 2 | 17 | 1 | 14 | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 14 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Virginia | 2 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 7 | \# | 5 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| Washington | 2 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 11 | \# | 7 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 9 | 5 | \# | 2 |
| West Virginia | 2 | 18 | 1 | 9 | 1 | \# | \# | 2 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 1 | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 2 | 14 | 2 | 10 | 8 | \# | 6 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 11 | 5 | \# | 4 |
| Wyoming | 2 | 16 | 2 | 11 | 4 | \# | 2 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 5 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 3 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 |

\# Rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
 Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-7. Percentage of fourth- and eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of all students, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1990-2011

| State/jurisdiction | Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1992{ }^{1}$ | 1996 ${ }^{1}$ | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | $1990{ }^{1}$ | $1992{ }^{1}$ | 1996 ${ }^{1}$ | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Alabama | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Alaska | - | 4 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Arizona | 5 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Arkansas | 5 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| California | 12 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Colorado | 5 | 8 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Connecticut | 7 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Delaware | 5 | 7 | - | 7 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 | - | 9 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| Florida | 8 | 10 | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 10 | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Georgia | 5 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 6 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Idaho | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Illinois | - | - | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | - | - | 5 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Indiana | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| lowa | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Kansas | - | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Kentucky | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 3 |
| Louisiana | 4 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Maine | 6 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Maryland | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Massachusetts | 7 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | - | 8 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 4 |
| Michigan | 5 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Minnesota | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Mississippi | 5 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Missouri | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | - | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| Montana | - | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Nebraska | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Nevada | - | 9 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| New Hampshire | 4 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 4 | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| New Jersey | 6 | 6 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 7 | - | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| New Mexico | 7 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| New York | 5 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| North Carolina | 4 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| Ohio | 6 | - | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 6 | - | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 7 | - | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 6 | - | 4 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 10 |
| Oregon | - | 9 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | - | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Pennsylvania | 4 | 5 | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| South Carolina | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 6 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Tennessee | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | - | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Texas | 8 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Utah | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Vermont | - | 6 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Virginia | 5 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Washington | - | 5 | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 6 | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| West Virginia | 4 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Wisconsin | 5 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Wyoming | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 9 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | - | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |

[^21]Table A-8. Percentage of fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) excluded in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of identified SD students, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1990-2011

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1992{ }^{1}$ | 1996 ${ }^{1}$ | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | $1990{ }^{1}$ | $1992^{1}$ | 1996 ${ }^{1}$ | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 63 | 42 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 20 | 16 | 15 | - | 61 | 47 | 32 | 22 | 24 | 30 | 22 | 19 |
| Alabama | 44 | 54 | 25 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 56 | 53 | 53 | 46 | 15 | 8 | 24 | 13 | 11 |
| Alaska | - | 27 |  | 6 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 14 | - | - | 45 | - | 6 | 15 | 31 | 25 | 23 |
| Arizona | 47 | 68 | 24 | 28 | 23 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 51 | 62 | 55 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 27 | 16 | 11 |
| Arkansas | 48 | 69 | 31 | 10 | 16 | 20 | 11 | 7 | 70 | 57 | 64 | 14 | 10 | 20 | 18 | 9 | 11 |
| California | 43 | 60 | 39 | 20 | 22 | 17 | 21 | 14 | 47 | 49 | 55 | 28 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 9 |
| Colorado | 50 | 56 | - | 13 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 42 | 44 | 37 | - | 11 | 18 | 15 | 16 | 8 |
| Connecticut | 42 | 50 | 31 | 25 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 9 | 59 | 45 | 52 | 35 | 23 | 18 | 10 | 14 | 10 |
| Delaware | 44 | 49 | - | 38 | 43 | 29 | 20 | 20 | 41 | 42 | 68 | - | 51 | 66 | 43 | 15 | 21 |
| Florida | 51 | 52 | - | 12 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 55 | 52 | 59 | - | 13 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 11 |
| Georgia | 53 | 52 | 27 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 11 | 13 | 49 | 61 | 66 | 39 | 15 | 19 | 50 | 23 | 26 |
| Hawaii | 46 | 47 | 46 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 16 | 49 | 40 | 47 | 27 | 17 | 16 | 10 | 11 | 9 |
| Idaho | 36 | - | 9 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 35 | 43 | - | 14 | 6 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 14 |
| Illinois | - | - | 18 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 12 | 14 | 54 | - | - | 30 | 24 | 17 | 35 | 20 | 15 |
| Indiana | 50 | 46 | 19 | 14 | 8 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 67 | 53 | 46 | 25 | 17 | 23 | 36 | 31 | 17 |
| lowa | 36 | 44 | 11 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 38 | 40 | 41 | - | 14 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 9 |
| Kansas | - | - | 26 | 10 | 16 | 21 | 20 | 11 | - | - | - | 26 | 18 | 24 | 30 | 24 | 11 |
| Kentucky | 39 | 56 | 24 | 21 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 18 | 63 | 52 | 49 | 32 | 31 | 28 | 49 | 37 | 27 |
| Louisiana | 53 | 55 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 63 | 62 | 64 | 20 | 28 | 30 | 26 | 11 | 10 |
| Maine | 41 | 51 | 28 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 8 | 9 | - | 41 | 43 | 18 | 23 | 25 | 29 | 12 | 8 |
| Maryland | 33 | 52 | 15 | 23 | 23 | 29 | 32 | 38 | 42 | 43 | 52 | 16 | 25 | 33 | 62 | 56 | 51 |
| Massachusetts | 38 | 49 | 5 | 12 | 18 | 27 | 25 | 14 | - | 44 | 44 | 11 | 14 | 33 | 51 | 28 | 18 |
| Michigan | 69 | 57 | 26 | 32 | 26 | 24 | 18 | 14 | 51 | 64 | 61 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 32 | 24 | 26 |
| Minnesota | 43 | 45 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 31 | 47 | 27 | 9 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 14 |
| Mississippi | 73 | 72 | 46 | 52 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 8 | - | 73 | 60 | 52 | 53 | 32 | 22 | 17 | 14 |
| Missouri | 37 | 35 | 15 | 21 | 13 | 23 | 18 | 12 | - | 40 | 59 | 19 | 23 | 28 | 35 | 26 | 10 |
| Montana | - | 49 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 14 | 13 | 37 | - | 35 | 20 | 14 | 17 | 22 | 22 | 13 |
| Nebraska | 32 | 31 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 33 | 38 | 35 | 28 | 19 | 9 | 17 | 23 | 24 |
| Nevada | - | 56 | 34 | 20 | 21 | 17 | 19 | 20 | - | - | 55 | 22 | 16 | 19 | 28 | 22 | 28 |
| New Hampshire | 31 | - | - | 14 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 36 | 43 | 25 | - | 17 | 12 | 17 | 14 | 9 |
| New Jersey | 41 | 57 | - | 11 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 55 | 49 | 51 | - | 7 | 17 | 18 | 11 | 24 |
| New Mexico | 51 | 60 | 33 | 12 | 12 | 21 | 15 | 16 | 68 | 42 | 36 | 39 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 22 | 14 |
| New York | 48 | 54 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 53 | 62 | 55 | 24 | 25 | 19 | 22 | 14 | 7 |
| North Carolina | 30 | 52 | 31 | 21 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 34 | 26 | 45 | 30 | 21 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 12 |
| North Dakota | 20 | 33 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 15 | 11 | 26 | 43 | 34 | 30 |
| Ohio | 60 | - | 38 | 34 | 27 | 30 | 20 | 15 | 67 | 63 | - | 39 | 38 | 40 | 48 | 33 | 34 |
| Oklahoma | 61 | - | 28 | 19 | 22 | 33 | 26 | 51 | 66 | 65 | - | 28 | 13 | 25 | 56 | 41 | 60 |
| Oregon | - | 47 | 14 | 20 | 23 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 32 | - | 33 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 24 | 20 | 10 |
| Pennsylvania | 38 | 49 | - | 17 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 9 | 50 | 49 | - |  | 9 | 20 | 24 | 19 | 15 |
| Rhode Island | 35 | 36 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 42 | 35 | 41 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 6 |
| South Carolina | 48 | 45 | 30 | 36 | 27 | 12 | 12 | 9 | - | 60 | 57 | 30 | 47 | 41 | 40 | 32 | 32 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | 9 | 9 | 8 | 13 | 11 | - | - | - | - | 16 | 17 | 22 | 17 | 12 |
| Tennessee | 34 | 47 | 23 | 18 | 24 | 41 | 24 | 24 | - | 48 | 38 | 17 | 18 | 32 | 53 | 36 | 31 |
| Texas | 50 | 57 | 41 | 47 | 39 | 39 | 28 | 36 | 57 | 54 | 57 | 50 | 41 | 41 | 44 | 39 | 42 |
| Utah | 40 | 43 | 29 | 17 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 14 | - | 46 | 49 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 24 | 27 | 25 |
| Vermont | - | 43 | 18 | 23 | 20 | 14 | 11 | 8 | - | - | 35 | 16 | 17 | 21 | 22 | 11 | 6 |
| Virginia | 47 | 51 | 23 | 34 | 28 | 27 | 14 | 15 | 53 | 47 | 56 | 43 | 39 | 30 | 43 | 24 | 19 |
| Washington | - | 44 | - | 16 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 12 | - | - | 45 | - | 13 | 17 | 28 | 19 | 12 |
| West Virginia | 51 | 62 | 21 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 58 | 59 | 67 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| Wisconsin | 50 | 71 | 30 | 21 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 54 | 47 | 61 | 24 | 17 | 22 | 28 | 16 | 14 |
| Wyoming | 37 | 33 | 13 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 42 | 45 | 18 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 10 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 84 | 83 | 25 | 28 | 32 | 35 | 27 | 30 | 86 | 85 | 80 | 41 | 32 | 30 | 56 | 34 | 22 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | - | 46 | 23 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 13 | - | - | 33 | 16 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 13 | 16 |

- Not available. The state/jurisdiction did not participate or did not meet the minimum participation guidelines for reporting.
${ }^{1}$ Accommodations not permitted.
${ }^{2}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-9. Percentage of fourth- and eighth-grade public school English language learners (ELL) excluded in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of identified ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1990-2011

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1992{ }^{1}$ | $1996{ }^{1}$ | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | $1990^{1}$ | 1992 ${ }^{1}$ | $1996{ }^{1}$ | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 67 | 39 | 18 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 4 | - | 72 | 41 | 22 | 18 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 7 |
| Alabama | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 11 | 3 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | - | 18 | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 8 | - | - | $\ddagger$ | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| Arizona | 25 | 54 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 26 | 31 | 48 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 9 | $\ddagger$ |
| Arkansas | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 27 | 35 | 8 | 3 | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 21 | $\ddagger$ | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| California | 45 | 47 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 50 | 36 | 49 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Colorado | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | 8 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | 16 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 3 |
| Connecticut | 65 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 29 | 11 | 3 | 13 | 3 | $\ddagger$ | 53 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 23 | 14 | 9 | 11 | 7 |
| Delaware | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | 36 | 28 | 23 | 7 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | - | 45 | 38 | 26 | 24 | 10 |
| Florida | 49 | 54 | - | 17 | 17 | 20 | 5 | 4 | 70 | 43 |  | - | 22 | 20 | 21 | 9 | 5 |
| Georgia | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 14 | 19 | 9 | 3 | 5 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 26 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| Hawaii | 37 | 29 | 44 | 26 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 40 | 35 | $\ddagger$ | 25 | 23 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 10 |
| Idaho | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | 12 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 7 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | 6 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Illinois | - | - | 24 | 26 | 10 | 16 | 15 | 7 | $\ddagger$ | - | - | $\ddagger$ | 31 | 25 | 24 | 19 | 10 |
| Indiana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 12 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 13 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 6 |
| lowa | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 24 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | 10 | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 15 | 3 |
| Kansas | - | - | $\ddagger$ | 16 | 16 | 6 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | $\ddagger$ | 26 | 15 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| Kentucky | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 31 | $\ddagger$ | 11 | 13 | 27 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 36 | 15 |
| Louisiana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 |
| Maryland | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 38 | 22 | 13 | 15 | 14 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 34 | $\ddagger$ | 22 | 16 | 26 |
| Massachusetts | 45 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 22 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 11 | - | 60 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 41 | 39 | 21 | 25 | 22 |
| Michigan | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 16 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | $\ddagger$ | 28 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | 7 | 17 |
| Minnesota | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 10 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | $\ddagger$ | 16 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Mississippi | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - |  | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 24 | 17 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 9 | 7 | 4 | $\ddagger$ |
| Nebraska | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 21 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 3 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 34 | 4 | 21 | 8 | 10 |
| Nevada | - | 54 | 38 | 15 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 2 | - | - | $\ddagger$ | 27 | 14 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 10 |
| New Hampshire | $\ddagger$ | - | - | 24 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 7 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 67 | $\ddagger$ | - | 22 | 24 | 11 | 20 | 11 | 76 | 50 | $\ddagger$ | - | 41 | 43 | 18 | 13 | 4 |
| New Mexico | 39 | 52 | 11 | 9 | , |  | 4 | 7 | $\ddagger$ | 37 | 65 | 21 | 7 | 11 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| New York | 44 | 52 | 47 | 44 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 56 | 79 | $\ddagger$ | 38 | 33 | 21 | 15 | 14 | 6 |
| North Carolina | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 16 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 5 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 26 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| North Dakota | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 8 | $\ddagger$ | 22 | $\ddagger$ | 15 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Ohio | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | 35 | 26 | 27 | 14 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | 29 | $\ddagger$ | 33 | 43 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | 15 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 14 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | 11 | 14 | 14 | 9 | 22 |
| Oregon | - | 50 | 22 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 15 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | 39 | 20 | 10 | 11 | 5 | $\uparrow$ | $\ddagger$ | - | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 17 | 8 |
| Rhode Island | 47 | 31 | 18 | 23 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 50 | 44 | $\ddagger$ | 28 | 28 | 13 | 34 | 21 | 9 |
| South Carolina | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 22 | $\ddagger$ | 5 | 5 | 1 | - | $\ddagger$ | t | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 5 | 7 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | 7 | 12 | 5 | $\ddagger$ | 3 | - | - | - | - | 7 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 20 |
| Tennessee | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 25 | 15 | 6 | 8 | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 41 | 34 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 36 | 37 | 45 | 26 | 28 | 21 | 22 | 11 | 14 |
| Utah | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 11 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 6 | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 9 | 10 | 12 | 5 | 16 |
| Vermont | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 23 | $\ddagger$ | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 43 | 29 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 5 | $\ddagger$ | 35 | + | $\ddagger$ | 43 | 22 | 29 | 12 | 13 |
| Washington | - | $\ddagger$ | - | 16 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 4 | - | - | $\ddagger$ | - | 12 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 5 |
| West Virginia | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 14 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 3 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 22 | 31 | 30 | 15 | 4 |
| Wyoming | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 8 | 5 | $\ddagger$ | 4 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 15 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 70 | 76 | 30 | 20 | 22 | 25 | 14 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 28 | 28 | 23 | 27 | 15 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 13 | 12 | 21 | 14 | 22 | - | - | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 17 | 14 | 31 | 16 | 29 |

[^22]Table A-10. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD and/or ELL |  |  |  | SD |  |  |  | ELL |  |  |  |
|  | Excluded | Assessed |  |  | Excluded | Assessed |  |  | Excluded | Assessed |  |  |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Without } \\ \text { accom- } \\ \text { modations } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { With } \\ \text { accom- } \\ \text { modations } \end{array}$ |  | Total | Without accom- modations |  |  | Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Without } \\ \text { accom- } \\ \text { modations } \end{array}$ |  |
| Nation (public) | 10 | 90 | 39 | 52 | 15 | 85 | 20 | 65 | 4 | 96 | 57 | 39 |
| Alabama | 10 | 90 | 55 | 35 | 11 | 89 | 51 | 38 | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 10 | 90 | 25 | 65 | 14 | 86 | 19 | 66 | 8 | 92 | 27 | 65 |
| Arizona | 5 | 95 | 24 | 71 | 9 | 91 | 20 | 71 | 1 | 99 | 25 | 74 |
| Arkansas | 5 | 95 | 23 | 71 | 7 | 93 | 17 | 76 | 2 | 98 | 33 | 66 |
| California | 4 | 96 | 77 | 19 | 14 | 86 | 26 | 60 | 2 | 98 | 85 | 13 |
| Colorado | 5 | 95 | 38 | 58 | 10 | 90 | 9 | 81 | 1 | 99 | 53 | 46 |
| Connecticut | 7 | 93 | 10 | 83 |  | 91 | 10 | 81 |  | 97 | 9 | 87 |
| Delaware | 19 | 81 | 18 | 63 | 20 | 80 | 16 | 63 | 12 | 88 | 22 | 66 |
| Florida | 7 | 93 | 12 | 81 | 8 | 92 | 17 | 75 | 4 | 96 | 1 | 94 |
| Georgia | 10 | 90 | 26 | 64 | 13 | 87 | 23 | 65 | 5 | 95 | 32 | 63 |
| Hawaii | 9 | 91 | 34 | 57 | 16 | 84 | 14 | 70 | 3 | 97 | 50 | 47 |
| Idaho | 8 | 92 | 33 | 59 | 10 | 90 | 23 | 67 |  | 93 | 51 | 42 |
| Illinois | 11 | 89 | 27 | 62 | 14 | 86 | 28 | 58 | 7 | 93 | 21 | 72 |
| Indiana | 10 | 90 | 27 | 63 | 13 | 87 | 28 | 59 | 2 | 98 | 23 | 75 |
| Iowa | 7 | 93 | 16 | 77 | 8 | 92 | 13 | 79 | 6 | 94 | 22 | 72 |
| Kansas | 7 | 93 | 42 | 52 | 11 | 89 | 28 | 61 | 2 | 98 | 56 | 42 |
| Kentucky | 19 | 81 | 29 | 53 | 18 | 82 | 29 | 53 | 27 | 73 | 23 | 50 |
| Louisiana | 8 | 92 | 13 | 79 | 9 | 91 | 10 | 81 | 1 | 99 | 36 | 63 |
| Maine | 8 | 92 | 18 | 74 | 9 | 91 | 12 | 79 | 2 | 98 | 47 | 52 |
| Maryland | 30 | 70 | 12 | 59 | 38 | 62 | 12 | 49 | 14 | 86 | 8 | 78 |
| Massachusetts | 13 | 87 | 25 | 62 | 14 | 86 | 7 | 78 | 11 | 89 | 60 | 28 |
| Michigan | 13 | 87 | 34 | 53 | 14 | 86 | 23 | 63 | 6 | 94 | 73 | 20 |
| Minnesota | 6 | 94 | 40 | 54 | 9 | 91 | 29 | 62 | 2 | 98 | 52 | 46 |
| Mississippi | 7 | 93 | 41 | 52 | 8 | 92 | 40 | 52 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 10 | 90 | 28 | 62 | 12 | 88 | 26 | 62 | 1 | 99 | 33 | 66 |
| Montana | 11 | 89 | 33 | 56 | 13 | 87 | 24 | 63 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nebraska | 6 | 94 | 32 | 61 | 8 | 92 | 29 | 62 | 3 | 97 | 35 | 63 |
| Nevada | 7 | 93 | 30 | 64 | 20 | 80 | 26 | 53 | 2 | 98 | 30 | 69 |
| New Hampshire | 9 | 91 | 11 | 80 | 10 | 90 | 9 | 82 | 7 | 93 | 23 | 70 |
| New Jersey | 17 | 83 | 11 | 72 | 18 | 82 | 11 | 71 | 11 | 89 | 8 | 81 |
| New Mexico | 10 | 90 | 35 | 55 | 16 | 84 | 15 | 69 | 7 | 93 | 46 | 48 |
| New York | 6 | 94 | 4 | 90 | 6 | 94 | 5 | 89 | 6 | 94 | 3 | 91 |
| North Carolina | 8 | 92 | 33 | 59 | 11 | 89 | 19 | 70 | 5 | 95 | 56 | 39 |
| North Dakota | 21 | 79 | 24 | 55 | 22 | 78 | 20 | 58 | 15 | 85 | 43 | 41 |
| Ohio | 13 | 87 | 10 | 77 | 15 | 85 | 11 | 74 | 6 | 94 | 4 | 89 |
| Oklahoma | 40 | 60 | 27 | 33 | 51 | 49 | 19 | 31 | 14 | 86 | 45 | 41 |
| Oregon | 10 | 90 | 37 | 53 | 15 | 85 | 28 | 57 | , | 94 | 45 | 49 |
| Pennsylvania | 8 | 92 | 22 | 71 | 9 | 91 | 22 | 69 | 5 | 95 | 17 | 78 |
| Rhode Island | 5 | 95 | 25 | 70 | 6 | 94 | 7 | 87 | 2 | 98 | 63 | 36 |
| South Carolina | 7 | 93 | 38 | 56 | 9 | 91 | 30 | 61 | 1 | 99 | 54 | 45 |
| South Dakota | 9 | 91 | 44 | 46 | 11 | 89 | 43 | 46 | 3 | 97 | 43 | 54 |
| Tennessee | 20 | 80 | 18 | 62 | 24 | 76 | 21 | 55 | 8 | 92 | 4 | 88 |
| Texas | 14 | 86 | 59 | 27 | 36 | 64 | 17 | 47 | 5 | 95 | 75 | 20 |
| Utah | 11 | 89 | 34 | 55 | 14 | 86 | 30 | 56 | 6 | 94 | 37 | 57 |
| Vermont | 8 | 92 | 15 | 76 | 8 | 92 | 12 | 80 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 11 | 89 | 28 | 61 | 15 | 85 | 25 | 60 | 5 | 95 | 30 | 65 |
| Washington | 9 | 91 | 30 | 61 | 12 | 88 | 25 | 64 |  | 96 | 35 | 61 |
| West Virginia | 8 | 92 | 43 | 49 | 8 | 92 | 42 | 49 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 8 | 92 | 18 | 74 | 12 | 88 | 17 | 72 | 3 | 97 | 17 | 80 |
| Wyoming | 9 | 91 | 28 | 63 | 10 | 90 | 24 | 66 | 4 | 96 | 43 | 53 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 25 | 75 | 8 | 67 | 30 | 70 | 3 | 67 | 12 | 88 | 18 | 70 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 15 | 85 | 29 | 56 | 13 | 87 | 22 | 65 | 22 | 78 | 41 | 37 |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-11. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD and/or ELL |  |  |  | SD |  |  |  | ELL |  |  |  |
|  | Excluded | Assessed |  |  | Excluded | Assessed |  |  | Excluded | Assessed |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Without accommodations |  |  | Total |  |  |  | Total | Without accommodations |  |
| Nation (public) | 15 | 85 | 27 | 58 | 19 | 81 | 13 | 68 | 7 | 93 | 55 | 38 |
| Alabama | 10 | 90 | 59 | 30 | 11 | 89 | 57 | 32 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 15 | 85 | 18 | 67 | 23 | 77 | 7 | 70 | 5 | 95 | 29 | 66 |
| Arizona | 10 | 90 | 16 | 75 | 11 | 89 | 13 | 76 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Arkansas | 9 | 91 | 18 | 73 | 11 | 89 | 12 | 77 | 4 | 96 | 31 | 65 |
| California | 5 | 95 | 63 | 32 | 9 | 91 | 26 | 65 | 3 | 97 | 76 | 21 |
| Colorado | 5 | 95 | 32 | 63 | 8 | 92 | 12 | 80 | 3 | 97 | 53 | 44 |
| Connecticut | 8 | 92 | 14 | 77 | 10 | 90 | 12 | 78 | 7 | 93 | 17 | 76 |
| Delaware | 19 | 81 | 13 | 67 | 21 | 79 | 11 | 68 | 10 | 90 | 28 | 62 |
| Florida | 10 | 90 | 4 | 87 | 11 | 89 | 4 | 85 | 5 | 95 | 3 | 92 |
| Georgia | 23 | 77 | 15 | 62 | 26 | 74 | 13 | 61 | 8 | 92 | 22 | 70 |
| Hawaii | 9 | 91 | 37 | 54 | 9 | 91 | 19 | 72 | 10 | 90 | 56 | 34 |
| Idaho | 11 | 89 | 27 | 62 | 14 | 86 | 15 | 71 | 5 | 95 | 51 | 44 |
| Illinois | 14 | 86 | 18 | 68 | 15 | 85 | 10 | 74 | 10 | 90 | 42 | 47 |
| Indiana | 15 | 85 | 13 | 72 | 17 | 83 | 7 | 76 | 6 | 94 | 40 | 55 |
| lowa | 8 | 92 | 10 | 82 | 9 | 91 | 5 | 85 | 3 | 97 | 27 | 70 |
| Kansas | 8 | 92 | 41 | 52 | 11 | 89 | 18 | 71 | 1 | 99 | 76 | 23 |
| Kentucky | 26 | 74 | 12 | 62 | 27 | 73 | 9 | 64 | 15 | 85 | 33 | 51 |
| Louisiana | 9 | 91 | 8 | 83 | 10 | 90 | 7 | 83 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | 8 | 92 | 19 | 73 | 8 | 92 | 17 | 75 | 3 | 97 | 69 | 28 |
| Maryland | 46 | 54 | 7 | 47 | 51 | 49 | 7 | 42 | 26 | 74 | 9 | 66 |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 82 | 13 | 68 | 18 | 82 | 7 | 75 | 22 | 78 | 41 | 37 |
| Michigan | 25 | 75 | 21 | 54 | 26 | 74 | 14 | 59 | 17 | 83 | 53 | 30 |
| Minnesota | 12 | 88 | 37 | 51 | 14 | 86 | 26 | 60 | 9 | 91 | 60 | 31 |
| Mississippi | 13 | 87 | 12 | 75 | 14 | 86 | 9 | 77 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + |
| Missouri | 10 | 90 | 15 | 75 | 10 | 90 | 14 | 76 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | 12 | 88 | 18 | 70 | 13 | 87 | 15 | 73 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nebraska | 22 | 78 | 23 | 56 | 24 | 76 | 17 | 60 | 10 | 90 | 50 | 40 |
| Nevada | 17 | 83 | 35 | 48 | 28 | 72 | 17 | 55 | 10 | 90 | 46 | 43 |
| New Hampshire | 9 | 91 | 21 | 70 | 9 | 91 | 19 | 72 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 22 | 78 | 6 | 72 | 24 | 76 | 6 | 71 | 4 | 96 | 13 | 83 |
| New Mexico | 9 | 91 | 46 | 45 | 14 | 86 | 23 | 63 | 6 | 94 | 62 | 32 |
| New York | 7 | 93 | 2 | 91 | 7 | 93 | 2 | 91 | 6 | 94 | 1 | 92 |
| North Carolina | 10 | 90 | 19 | 70 | 12 | 88 | 11 | 77 | 4 | 96 | 42 | 54 |
| North Dakota | 27 | 73 | 16 | 57 | 30 | 70 | 13 | 57 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Ohio | 31 | 69 | 8 | 60 | 34 | 66 | 7 | 60 | 4 | 96 | 26 | 70 |
| Oklahoma | 54 | 46 | 23 | 23 | 60 | 40 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 78 | 41 | 37 |
| Oregon | 8 | 92 | 32 | 60 | 10 | 90 | 20 | 69 | 2 | 98 | 56 | 42 |
| Pennsylvania | 14 | 86 | 11 | 75 | 15 | 85 | 11 | 74 | 8 | 92 | 9 | 83 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 93 | 23 | 70 | 6 | 94 | 20 | 74 | 9 | 91 | 37 | 54 |
| South Carolina | 25 | 75 | 23 | 52 | 32 | 68 | 18 | 50 | 7 | 93 | 37 | 56 |
| South Dakota | 14 | 86 | 28 | 58 | 12 | 88 | 23 | 64 | 20 | 80 | 54 | 26 |
| Tennessee | 29 | 71 | 10 | 61 | 31 | 69 | 10 | 58 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 28 | 72 | 44 | 28 | 42 | 58 | 17 | 40 | 14 | 86 | 73 | 13 |
| Utah | 19 | 81 | 21 | 60 | 25 | 75 | 11 | 64 | 16 | 84 | 35 | 49 |
| Vermont | 6 | 94 | 18 | 76 | 6 | 94 | 16 | 77 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 16 | 84 | 31 | 52 | 19 | 81 | 23 | 58 | 13 | 87 | 47 | 40 |
| Washington | 10 | 90 | 27 | 63 | 12 | 88 | 13 | 75 | 5 | 95 | 54 | 40 |
| West Virginia | 11 | 89 | 23 | 66 | 11 | 89 | 22 | 67 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 11 | 89 | 12 | 78 | 14 | 86 | 8 | 78 | 4 | 96 | 19 | 78 |
| Wyoming | 9 | 91 | 16 | 75 | 10 | 90 | 10 | 80 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Other jurisdictions District of Columbia DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 | 80 | 8 | 72 | 22 | 78 | 4 | 74 | 15 | 85 | 18 | 67 |
|  | 19 | 81 | 25 | 56 | 16 | 84 | 13 | 70 | 29 | 71 | 42 | 28 |

[^23]${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 . Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-12. Percentage distribution of fourth-grade public school students assessed in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity, eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch, and state/jurisdiction: 1992, 2003, and 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eligibility for free/reduced-price schoollunch |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | Asian/ Pacific Islander |  | American Indian/ Alaska Native |  | Eligible |  | Not eligible |  |
|  | $1992{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | $1992{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | $1992{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | $1992{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | $1992{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | 2003 | 2011 | 2003 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 72* | 52 | 18* | 16 | 7* | 24 | 3* | 5 | 1 | 1 | 44* | 52 | 52* | 47 |
| Alabama | 65 | 60 | 34 | 32 | \#* | 5 | \#* | 1 | 1 | 1 | 57 | 58 | 43 | 42 |
| Alaska | - | 50 | - | 4 | - | 6 | - | 8 | - | 23 | 33* | 46 | 59* | 53 |
| Arizona | 62* | 43 | 4 | 5 | 23* | 43 | $1^{*}$ | 3 | 10 | 5 | 47* | 58 | 42 | 40 |
| Arkansas | 75* | 65 | 24 | 21 | \#* | 10 | $1^{*}$ | 2 | \# | \# | 54* | 64 | 43* | 36 |
| California | 50* | 25 | 7 | 7 | 30* | 54 | 12 | 13 | 1 | \# | 52 | 58 | 44 | 41 |
| Colorado | 73* | 56 | 6 | 4 | 17* | 33 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | $31^{*}$ | 46 | 68* | 54 |
| Connecticut | 76* | 59 | 11 | 13 | 10* | 21 | 2* | 5 | \# | \# | 30* | 38 | 66 | 62 |
| Delaware | 70* | 48 | 25* | 33 | $2^{*}$ | 13 | $1^{*}$ | 4 | \# | \# | 38* | 50 | 53* | 50 |
| Florida | 63* | 40 | 24 | 25 | $12^{*}$ | 29 | $1^{*}$ | 3 | \# |  | 49* | 62 | 48* | 38 |
| Georgia | 60* | 45 | 38 | 36 | 1* | 13 | 1* | 4 | \# | \# | 48* | 56 | 46 | 44 |
| Hawaii | 23* | 15 | 3 | 3 | $2^{*}$ | 5 | 62* | 69 | \# |  | 49 | 48 | 51 | 51 |
| Idaho | 92* | 78 | \#* | 1 | 6* | 16 | $1^{*}$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 43* | 50 | 50 | 49 |
| Illinois | - | 53 | - | 19 | - | 20 | - | 4 | - | \# | 41* | 49 | 55 | 51 |
| Indiana | 87* | 72 | 11 | 11 | 2* | 10 | 1 | 1 | \#* | \# | $34 *$ | 51 | 65* | 49 |
| lowa | 95* | 80 | 2* | 6 | 1* | 9 | 2 | 2 | \# | \# | 33* | 41 | $66^{*}$ | 59 |
| Kansas | - | 68 | - | 7 | - | 16 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 40* | 51 | 59* | 49 |
| Kentucky | 90* | 84 | 9 | 9 | \#* | 4 | \#* | 1 | \# | \# | 51 | 55 | 47 | 45 |
| Louisiana | 53 | 47 | 45 | 46 | $1 *$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | \# | \# | 65 | 69 | 31 | 31 |
| Maine | 98* | 92 | \#* | 3 | \#* | 2 | $1^{*}$ | 2 | \# | \# | 34* | 46 | 64* | 54 |
| Maryland | 62* | 43 | 32 | 35 | 2* | 11 | 3* | 6 | \# | \# | 36* | 42 | 60 | 58 |
| Massachusetts | 83* | 68 | 8 | 9 | 4* | 15 | 4* | 6 | \# | + | 29 | 34 | 63 | 66 |
| Michigan | 79* | 71 | 16 | 16 | 3* | 6 | $1^{*}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | 36* | 45 | 63* | 55 |
| Minnesota | 91* | 73 | 3* | 9 | 2* | 9 | 3* | 5 | 1* | 2 | 27* | 38 | 73* | 62 |
| Mississippi | 42* | 50 | 58* | 45 | \#* | 3 | \#* | 1 | \# | \# | 69 | 72 | 26 | 28 |
| Missouri | 83* | 75 | 15 | 17 | 1* | 5 | 1* | 2 | \# | \# | 42* | 51 | 53 | 49 |
| Montana | - | 82 | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 11 | 38* | 43 | 57 | 57 |
| Nebraska | 90* | 70 | 6* | 8 | 3* | 16 | \#* | 2 | 1 | 1 | 36* | 43 | 59 | 57 |
| Nevada | - | 36 | - | 10 | - | 42 | - | 7 | - | 1 | 42* | 57 | 52* | 43 |
| New Hampshire | 96* | 91 | 1* | 2 | $1^{*}$ | 4 | 1* | 3 | \# | \# | 17* | 27 | 73 | 72 |
| New Jersey | 69* | 54 | 16 | 14 | 11* | 23 | 5* | 8 | \# | \# | 29* | 36 | 63 | 63 |
| New Mexico | 45* | 27 | 4* | 2 | 45* | 60 | 1 | 1 | 4* | 9 | 65* | 71 | 25 | 29 |
| New York | 63* | 48 | 15 | 20 | 17 | 21 | 4* | 10 | \# | 1 | 50 | 55 | 46 | 43 |
| North Carolina | 65* | 54 | 31* | 27 | $1 *$ | 12 | $1 *$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 42* | 53 | 52 | 47 |
| North Dakota | 95* | 84 | \#* | 2 | $1^{*}$ | 3 | 1* | 1 | 3* | 9 | 31* | 36 | 67 | 64 |
| Ohio | 86* | 72 | $12^{*}$ | 17 | $1^{*}$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | \# | \# | 35* | 47 | 56 | 53 |
| Oklahoma | 77* | 55 | 9 | 11 | 3* | 12 | \#* | 3 | 9* | 18 | 57 | 61 | 41 | 39 |
| Oregon | - | 66 | - | 2 | - | 21 | - | 4 | - | 2 | 36* | 53 | 61* | 46 |
| Pennsylvania | 81* | 73 | 14 | 13 | 3* | 9 | 2* | 3 | \# | \# | 37 | 40 | 60 | 59 |
| Rhode Island | 82* | 64 | 7 | 8 | 7* | 22 | 4 | 3 | \# | 1 | 40* | 46 | 52 | 54 |
| South Carolina | 58 | 54 | 41 | 36 | \#* | 6 | 1* | 2 | \# | \# | 53 | 57 | 46 | 43 |
| South Dakota | - | 77 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 14 | 37* | 43 | 62* | 57 |
| Tennessee | 73 | 68 | 25 | 22 | \#* | 7 | 1 | 2 | \# | \# | 40* | 58 | 55* | 42 |
| Texas | 49* | 30 | 14 | 13 | $34 *$ | 53 | 2 | 3 | \# | \# | $54 *$ | 64 | 44* | 35 |
| Utah | 93* | 79 | $1^{*}$ | 2 | 4* | 14 | 2* | 3 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 38 | 65 | 62 |
| Vermont | - | 92 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | , | 29* | 41 | 69* | 58 |
| Virginia | 71* | 56 | 25 | 21 | 2* | 11 | 3* | 7 | \# | , | 32 | 36 | 66 | 64 |
| Washington | - | 58 | - | 5 | - | 21 | - | 8 | - | 2 | 38* | 46 | 52 | 53 |
| West Virginia | 96* | 92 | 2* | 5 | \#* | 1 | \# | \# | \# |  | 53 | 52 | 45 | 48 |
| Wisconsin | 87* | 75 | 6 | 9 | 2* | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 32* | 42 | 65* | 58 |
| Wyoming | 90* | 80 | 1 | 1 | 6* | 14 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 35* | 41 | 63* | 59 |
| Other jurisdictions District of Columbia DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | 5* | 8 48 | 91* | 77 16 | 3* | 12 18 | $1^{*}$ | 2 7 | \# | 1 | $71 *$ $\ddagger$ | 74 $\#$ | $24 *$ $\ddagger$ | 26 $\#$ |

[^24]Table A-13. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students at or above Basic in NAEP mathematics, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1992-2011

| State/jurisdiction | Accommodations not permitted |  |  | Accommodations permitted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1992 | 1996 | 2000 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 57* | $62^{*}$ | 67* | 64* | 76* | 79* | 81 | 81* | 82 |
| Alabama | 43* | 48* | 57* | 55* | 65* | 66* | 70 | 70* | 75 |
| Alaska | - | 65* | - | - | 75 | 77 | 79 | 78 | 78 |
| Arizona | 53* | 57* | 58* | 57* | 70* | 70* | 74* | 71* | 77 |
| Arkansas | 47* | 54* | 56* | 55* | 71* | 78 | 81 | 80 | 81 |
| California | 46* | 46* | $52^{*}$ | 50 * | 67* | 71 | 70* | 72 | 74 |
| Colorado | $61 *$ | $67 *$ | - | - | 77* | 81* | 82 | 84 | 84 |
| Connecticut | 67* | 75* | 77* | 76* | 82 | 84 | 84 | 86 | 82 |
| Delaware | 55* | 54* | - | - | 81* | 84 | 87* | 84 | 84 |
| Florida | 52* | 55* | - | - | 76* | 82 | 86 | 86 | 84 |
| Georgia | 53* | 53* | 58* | 57* | 72* | 76* | 79 | 78 | 80 |
| Hawaii | 52* | 53* | 55* | 55* | $68 *$ | 73* | 77* | 77 | 80 |
| Idaho | 63* | - | 71* | 68* | 80* | 86* | 85 | 85 | 83 |
| Illinois | - | - | 66 * | 63* | 73* | 74* | 79 | 80 | 80 |
| Indiana | 60* | 72* | 78* | 77* | 82* | 84 | 89 | 87 | 87 |
| lowa | 72* | 74* | 78* | 75* | 83 | 85 | 87 | 87 | 86 |
| Kansas | - | - | 75* | 76* | 85* | 88 | 89 | 89 | 90 |
| Kentucky | 51* | $60^{*}$ | $60^{*}$ | 59* | 72* | 75* | 79* | 81* | 85 |
| Louisiana | 39* | 44* | 57* | 57* | 67* | 74 | 73 | 72 | 73 |
| Maine | 75* | 75* | 74* | 73* | 83* | 84 | 85 | 87 | 87 |
| Maryland | 55* | 59* | 61 * | 60* | 73* | 79* | 80* | 85 | 86 |
| Massachusetts | 68* | 71* | 79* | 77* | 84* | 91* | 93 | 92 | 93 |
| Michigan | $61 *$ | 68* | 72* | 71* | 77 | 79 | 80 | 78 | 78 |
| Minnesota | 71* | 76* | 78* | 76* | 84* | 88 | 87 | 89 | 88 |
| Mississippi | 36* | 42* | 45* | 45* | 62* | 69 | 70 | 69 | 72 |
| Missouri | 62* | 66* | 72* | 71* | 79* | 79* | 82 | 83 | 83 |
| Montana | - | 71* | 73* | 72* | 81* | 85 | 88 | 88 | 87 |
| Nebraska | 67* | 70* | 67* | 65* | 80 | 80 | 80 | 82 | 83 |
| Nevada | - | 57* | 61 * | 60* | 69* | 72* | 74* | 79 | 79 |
| New Hampshire | 72* | - | - | - | 87* | 89* | 91 | 92 | 92 |
| New Jersey | 68* | 68* | - | - | 80* | 86* | 90 | 88 | 89 |
| New Mexico | 50* | $51 *$ | 51* | 50* | 63* | 65* | 70* | 72 | 75 |
| New York | 57* | 64* | 67* | 66* | 79 | 81 | 85* | 83* | 80 |
| North Carolina | 50* | 64* | 76* | 73* | 85* | 83* | 85* | 87 | 88 |
| North Dakota | 72* | 75* | 75* | 73* | 83* | 89 | 91 | 91 | 90 |
| Ohio | 57* | - | 73* | 73* | 81* | 84 | 87 | 85 | 86 |
| Oklahoma | 60* | - | 69* | 67* | 74* | 79* | 82 | 82 | 83 |
| Oregon | - | 65* | 67* | 65* | 79 | 80* | 79 | 80 | 77 |
| Pennsylvania | 65* | 68* | - | - | 78* | 82* | 85 | 84 | 87 |
| Rhode Island | 54* | 61* | 67* | 65* | 72* | 76* | 80* | 81* | 84 |
| South Carolina | 48* | 48* | 60* | 59* | 79 | 81 | 80 | 78 | 79 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | 82* | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Tennessee | 47* | 58* | 60* | 59* | 70* | 74 | 76 | 74 | 75 |
| Texas | 56* | 69* | 77* | 76* | 82 | 87 | 87 | 85 | 85 |
| Utah | 66* | 69* | 70* | 69* | 79* | 83 | 83 | 81* | 85 |
| Vermont | - | 67* | 73* | 73* | 85* | 87 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Virginia | 59* | $62^{*}$ | 73* | 71* | 83* | 83* | 87 | 85 | 87 |
| Washington | - | 67* | - | - | 81 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 83 |
| West Virginia | 52* | 63* | 68* | 65* | 75 | 75 | 81* | 77 | 78 |
| Wisconsin | 71* | 74* | - | - | 79* | 84 | 85 | 85 | 86 |
| Wyoming | 69* | 64* | 73* | 71* | 87 | 87 | 88 | 87 | 88 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 23* | 20* | 24* | 24* | 36* | 45* | 49* | 56* | 60 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | 64* | 70* | 69* | 84 | 85 | 86 | 86 | 86 |

- Not available. The state/jurisdiction did not participate or did not meet the minimum participation guidelines for reporting.
* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011 when only one state/jurisdiction or the nation is being examined.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1992-2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-14. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students at or above Proficient in NAEP mathematics, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1992-2011

| State/jurisdiction | Accommodations not permitted |  |  | Accommodations permitted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1992 | 1996 | 2000 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 17* | 20* | 25* | 22* | 31* | 35* | 39* | 38* | 40 |
| Alabama | 10* | 11* | 14* | 13* | 19* | 21* | 26 | 24 | 27 |
| Alaska | - | 21* | - | - | 30* | 34 | 38 | 38 | 37 |
| Arizona | 13* | 15* | 17* | $16^{*}$ | 25* | 28* | 31 | $28 *$ | 34 |
| Arkansas | 10* | 13* | 13* | 14* | 26* | 34 | 37 | 36 | 37 |
| California | 12* | 11* | 15* | 13* | 25* | 28* | 30 | 30 | 34 |
| Colorado | 17* | 22* | - | - | 34* | 39* | 41* | 45 | 47 |
| Connecticut | 24* | $31 *$ | 32* | 31* | 41* | 42 | 45 | 46 | 45 |
| Delaware | 17* | $16^{*}$ | - | - | $31^{*}$ | 36 | 40 | 36 | 39 |
| Florida | 13* | 15* | - | - | 31* | 37 | 40 | 40 | 37 |
| Georgia | 15* | 13* | 18* | 17* | 27* | 30* | 32* | 34 | 37 |
| Hawaii | 15* | $16 *$ | 14* | 14* | 23* | 27* | 33* | 37 | 40 |
| Idaho | $16^{*}$ | - | 21* | $20^{*}$ | $31 *$ | 40 | 40 | 41 | 39 |
| Illinois | - | - | 21* | $20^{*}$ | 32* | 32* | 36 | 38 | 38 |
| Indiana | $16 *$ | 24* | 31* | 30* | 35* | 38* | 46 | 42 | 44 |
| lowa | 26* | 22* | 28* | 26* | 36* | 37* | 43 | 41 | 43 |
| Kansas | - | - | 30* | 29* | 41* | 47 | 51 | 46 | 48 |
| Kentucky | 13* | 16* | 17* | 17* | 22* | $26^{*}$ | 31* | 37 | 39 |
| Louisiana | 8* | 8* | $14 *$ | 14* | 21* | 24 | 24 | 23 | 26 |
| Maine | 27* | 27* | 25* | 23* | $34 *$ | 39* | 42 | 45 | 45 |
| Maryland | 18* | $22^{*}$ | $22^{*}$ | 21* | $31 *$ | 38* | 40* | 44 | 48 |
| Massachusetts | 23* | $24 *$ | 33* | 31* | 41* | 49* | 58 | 57 | 58 |
| Michigan | 18* | 23* | 29* | 28* | 34 | 38 | 37 | 35 | 35 |
| Minnesota | 26* | 29* | 34* | 33* | 42* | 47* | 51 | 54 | 53 |
| Mississippi | 6* | 8* | 9* | 9* | 17* | 19* | 21* | 22 | 25 |
| Missouri | 19* | $20^{*}$ | 23* | 23* | 30* | 31 * | 38 | 41 | 41 |
| Montana | - | 22* | 25* | 24* | 31 * | 38* | 44 | 45 | 45 |
| Nebraska | 22* | 24* | 24* | 24* | $34 *$ | 36 | 38 | 38 | 39 |
| Nevada | - | $14 *$ | $16^{*}$ | $16^{*}$ | 23* | 26 * | 30* | 32 | 36 |
| New Hampshire | 25* | - | - | - | 43* | 47* | 52* | 56 | 57 |
| New Jersey | 25* | 25* | - | - | 39* | 45* | 52 | 49 | 51 |
| New Mexico | 11* | 13* | 12* | $12^{*}$ | 17* | 19* | 24* | 26 | 30 |
| New York | 17* | 20* | 22* | 21* | 33 | 36 | 43* | 40* | 36 |
| North Carolina | 13* | 21* | 28* | 25* | 41 | 40* | 41 | 43 | 44 |
| North Dakota | $22^{*}$ | 24* | 25* | 25* | $34 *$ | 40* | 46 | 45 | 46 |
| Ohio | 16* | - | 26* | 25* | 36* | 43 | 46 | 45 | 45 |
| Oklahoma | 14* | - | 16* | 16* | 23* | 29* | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| Oregon | - | 21* | 23* | 23* | 33 | 37 | 35 | 37 | 37 |
| Pennsylvania | 22* | 20* | - | - | 36* | 41* | 47 | 46 | 48 |
| Rhode Island | 13* | 17* | 23* | 22* | 28* | 31* | 34* | 39 | 43 |
| South Carolina | 13* | 12* | 18* | 18* | 32 | 36 | 36 | 34 | 36 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | 34* | 41 | 41 | 42 | 40 |
| Tennessee | 10* | 17* | 18* | 18* | 24* | 28 | 29 | 28 | 30 |
| Texas | 15* | 25* | 27* | 25* | 33* | 40 | 40 | 38 | 39 |
| Utah | 19* | 23* | 24* | 23* | $31 *$ | 37* | 39 | 41 | 43 |
| Vermont | - | 23* | 29* | 29* | 42* | 44* | 49 | 51 | 49 |
| Virginia | 19* | 19* | 25* | 24* | 36* | 39* | 42 | 43 | 46 |
| Washington | - | 21* | - | - | 36* | 42 | 44 | 43 | 45 |
| West Virginia | 12* | 19* | 18* | 17* | $24 *$ | 25* | 33 | 28 | 31 |
| Wisconsin | 24* | 27* | - | - | 35* | 40* | 47 | 45 | 47 |
| Wyoming | 19* | 19* | 25* | 25* | 39* | 43 | 44 | 40 | 44 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 5* | 5* | 6* | 5* | 7* | 10* | 14* | 17* | 22 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | 19* | 23* | 21* | $31^{*}$ | 35* | 37 | 38 | 39 |

- Not available. The state/jurisdiction did not participate or did not meet the minimum participation guidelines for reporting.
* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011 when only one state/jurisdiction or the nation is being examined.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1992-2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-15. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students, by race/ethnicity and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | White |  |  |  |  | Black |  |  |  |  | Hispanic |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } \\ & \text { Basic } \end{aligned}$ | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | Advanced |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } \\ & \text { Basic } \end{aligned}$ | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |  | Below Basic | $\begin{gathered} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Basic } \end{gathered}$ | At or above Proficient | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |
| Nation (public) | 249 | 9 | 91 | 52 | 9 | 224 | 34 | 66 | 17 | 1 | 229 | 28 | 72 | 24 | 2 |
| Alabama | 240 | 14 | 86 | 37 | 4 | 215 | 46 | 54 | 9 | \# | 227 | 29 | 71 | 21 | \# |
| Alaska | 248 | 10 | 90 | 50 | 9 | 225 | 32 | 68 | 15 | 2 | 239 | 18 | 82 | 36 | 5 |
| Arizona | 246 | 11 | 89 | 49 | 8 | 224 | 38 | 62 | 22 | 3 | 227 | 30 | 70 | 21 | 1 |
| Arkansas | 244 | 12 | 88 | 45 | 6 | 219 | 42 | 58 | 16 | 1 | 233 | 24 | 76 | 28 | 3 |
| California | 252 | 8 | 92 | 57 | 12 | 225 | 32 | 68 | 19 | 1 | 222 | 38 | 62 | 17 | 1 |
| Colorado | 254 | 7 | 93 | 60 | 14 | 225 | 34 | 66 | 21 | 1 | 230 | 28 | 72 | 26 | 3 |
| Connecticut | 253 | 7 | 93 | 60 | 11 | 220 | 41 | 59 | 15 | 1 | 222 | 38 | 62 | 19 | 2 |
| Delaware | 250 | 7 | 93 | 53 | 7 | 227 | 29 | 71 | 19 | 1 | 231 | 24 | 76 | 25 | 1 |
| Florida | 250 | 8 | 92 | 52 | 9 | 226 | 30 | 70 | 18 | 1 | 236 | 19 | 81 | 31 | 3 |
| Georgia | 249 | 9 | 91 | 51 | 10 | 224 | 35 | 65 | 18 | 1 | 233 | 24 | 76 | 29 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 248 | 11 | 89 | 53 | 10 | 233 | 25 | 75 | 32 | 4 | 237 | 22 | 78 | 39 | 4 |
| Idaho | 244 | 12 | 88 | 44 | , | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 223 | 36 | 64 | 17 | 1 |
| Illinois | 249 | 10 | 90 | 51 | 10 | 219 | 42 | 58 | 14 | 2 | 226 | 30 | 70 | 20 | 1 |
| Indiana | 249 | 9 | 91 | 51 | 9 | 223 | 35 | 65 | 15 | \# | 234 | 21 | 79 | 29 | 3 |
| lowa | 246 | 11 | 89 | 47 | 6 | 224 | 37 | 63 | 18 | 2 | 229 | 27 | 73 | 24 | 1 |
| Kansas | 251 | 7 | 93 | 56 | 9 | 227 | 28 | 72 | 18 | 1 | 235 | 17 | 83 | 26 | 1 |
| Kentucky | 243 | 13 | 87 | 41 | 6 | 225 | 31 | 69 | 17 | 1 | 236 | 18 | 82 | 30 | 3 |
| Louisiana | 241 | 13 | 87 | 40 | 4 | 219 | 41 | 59 | 12 | 1 | 230 | 25 | 75 | 20 | \# |
| Maine | 246 | 11 | 89 | 47 | 8 | 212 | 55 | 45 | 10 | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | 258 | 6 | 94 | 64 | 18 | 230 | 27 | 73 | 23 | 2 | 245 | 13 | 87 | 43 | 9 |
| Massachusetts | 258 | 4 | 96 | 67 | 15 | 235 | 19 | 81 | 27 | 3 | 236 | 20 | 80 | 32 | 4 |
| Michigan | 242 | 14 | 86 | 41 | 5 | 211 | 53 | 47 | 8 | \# | 228 | 31 | 69 | 21 | 2 |
| Minnesota | 255 | 6 | 94 | 60 | 14 | 225 | 37 | 63 | 23 | 3 | 230 | 27 | 73 | 28 | 2 |
| Mississippi | 241 | 14 | 86 | 38 | 3 | 217 | 44 | 56 | 10 | \# | 229 | 25 | 75 | 22 | 2 |
| Missouri | 246 | 11 | 89 | 48 | 7 | 216 | 47 | 53 | 14 | 1 | 231 | 23 | 77 | 24 | 1 |
| Montana | 247 | 9 | 91 | 50 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 237 | 18 | 82 | 31 | 3 |
| Nebraska | 247 | 10 | 90 | 48 | 7 | 213 | 49 | 51 | 7 | 1 | 226 | 32 | 68 | 20 | 1 |
| Nevada | 247 | 11 | 89 | 48 | 8 | 226 | 33 | 67 | 23 | 1 | 229 | 29 | 71 | 24 | 1 |
| New Hampshire | 252 | 7 | 93 | 59 | 10 | 235 | 19 | 81 | 27 | 3 | 235 | 23 | 77 | 30 | 2 |
| New Jersey | 256 | 5 | 95 | 64 | 12 | 231 | 23 | 77 | 24 | 2 | 234 | 21 | 79 | 28 | 2 |
| New Mexico | 247 | 11 | 89 | 48 | 8 | 226 | 32 | 68 | 19 | 3 | 228 | 29 | 71 | 23 | 2 |
| New York | 245 | 11 | 89 | 46 | 7 | 224 | 35 | 65 | 17 | 1 | 226 | 31 | 69 | 20 | 1 |
| North Carolina | 253 | 5 | 95 | 58 | 10 | 229 | 25 | 75 | 18 | \# | 238 | 14 | 86 | 33 | 2 |
| North Dakota | 249 | 6 | 94 | 52 | , | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 233 | 20 | 80 | 24 | 2 |
| Ohio | 249 | 9 | 91 | 53 | 8 | 226 | 32 | 68 | 20 | 2 | 233 | 24 | 76 | 27 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 243 | 11 | 89 | 41 | 3 | 224 | 34 | 66 | 14 | \# | 227 | 28 | 72 | 19 | 2 |
| Oregon | 243 | 16 | 84 | 43 | 7 | 215 | 50 | 50 | 14 | 2 | 220 | 42 | 58 | 15 | 1 |
| Pennsylvania | 251 | 8 | 92 | 56 | 11 | 224 | 33 | 67 | 17 | 1 | 226 | 31 | 69 | 20 | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 249 | 9 | 91 | 53 | 10 | 225 | 31 | 69 | 20 | 2 | 224 | 33 | 67 | 21 | 1 |
| South Carolina | 248 | 10 | 90 | 52 | 9 | 220 | 39 | 61 | 13 | \# | 234 | 20 | 80 | 28 | 2 |
| South Dakota | 246 | 9 | 91 | 46 | 5 | 227 | 32 | 68 | 21 | 1 | 226 | 29 | 71 | 18 | 2 |
| Tennessee | 239 | 18 | 82 | 36 | 5 | 216 | 45 | 55 | 12 | 1 | 228 | 28 | 72 | 19 | 1 |
| Texas | 253 | 6 | 94 | 60 | 9 | 232 | 23 | 77 | 25 | 1 | 235 | 19 | 81 | 29 | 2 |
| Utah | 247 | 10 | 90 | 49 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 223 | 36 | 64 | 17 | 1 |
| Vermont | 248 | 10 | 90 | 50 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 251 | 8 | 92 | 56 | 11 | 229 | 27 | 73 | 20 | 1 | 237 | 17 | 83 | 31 | 4 |
| Washington | 249 | 11 | 89 | 53 | 10 | 227 | 29 | 71 | 20 | 2 | 226 | 32 | 68 | 22 | 2 |
| West Virginia | 235 | 21 | 79 | 32 | 3 | 227 | 30 | 70 | 20 | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 251 | 8 | 92 | 55 | 10 | 217 | 45 | 55 | 12 | 1 | 228 | 29 | 71 | 22 | 1 |
| Wyoming | 246 | 9 | 91 | 47 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 235 | 20 | 80 | 31 | 2 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 272 | 1 | 99 | 84 | 33 | 215 | 46 | 54 | 13 | 1 | 223 | 36 | 64 | 21 | 2 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 246 | 9 | 91 | 47 | 5 | 228 | 27 | 73 | 19 | \# | 236 | 18 | 82 | 30 | 2 |

See notes at end of table.

Table A-15. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students, by race/ethnicity and state/jurisdiction: 2011-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | Asian/Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  | American Indian/Alaska Native |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below Basic | At or <br> above <br> Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | Advanced |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } \\ & \text { Basic } \end{aligned}$ | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |
| Nation (public) | 256 | 9 | 91 | 62 | 20 | 227 | 32 | 68 | 24 | 2 |
| Alabama | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | $\ddagger$ | + |
| Alaska | 234 | 23 | 77 | 29 | 3 | 213 | 50 | 50 | 14 | 1 |
| Arizona | 249 | 13 | 87 | 53 | 14 | 216 | 45 | 55 | 14 | 1 |
| Arkansas | 247 | 17 | 83 | 53 | 13 | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + |
| California | 256 | 9 | 91 | 63 | 19 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Colorado | 246 | 21 | 79 | 55 | 15 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Connecticut | 255 | 10 | 90 | 62 | 18 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Delaware | 262 | 4 | 96 | 69 | 24 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + |
| Florida | 257 | 4 | 96 | 64 | 17 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Georgia | 263 | 6 | 94 | 70 | 29 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Hawaii | 237 | 21 | 79 | 37 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Idaho | 247 | 16 | 84 | 52 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Illinois | 257 | 7 | 93 | 63 | 19 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Indiana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| lowa | 248 | 15 | 85 | 52 | 14 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kansas | 253 | 5 | 95 | 59 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kentucky | 261 | 6 | 94 | 66 | 27 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Louisiana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + |
| Maine | 246 | 15 | 85 | 48 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + |
| Maryland | 267 | 5 | 95 | 74 | 33 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Massachusetts | 267 | 2 | 98 | 76 | 30 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Michigan | 263 | 7 | 93 | 71 | 25 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |  | + |
| Minnesota | 253 | 12 | 88 | 57 | 16 | 233 | 26 | 74 | 30 | 4 |
| Mississippi | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + |
| Missouri | 252 | 10 | 90 | 57 | 17 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 220 | 43 | 57 | 16 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 241 | 15 | 85 | 40 | 10 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + |
| Nevada | 252 | 11 | 89 | 58 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Hampshire | 264 | 5 | 95 | 70 | 29 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 265 | 4 | 96 | 75 | 29 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Mexico | 254 | 11 | 89 | 63 | 18 | 219 | 42 | 58 | 15 | 2 |
| New York | 252 | 12 | 88 | 58 | 17 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| North Carolina | 263 | 3 | 97 | 71 | 26 | 225 | 36 | 64 | 20 | 3 |
| North Dakota | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 221 | 39 | 61 | 15 | 1 |
| Ohio | 254 | 8 | 92 | 58 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Oklahoma | 252 | 4 | 96 | 55 | 10 | 234 | 22 | 78 | 29 | 3 |
| Oregon | 249 | 16 | 84 | 51 | 17 | 220 | 41 | 59 | 21 | 3 |
| Pennsylvania | 264 | 4 | 96 | 75 | 25 | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Rhode Island | 251 | 8 | 92 | 49 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Carolina | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Dakota | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 220 | 40 | 60 | 15 | \# |
| Tennessee | 249 | 13 | 87 | 51 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 263 |  | 97 | 69 | 27 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Utah | 236 | 22 | 78 | 31 | 8 | 214 | 46 | 54 | 14 | 1 |
| Vermont | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 262 | 4 | 96 | 70 | 24 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Washington | 256 | 10 | 90 | 62 | 20 | 223 | 37 | 63 | 20 | 1 |
| West Virginia | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 242 | 20 | 80 | 42 | 12 | 231 | 29 | 71 | 34 | 5 |
| Wyoming | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 223 | 38 | 62 | 23 | 2 |
| Other jurisdictions District of Columbia DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
|  | 244 | 13 | 87 | 45 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |

\# Rounds to zero.
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
'Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Results are not shown
for students of two or more races. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011
Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-16. Percentage of students, average scores, and achievement-level results in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics, by selected racial/ethnic groups and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Asian |  |  |  |  | Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  | Two or more races |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of students | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  | Percentage of students | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  | Percentage of students | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |
|  |  |  | At or above | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | At <br> Advanced |  |  | At or <br> above <br> Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | At <br> Advanced |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Basic } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | At <br> Advanced |
| Nation | 5 | 257 | 93 | 64 | 20 | \# | 236 | 77 | 34 | 7 | 2 | 245 | 87 | 45 | 10 |
| Nation (public) | 5 | 257 | 92 | 64 | 21 | \# | 235 | 76 | 33 | 7 | 2 | 244 | 85 | 43 | 9 |
| Alabama | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 6 | 238 | 84 | 34 | 3 | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 8 | 240 | 83 | 38 | 7 |
| Arizona | 3 | 252 | 89 | 57 | 15 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Arkansas | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ |
| California | 12 | 256 | 92 | 64 | 19 | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | 252 | 91 | 56 | 16 |
| Colorado | 3 | 246 | 79 | 56 | 16 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 250 | 89 | 52 | 15 |
| Connecticut | 5 | 255 | 90 | 62 | 18 | \# | $\ddagger$ | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 241 | 74 | 49 | 10 |
| Delaware | 3 | 263 | 97 | 70 | 25 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 249 | 94 | 47 | 9 |
| Florida | 3 | 258 | 96 | 66 | 17 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 242 | 88 | 38 | 8 |
| Georgia | 4 | 263 | 93 | 70 | 29 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 241 | 79 | 41 | 6 |
| Hawaii | 36 | 246 | 86 | 48 | 9 | 33 | 228 | 70 | 26 | 3 | 7 | 241 | 84 | 41 | 7 |
| Idaho | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Illinois | 4 | 258 | 94 | 65 | 20 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 244 | 85 | 45 | 8 |
| Indiana | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 5 | 238 | 80 | 35 | 4 |
| lowa | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 240 | 84 | 39 | 3 |
| Kansas | 3 | 253 | 95 | 60 | 11 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 243 | 90 | 42 | 6 |
| Kentucky | 1 | 262 | 94 | 67 | 27 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 237 | 83 | 35 | 2 |
| Louisiana | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | 2 | 246 | 85 | 48 | 11 | \# | $\ddagger$ |  | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | 6 | 268 | 96 | 76 | 34 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 256 | 91 | 58 | 20 |
| Massachusetts | 6 | 267 | 98 | 76 | 29 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 257 | 92 | 60 | 22 |
| Michigan | 3 | 263 | 93 | 71 | 26 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 234 | 77 | 27 | 6 |
| Minnesota | 5 | 253 | 87 | 57 | 16 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 237 | 77 | 39 | 6 |
| Mississippi | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 2 | 253 | 91 | 56 | 19 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ | + | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nebraska | 2 | 242 | 85 | 42 | 11 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 235 | 79 | 29 | 5 |
| Nevada | 6 | 253 | 90 | 61 | 13 | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 239 | 80 | 39 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | 264 | 94 | 70 | 29 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ |
| New Jersey | 8 | 266 | 96 | 75 | 29 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Mexico | 1 | 254 | 89 | 63 | 19 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ |
| New York | 10 | 252 | 88 | 58 | 17 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| North Carolina | 3 | 265 | 98 | 72 | 27 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 247 | 93 | 48 | 7 |
| North Dakota | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Ohio | 2 | 254 | 92 | 58 | 11 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 240 | 81 | 35 | 8 |
| Oklahoma | 2 | 254 | 97 | 58 | 12 | , | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Oregon | 3 | 257 | 91 | 62 | 22 | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 5 | 242 | 82 | 46 | 8 |
| Pennsylvania | 3 | 265 | 96 | 75 | 26 | , | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | 234 | 81 | 27 | 5 |
| Rhode Island | 3 | 251 | 92 | 50 | 13 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 238 | 80 | 43 | 6 |
| South Carolina | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 236 | 82 | 33 | 3 |
| South Dakota | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Tennessee | 2 | 247 | 85 | 52 | 12 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 3 | 264 | 97 | 72 | 28 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | 249 | 93 | 49 | 14 |
| Utah | 2 | 241 | 82 | 39 | 14 | 2 | 230 | 72 | 23 | 2 | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Vermont | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 245 | 86 | 44 | 11 |
| Virginia | 7 | 262 | 96 | 70 | 24 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 5 | 249 | 90 | 50 | 11 |
| Washington | 7 | 261 | 94 | 70 | 23 | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 5 | 249 | 89 | 51 | 13 |
| West Virginia | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |  |
| Wisconsin | 4 | 242 | 81 | 43 | 13 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wyoming | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 5 | 247 | 89 | 49 | 8 | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 11 | 243 | 88 | 42 | 6 |

[^25]SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-17. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students, by gender and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below Basic | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{At} \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |  | Below <br> Basic | At or <br> above <br> Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |
| Nation (public) | 241 | 18 | 82 | 41 | 7 | 239 | 18 | 82 | 39 | 6 |
| Alabama | 231 | 26 | 74 | 28 | , | 232 | 25 | 75 | 27 | 2 |
| Alaska | 237 | 22 | 78 | 38 |  | 235 | 22 | 78 | 35 | 5 |
| Arizona | 237 | 21 | 79 | 36 | 5 | 234 | 24 | 76 | 31 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 238 | 20 | 80 | 37 | 5 | 238 | 19 | 81 | 37 | 4 |
| California | 235 | 25 | 75 | 35 | 7 | 234 | 27 | 73 | 33 | 6 |
| Colorado | 246 | 16 | 84 | 49 | 11 | 243 | 16 | 84 | 45 | 8 |
| Connecticut | 243 | 17 | 83 | 46 | 9 | 241 | 19 | 81 | 44 | 7 |
| Delaware | 241 | 16 | 84 | 40 | 6 | 239 | 16 | 84 | 37 | 4 |
| Florida | 240 | 17 | 83 | 38 | 6 | 240 | 16 | 84 | 36 | 5 |
| Georgia | 238 | 22 | 78 | 37 | 7 | 239 | 19 | 81 | 37 | 6 |
| Hawaii | 238 | 21 | 79 | 39 | 6 | 240 | 18 | 82 | 40 | 6 |
| Idaho | 241 | 16 | 84 | 41 | 6 | 239 | 18 | 82 | 38 | 5 |
| Illinois | 240 | 19 | 81 | 39 | 8 | 238 | 21 | 79 | 37 | 6 |
| Indiana | 245 | 12 | 88 | 46 | 8 | 242 | 15 | 85 | 42 | 6 |
| lowa | 244 | 14 | 86 | 44 | 6 | 242 | 15 | 85 | 42 | 5 |
| Kansas | 247 | 10 | 90 | 48 | 7 | 246 | 10 | 90 | 47 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 242 | 14 | 86 | 39 | 6 | 240 | 16 | 84 | 38 | 5 |
| Louisiana | 231 | 28 | 72 | 26 | 2 | 231 | 26 | 74 | 26 | 2 |
| Maine | 246 | 12 | 88 | 48 | 8 | 243 | 14 | 86 | 42 | 6 |
| Maryland | 248 | 14 | 86 | 50 | 14 | 246 | 14 | 86 | 45 | 11 |
| Massachusetts | 255 | 8 | 92 | 60 | 16 | 252 | 7 | 93 | 57 | 11 |
| Michigan | 238 | 21 | 79 | 37 | 6 | 235 | 22 | 78 | 33 | 4 |
| Minnesota | 250 | 12 | 88 | 54 | 13 | 248 | 12 | 88 | 52 | 11 |
| Mississippi | 229 | 29 | 71 | 25 | 2 | 231 | 26 | 74 | 25 | 2 |
| Missouri | 240 | 18 | 82 | 42 | 6 | 241 | 16 | 84 | 41 | 5 |
| Montana | 245 | 12 | 88 | 48 | 6 | 242 | 14 | 86 | 43 | 4 |
| Nebraska | 241 | 17 | 83 | 41 | 6 | 239 | 18 | 82 | 37 | 4 |
| Nevada | 238 | 20 | 80 | 38 | 5 | 236 | 22 | 78 | 33 | 4 |
| New Hampshire | 252 | 8 | 92 | 58 | 11 | 251 | 7 | 93 | 56 | 10 |
| New Jersey | 249 | 11 | 89 | 53 | 11 | 247 | 11 | 89 | 49 | 9 |
| New Mexico | 234 | 24 | 76 | 31 | 4 | 232 | 26 | 74 | 28 | 4 |
| New York | 238 | 20 | 80 | 37 | 6 | 237 | 20 | 80 | 34 | 4 |
| North Carolina | 245 | 12 | 88 | 44 | 8 | 244 | 12 | 88 | 44 | 6 |
| North Dakota | 246 | 10 | 90 | 49 | 7 | 244 | 10 | 90 | 44 | 4 |
| Ohio | 245 | 14 | 86 | 47 | 9 | 243 | 13 | 87 | 44 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 237 | 18 | 82 | 34 | 3 | 238 | 16 | 84 | 33 | 3 |
| Oregon | 237 | 23 | 77 | 37 | 7 | 237 | 22 | 78 | 36 | 6 |
| Pennsylvania | 247 | 14 | 86 | 49 | 10 | 245 | 13 | 87 | 46 | 8 |
| Rhode Island | 242 | 17 | 83 | 43 | 8 | 242 | 15 | 85 | 42 | 6 |
| South Carolina | 237 | 23 | 77 | 36 | 6 | 238 | 19 | 81 | 36 | 5 |
| South Dakota | 242 | 14 | 86 | 42 | 5 | 240 | 15 | 85 | 37 | 3 |
| Tennessee | 233 | 24 | 76 | 30 | 4 | 232 | 25 | 75 | 29 | 3 |
| Texas | 241 | 15 | 85 | 39 | 5 | 241 | 15 | 85 | 39 | 4 |
| Utah | 244 | 14 | 86 | 46 | 9 | 241 | 16 | 84 | 40 | 5 |
| Vermont | 248 | 11 | 89 | 52 | 9 | 245 | 12 | 88 | 46 | 6 |
| Virginia | 247 | 12 | 88 | 48 | 10 | 244 | 13 | 87 | 44 | 8 |
| Washington | 244 | 17 | 83 | 46 | 10 | 242 | 17 | 83 | 43 | 8 |
| West Virginia | 235 | 21 | 79 | 33 | 4 | 234 | 22 | 78 | 29 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 245 | 14 | 86 | 49 | 9 | 244 | 14 | 86 | 45 | 7 |
| Wyoming | 245 | 12 | 88 | 46 | 6 | 243 | 13 | 87 | 42 | 5 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 220 | 42 | 58 | 21 | 4 | 223 | 38 | 62 | 22 | 4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 242 | 14 | 86 | 40 | 4 | 240 | 14 | 86 | 37 | 3 |

${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011
Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-18. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students, by eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Eligible |  |  |  |  | Not eligible |  |  |  |  | Information not available |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } \\ & \text { Basic } \end{aligned}$ | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } \\ & \text { Basic } \end{aligned}$ | At or above Basic | At or above Proficient | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |  | Below Basic | At or <br> above <br> Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |
| Nation (public) | 229 | 27 | 73 | 24 | 2 | 252 | 8 | 92 | 57 | 12 | 247 | 12 | 88 | 49 | 10 |
| Alabama | 222 | 35 | 65 | 15 | 1 | 244 | 12 | 88 | 44 | 5 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 224 | 35 | 65 | 22 | 2 | 247 | 11 | 89 | 49 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ |
| Arizona | 227 | 31 | 69 | 22 | 2 | 247 | 12 | 88 | 49 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Arkansas | 230 | 27 | 73 | 26 | 2 | 252 | 6 | 94 | 57 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + |
| California | 222 | 37 | 63 | 18 | 1 | 251 | 11 | 89 | 56 | 14 | 231 | 19 | 81 | 19 | 3 |
| Colorado | 231 | 27 | 73 | 28 | 3 | 256 | 6 | 94 | 63 | 16 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Connecticut | 223 | 37 | 63 | 19 | 1 | 254 | 7 | 93 | 62 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Delaware | 231 | 24 | 76 | 24 | 1 | 250 | 8 | 92 | 53 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Florida | 232 | 22 | 78 | 26 | 2 | 252 | 7 | 93 | 56 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Georgia | 227 | 30 | 70 | 21 | 1 | 252 | 8 | 92 | 58 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Hawaii | 228 | 29 | 71 | 26 | , | 248 | 11 | 89 | 52 | 10 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Idaho | 232 | 25 | 75 | 27 | 3 | 248 | 9 | 91 | 51 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Illinois | 225 | 33 | 67 | 20 | 1 | 252 | 8 | 92 | 56 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Indiana | 235 | 20 | 80 | 31 | 2 | 253 | 6 | 94 | 58 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| lowa | 233 | 23 | 77 | 28 | 2 | 250 | 8 | 92 | 54 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kansas | 238 | 15 | 85 | 33 | , | 255 | 5 | 95 | 63 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kentucky | 232 | 23 | 77 | 26 | 2 | 251 | 6 | 94 | 55 | 10 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Louisiana | 224 | 34 | 66 | 17 | 1 | 246 | 10 | 90 | 46 | 5 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | 235 | 21 | 79 | 31 | , | 252 | 6 | 94 | 57 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ |
| Maryland | 233 | 24 | 76 | 26 | 3 | 258 | 6 | 94 | 63 | 19 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Massachusetts | 239 | 17 | 83 | 36 | 4 | 261 | 3 | 97 | 70 | 19 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Michigan | 224 | 35 | 65 | 18 | 1 | 247 | 11 | 89 | 49 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Minnesota | 235 | 22 | 78 | 33 | 3 | 258 | 6 | 94 | 65 | 17 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Mississippi | 224 | 35 | 65 | 17 | 1 | 246 | 9 | 91 | 47 | 5 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 230 | 27 | 73 | 27 | 2 | 252 | 7 | 93 | 57 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | 234 | 22 | 78 | 31 | 2 | 251 | 7 | 93 | 56 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nebraska | 227 | 30 | 70 | 21 | 1 | 250 | 8 | 92 | 53 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nevada | 229 | 29 | 71 | 25 | 2 | 248 | 10 | 90 | 50 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Hampshire | 241 | 15 | 85 | 39 | 4 | 256 | 5 | 95 | 64 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 233 | 22 | 78 | 27 | 2 | 257 | 5 | 95 | 64 | 14 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Mexico | 226 | 31 | 69 | 21 | 2 | 248 | 9 | 91 | 50 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New York | 229 | 29 | 71 | 25 | 3 | 248 | 10 | 90 | 49 | 8 | 249 | 11 | 89 | 50 | 14 |
| North Carolina | 235 | 18 | 82 | 28 | 2 | 256 | 4 | 96 | 62 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| North Dakota | 235 | 19 | 81 | 29 | 2 | 251 | 5 | 95 | 56 | 7 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Ohio | 234 | 23 | 77 | 30 |  | 253 | 6 | 94 | 59 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Oklahoma | 232 | 23 | 77 | 25 | 1 | 246 | 9 | 91 | 47 | 5 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Oregon | 226 | 33 | 67 | 22 | 2 | 250 | 10 | 90 | 54 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Pennsylvania | 231 | 26 | 74 | 26 | 3 | 256 | 5 | 95 | 62 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Rhode Island | 229 | 26 | 74 | 26 | 2 | 252 | 8 | 92 | 57 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Carolina | 227 | 30 | 70 | 21 | 2 | 251 | 9 | 91 | 56 | 10 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Dakota | 231 | 25 | 75 | 25 | 2 | 249 | 7 | 93 | 51 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Tennessee | 225 | 34 | 66 | 19 | 1 | 245 | 12 | 88 | 44 | 7 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 234 | 20 | 80 | 28 | 2 | 253 | 6 | 94 | 59 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Utah | 232 | 26 | 74 | 28 | 3 | 249 | 9 | 91 | 52 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Vermont | 238 | 18 | 82 | 35 | 4 | 253 | 7 | 93 | 59 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 231 | 23 | 77 | 24 | 2 | 253 |  | 93 | 58 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Washington | 230 | 27 | 73 | 27 | 2 | 255 | 7 | 93 | 61 | 15 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| West Virginia | 227 | 30 | 70 | 21 | 1 | 243 | 13 | 87 | 43 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 231 | 25 | 75 | 27 | 2 | 254 | 6 | 94 | 61 | 12 | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wyoming | 236 | 19 | 81 | 32 | 3 | 249 | 7 | 93 | 52 | 7 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $+$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Other jurisdictions District of Columbia DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 213 | 49 | 51 | 12 | 1 | 246 | 16 | 84 | 48 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
|  | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 241 | 14 | 86 | 39 | 4 |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-19. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students, by status as students with disabilities (SD) and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | SD |  |  |  |  | Not SD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |
|  |  | Below Basic | At or <br> above <br> Basic |  |  |  | Below Basic | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ |  |
| Nation (public) | 218 | 45 | 55 | 17 | 2 | 243 | 15 | 85 | 43 | 7 |
| Alabama | 198 | 66 | 34 | 5 | \# | 234 | 21 | 79 | 29 | 3 |
| Alaska | 218 | 45 | 55 | 19 | 2 | 239 | 18 | 82 | 40 | 6 |
| Arizona | 210 | 54 | 46 | 15 | 1 | 238 | 19 | 81 | 36 | 5 |
| Arkansas | 212 | 51 | 49 | 14 | 1 | 241 | 15 | 85 | 40 | 5 |
| California | 202 | 65 | 35 | 9 | 1 | 237 | 23 | 77 | 36 | 7 |
| Colorado | 217 | 46 | 54 | 18 | 3 | 247 | 12 | 88 | 50 | 10 |
| Connecticut | 216 | 49 | 51 | 18 | 2 | 246 | 14 | 86 | 49 | 9 |
| Delaware | 217 | 47 | 53 | 14 | 1 | 244 | 12 | 88 | 42 | 6 |
| Florida | 223 | 36 | 64 | 18 | 2 | 243 | 13 | 87 | 41 | 6 |
| Georgia | 214 | 51 | 49 | 14 | 2 | 241 | 17 | 83 | 40 | 7 |
| Hawaii | 194 | 72 | 28 | 5 | 1 | 243 | 15 | 85 | 43 | 7 |
| Idaho | 217 | 48 | 52 | 15 | 1 | 243 | 14 | 86 | 42 | 6 |
| Illinois | 218 | 43 | 57 | 19 | 2 | 242 | 17 | 83 | 41 | 8 |
| Indiana | 227 | 32 | 68 | 26 | 2 | 247 | 10 | 90 | 47 | 8 |
| lowa | 216 | 48 | 52 | 13 | 1 | 247 | 9 | 91 | 48 | 6 |
| Kansas | 225 | 34 | 66 | 19 | 2 | 249 | 7 | 93 | 52 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 224 | 37 | 63 | 21 | 3 | 243 | 12 | 88 | 41 | 6 |
| Louisiana | 212 | 52 | 48 | 9 | 1 | 235 | 21 | 79 | 30 | 3 |
| Maine | 219 | 43 | 57 | 13 | 1 | 249 | 8 | 92 | 51 | 9 |
| Maryland | 235 | 27 | 73 | 33 | 8 | 248 | 12 | 88 | 49 | 13 |
| Massachusetts | 233 | 24 | 76 | 26 | 3 | 257 | 4 | 96 | 65 | 15 |
| Michigan | 214 | 50 | 50 | 14 | 1 | 239 | 18 | 82 | 38 | 5 |
| Minnesota | 227 | 35 | 65 | 25 | 4 | 253 | 8 | 92 | 57 | 13 |
| Mississippi | 213 | 50 | 50 | 14 | 1 | 231 | 25 | 75 | 26 | 2 |
| Missouri | 221 | 40 | 60 | 21 | 2 | 243 | 14 | 86 | 44 | 6 |
| Montana | 219 | 44 | 56 | 17 | 2 | 247 | 10 | 90 | 49 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 220 | 42 | 58 | 19 | 2 | 243 | 13 | 87 | 43 | 6 |
| Nevada | 217 | 47 | 53 | 21 | 3 | 239 | 18 | 82 | 37 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 230 | 27 | 73 | 25 | 3 | 256 | 4 | 96 | 63 | 12 |
| New Jersey | 226 | 35 | 65 | 25 | 3 | 252 | 7 | 93 | 55 | 11 |
| New Mexico | 210 | 56 | 44 | 11 | 1 | 236 | 21 | 79 | 32 | 4 |
| New York | 215 | 49 | 51 | 12 | 1 | 242 | 15 | 85 | 40 | 6 |
| North Carolina | 225 | 32 | 68 | 19 | 1 | 248 | 9 | 91 | 48 | 8 |
| North Dakota | 227 | 31 | 69 | 24 | 1 | 248 | 8 | 92 | 49 |  |
| Ohio | 221 | 41 | 59 | 20 | 1 | 247 | 10 | 90 | 49 | 8 |
| Oklahoma | 217 | 46 | 54 | 12 | \# | 239 | 15 | 85 | 35 | 3 |
| Oregon | 214 | 50 | 50 | 14 | 2 | 240 | 19 | 81 | 40 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 223 | 39 | 61 | 21 | 3 | 249 | 9 | 91 | 52 | 10 |
| Rhode Island | 212 | 51 | 49 | 13 | 1 | 246 | 11 | 89 | 47 | 8 |
| South Carolina | 211 | 53 | 47 | 11 | 1 | 241 | 16 | 84 | 40 | 6 |
| South Dakota | 223 | 36 | 64 | 17 | 1 | 244 | 11 | 89 | 44 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 211 | 52 | 48 | 12 | 2 | 235 | 21 | 79 | 32 | 4 |
| Texas | 220 | 44 | 56 | 19 | 1 | 243 | 13 | 87 | 41 | 5 |
| Utah | 222 | 38 | 62 | 20 | 4 | 245 | 12 | 88 | 46 | 7 |
| Vermont | 222 | 39 | 61 | 17 | 2 | 251 | 6 | 94 | 55 | 9 |
| Virginia | 225 | 37 | 63 | 23 |  | 248 | 10 | 90 | 49 | 9 |
| Washington | 216 | 47 | 53 | 18 | 2 | 247 | 12 | 88 | 49 | 10 |
| West Virginia | 217 | 45 | 55 | 17 | 1 | 238 | 17 | 83 | 34 | 4 |
| Wisconsin | 222 | 42 | 58 | 21 |  | 248 | 10 | 90 | 51 | 9 |
| Wyoming | 226 | 32 | 68 | 20 | 1 | 247 | 9 | 91 | 48 | 6 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 191 | 75 | 25 | 5 | 2 | 226 | 36 | 64 | 24 | 4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 220 | 44 | 56 | 15 | 2 | 244 | 10 | 90 | 42 | 4 |

[^26]Table A-20. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for fourth-grade public school students, by status as English language learners (ELL) and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | ELL |  |  |  |  | Not ELL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below Basic | $\begin{gathered} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Basic } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ |  |  | Below Basic | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | Advanced |
| Nation (public) | 219 | 42 | 58 | 14 | 1 | 243 | 15 | 85 | 43 | 7 |
| Alabama | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 232 | 25 | 75 | 28 | 3 |
| Alaska | 201 | 64 | 36 | 3 | \# | 242 | 16 | 84 | 42 | 7 |
| Arizona | 208 | 58 | 42 | 7 | \# | 239 | 18 | 82 | 37 | 5 |
| Arkansas | 227 | 29 | 71 | 23 | 1 | 239 | 19 | 81 | 38 | 5 |
| California | 214 | 49 | 51 | 11 | 1 | 243 | 16 | 84 | 44 | 9 |
| Colorado | 218 | 42 | 58 | 12 | \# | 249 | 11 | 89 | 53 | 11 |
| Connecticut | 205 | 61 | 39 | 6 | 1 | 245 | 15 | 85 | 48 | 9 |
| Delaware | 211 | 54 | 46 | 8 | \# | 241 | 15 | 85 | 40 | 5 |
| Florida | 219 | 42 | 58 | 13 | \# | 242 | 14 | 86 | 40 | 6 |
| Georgia | 219 | 44 | 56 | 13 | 1 | 239 | 19 | 81 | 38 | 7 |
| Hawaii | 213 | 49 | 51 | 12 | \# | 242 | 16 | 84 | 43 | 7 |
| Idaho | 204 | 63 | 37 | 2 | \# | 242 | 15 | 85 | 41 | 6 |
| Illinois | 215 | 46 | 54 | 12 | 1 | 241 | 18 | 82 | 40 | 7 |
| Indiana | 231 | 25 | 75 | 24 | 3 | 245 | 13 | 87 | 46 | 7 |
| lowa | 220 | 37 | 63 | 12 | \# | 244 | 13 | 87 | 45 | 6 |
| Kansas | 233 | 17 | 83 | 23 | 1 | 248 | 9 | 91 | 51 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 225 | 28 | 72 | 11 | 1 | 241 | 15 | 85 | 39 | 5 |
| Louisiana | 227 | 31 | 69 | 19 | \# | 231 | 27 | 73 | 26 | 2 |
| Maine | 213 | 53 | 47 | 12 | 2 | 245 | 12 | 88 | 46 | 7 |
| Maryland | 231 | 24 | 76 | 24 | 2 | 248 | 13 | 87 | 49 | 13 |
| Massachusetts | 228 | 28 | 72 | 20 | 2 | 255 | 6 | 94 | 61 | 14 |
| Michigan | 217 | 47 | 53 | 12 | 1 | 237 | 21 | 79 | 36 | 5 |
| Minnesota | 226 | 33 | 67 | 25 | 2 | 252 | 10 | 90 | 56 | 13 |
| Mississippi | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 230 | 28 | 72 | 25 | 2 |
| Missouri | 217 | 42 | 58 | 14 | \# | 241 | 16 | 84 | 42 | 6 |
| Montana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 245 | 12 | 88 | 46 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 216 | 44 | 56 | 7 | \# | 242 | 15 | 85 | 42 | 6 |
| Nevada | 224 | 34 | 66 | 18 | 1 | 242 | 16 | 84 | 42 | 6 |
| New Hampshire | 228 | 32 | 68 | 19 | 3 | 252 | 7 | 93 | 58 | 11 |
| New Jersey | 216 | 45 | 55 | 8 | \# | 249 | 10 | 90 | 52 | 10 |
| New Mexico | 209 | 56 | 44 | 5 | \# | 237 | 19 | 81 | 34 | 5 |
| New York | 211 | 53 | 47 | 8 | \# | 240 | 17 | 83 | 39 | 6 |
| North Carolina | 229 | 21 | 79 | 16 | \# | 246 | 11 | 89 | 46 | 7 |
| North Dakota | 212 | 49 | 51 |  | \# | 246 | 9 | 91 | 48 | 6 |
| Ohio | 230 | 28 | 72 | 26 | 1 | 245 | 13 | 87 | 46 | 7 |
| Oklahoma | 216 | 45 | 55 | 8 | \# | 239 | 16 | 84 | 35 | 3 |
| Oregon | 209 | 57 | 43 | 5 | \# | 241 | 17 | 83 | 42 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 214 | 50 | 50 | 11 | 1 | 247 | 12 | 88 | 49 | 9 |
| Rhode Island | 207 | 57 | 43 | 8 | \# | 244 | 13 | 87 | 45 | 8 |
| South Carolina | 234 | 21 | 79 | 29 | 3 | 237 | 21 | 79 | 36 | 6 |
| South Dakota | 208 | 56 | 44 | 6 | \# | 242 | 12 | 88 | 42 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 216 | 46 | 54 | 10 | \# | 233 | 24 | 76 | 30 | 4 |
| Texas | 228 | 27 | 73 | 20 | 1 | 245 | 11 | 89 | 44 | 6 |
| Utah | 206 | 63 | 37 | 5 | \# | 245 | 12 | 88 | 46 | 8 |
| Vermont | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 247 | 11 | 89 | 50 | 8 |
| Virginia | 228 | 26 | 74 | 19 | 2 | 247 | 12 | 88 | 48 | 9 |
| Washington | 211 | 56 | 44 | 9 | 1 | 247 | 12 | 88 | 49 | 10 |
| West Virginia | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 235 | 22 | 78 | 31 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 223 | 35 | 65 | 17 | 1 | 247 | 12 | 88 | 49 | 9 |
| Wyoming | 219 | 41 | 59 | 13 | \# | 245 | 11 | 89 | 45 | 6 |
| Other jurisdictions District of Columbia DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 211 | 50 | 50 | 12 | \# | 223 | 39 | 61 | 22 | 4 |
|  | 223 | 38 | 62 | 17 | 1 | 242 | 13 | 87 | 40 | 4 |

\# Rounds to zero.
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: The results for English language learners are based on students who were assessed and cannot be generalized to the total population of such students. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-21. Percentage distribution of eighth-grade public school students assessed in NAEP mathematics, by race/ethnicity, eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch, and state/jurisdiction: 1990, 2003, and 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eligibility for free/ reduced-price school lunch |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | Asian/ Pacific Islander |  | American Indian/ Alaska Native |  | Eligible |  | Not eligible |  |
|  | $1990{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | $1990{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | $1990{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | $1990{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | $1990{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | 2003 | 2011 | 2003 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 73* | 54 | 16 | 16 | 7* | 23 | 2* | 6 | 1 | 1 | 36* | 48 | 58* | 52 |
| Alabama | 67* | 59 | 32 | 33 | \#* | 5 | $1^{*}$ | 1 | \# | 1 | 47* | 53 | 53* | 47 |
| Alaska | - | 52 | - | 4 | - | 6 | - | 10 | - | 22 | 24* | 41 | 67* | 58 |
| Arizona | 62* | 45 | 3* | 6 | 26* | 41 | 2* | 3 | 7 | 5 | 41* | 51 | 47 | 46 |
| Arkansas | 75* | 66 | 24 | 21 | $1^{*}$ | 9 | 1* | 2 | \#* | 1 | 46* | 56 | 49 | 43 |
| California | 49* | 26 | 7 | 7 | 30* | 52 | 12 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 41* | 54 | 46 | 45 |
| Colorado | 77* | 59 | 5 | 5 | 15* | 28 | 2* | 4 | 1 | 1 | 26* | 37 | 72* | 63 |
| Connecticut | 79* | 66 | 11 | 13 | 8* | 17 | 2* | 4 | \#* | \# | 26* | 33 | 71 | 67 |
| Delaware | 70* | 52 | 26* | 33 | $2^{*}$ | 10 | $1^{*}$ | 3 | \#* | \# | 33* | 43 | 58 | 57 |
| Florida | 64* | 45 | 22 | 22 | $12^{*}$ | 27 | 2 | 3 | \# | \# | 43* | 55 | 52* | 45 |
| Georgia | 62* | 46 | 36 | 39 | $1^{*}$ | 9 | 1* | 4 | \# | \# | 43* | 56 | 52* | 44 |
| Hawaii | 20* | 13 | 2 | 3 | 2* | 4 | 67* | 72 | \# | 1 | 43* | 46 | 56* | 53 |
| Idaho | 93* | 79 | \# | 1 | 4* | 16 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 35* | 46 | 56 | 53 |
| Illinois | 70* | 51 | 19 | 18 | 8* | 24 | 2* | 5 | \# | \# | 37* | 48 | 60* | 52 |
| Indiana | 87* | 73 | 9* | 14 | 2* | 8 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 29* | 44 | 67* | 56 |
| lowa | 95* | 82 | 2* | 5 | $1^{*}$ | 8 | $1^{*}$ | 3 | \# | \# | 25* | 37 | $72 *$ | 62 |
| Kansas | - | 70 | - | 7 | - | 14 | - | 3 | - | 2 | $32 *$ | 44 | 66* | 56 |
| Kentucky | 90* | 84 | 9 | 10 | \#* | 3 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 42* | 52 | 55* | 48 |
| Louisiana | 57 | 54 | 40 | 40 | $1^{*}$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | \# | 1 | 50* | 62 | 38 | 38 |
| Maine | - | 93 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 28* | 41 | 70* | 59 |
| Maryland | 62* | 45 | 31 | 34 | 2* | 11 | 4* | 7 | \# | \# | 26* | 33 | 67 | 67 |
| Massachusetts | - | 73 | - | 8 | - | 13 | - | 4 | - | \# | 23* | 33 | 65 | 67 |
| Michigan | 82* | 74 | 14 | 16 | 2* | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 26* | 42 | 66* | 58 |
| Minnesota | 93* | 78 | 2* | 8 | \#* | 6 | 3* | 6 | 2 | 2 | 22* | 32 | 77* | 68 |
| Mississippi | - | 48 | - | 49 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | \# | 57* | 67 | 39* | 32 |
| Missouri | - | 78 | - | 16 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | \# | 31* | 43 | 66* | 56 |
| Montana | 91* | 84 | \#* | 1 | $1^{*}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 30* | 38 | 65 | 62 |
| Nebraska | 92* | 74 | 5* | 6 | 2* | 15 | $1^{*}$ | 2 | \#* | 1 | 28* | 39 | 68* | 61 |
| Nevada | - | 39 | - | 9 | - | 38 | - | 8 | - | 1 | 32* | 47 | 64* | 53 |
| New Hampshire | 98* | 91 | \#* | 2 | 1* | 3 | $1 *$ | 3 | \# | \# | 13* | 23 | 79* | 74 |
| New Jersey | 69* | 56 | 17 | 16 | 9* | 20 | 4* | 8 | \# | \# | 24* | 30 | 68 | 70 |
| New Mexico | 42* | 28 | 2 | 2 | 42* | 61 | 2* | 1 | 11* | 8 | 51* | 64 | 40 | 36 |
| New York | 61* | 51 | 19 | 19 | 13* | 22 | 4* | 8 | 1 | \# | 44* | 51 | 51 | 49 |
| North Carolina | 63* | 55 | 32* | 26 | $1^{*}$ | 11 | $1 *$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 37* | 50 | 51 | 50 |
| North Dakota | 93* | 85 | \#* | 3 | $1^{*}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 27* | 31 | 73* | 69 |
| Ohio | 84* | 74 | 12* | 17 | $1^{*}$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | \# | \# | 23* | 43 | 65* | 57 |
| Oklahoma | 77* | 55 | 11 | 11 | 2* | 11 | 1 | 2 | 9* | 19 | 44* | 52 | 54* | 48 |
| Oregon | 91* | 66 | 2 | 3 | 3* | 20 | 3* | 5 | 2 | 2 | 26* | 50 | 68* | 49 |
| Pennsylvania | 82* | 70 | 14 | 19 | $2^{*}$ | 7 | $1^{*}$ | 3 | \# | \# | 28* | 40 | 69* | 59 |
| Rhode Island | 86* | 68 | 5* | 7 | 5* | 19 | 2* | 3 | \#* | 1 | 29* | 41 | 63* | 58 |
| South Carolina | - | 56 | - | 35 | - | 5 | - | 2 | - | \# | 45* | 52 | 53 | 48 |
| South Dakota | - | 82 | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 11 | 32* | 35 | 68* | 65 |
| Tennessee | - | 71 | - | 22 | - | 5 | - | 2 | - | \# | 37* | 53 | 60* | 47 |
| Texas | 50* | 32 | 14 | 13 | 33* | 51 | 2 | 4 | \# | \# | 45* | 59 | 53* | 41 |
| Utah | - | 78 | - | 1 | - | 15 | - | 4 | - | 1 | 27* | 35 | 70* | 65 |
| Vermont | - | 93 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | , | 25* | 34 | 75* | 66 |
| Virginia | 70* | 56 | 25 | 22 | 2* | 11 | 3* | 6 | \# |  | 25* | 32 | 71 | 68 |
| Washington | - | 62 | - | 5 | - | 17 | - | 9 | - | 2 | 27* | 40 | 59 | 60 |
| West Virginia | 96* | 92 | 3* | 6 | \#* | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |  | 47 | 46 | 53 | 54 |
| Wisconsin | 88* | 77 | 9 | 9 | 1* | 8 | 2* | 4 | 1 | 1 | 22* | 34 | 68 | 64 |
| Wyoming | 86* | 82 | 1 | 1 | 6* | 12 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 27* | 35 | 72* | 65 |
| Other jurisdictions District of Columbia DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | 3* | 5 46 | 93* | 82 16 | $3^{*}$ | 11 17 | $1^{*}$ | 1 | \# | \# | 57* | 71 $\#$ | 31 $\ddagger$ | 29 $\#$ |

[^27]Table A-22. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students at or above Basic in NAEP mathematics, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1990-2011

| State/jurisdiction | Accommodations not permitted |  |  |  | Accommodations permitted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1992 | 1996 | 2000 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 51* | 56* | 61* | 65* | 62* | 67* | 68* | 70* | 71* | 72 |
| Alabama | 40* | 39* | 45* | 52* | 53* | 53* | 53* | 55 | 58 | 60 |
| Alaska | - | - | 68* | - | - | 70* | 69* | 73 | 75 | 74 |
| Arizona | 48* | 55* | 57* | 62* | 60* | $61 *$ | 64* | 66 | 67 | 68 |
| Arkansas | 44* | 44* | 52* | 52* | 49* | 58* | 64* | 65* | 67 | 70 |
| California | 45* | 50* | 51* | 52* | 50* | 56* | 57* | 59 | 59 | 61 |
| Colorado | 57* | 64* | 67* | - | - | 74* | 70* | 75* | 76* | 80 |
| Connecticut | 60* | 64* | 70* | 72 | 70* | 73 | 70* | 73 | 78 | 75 |
| Delaware | 48* | 52* | 55* | - | - | 68* | 72 | 74 | 75 | 74 |
| Florida | 43* | 49* | 54* | - | - | 62* | 65 | 68 | 70 | 68 |
| Georgia | 47* | 48* | 51* | 55* | 54* | 59* | 62* | 64* | 67 | 68 |
| Hawaii | 40* | 46* | 51* | 52* | 51* | 56* | 56* | 59* | 65* | 68 |
| Idaho | 63* | 68* | - | 71* | 70* | 73* | 73* | 75 | 78 | 77 |
| Illinois | 50* | - | - | 68* | 67* | 66* | 68* | 70 | 73 | 73 |
| Indiana | 56* | 60* | 68* | 76 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 76 | 78 | 77 |
| lowa | 70* | 76 | 78 | - | - | 76 | 75 | 77 | 76 | 77 |
| Kansas | - | - | - | 77 | 76 | 76* | 77* | 81 | 79 | 80 |
| Kentucky | 43* | 51* | 56* | 63* | 60* | 65* | $64 *$ | 69 | 70 | 72 |
| Louisiana | 32* | 37* | 38* | 48* | 47* | 57* | 59 | 64 | 62 | 63 |
| Maine | - | 72* | 77 | 76 | 73* | 75* | 74* | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Maryland | 50* | 54* | 57* | 65* | 62* | 67* | 66* | 74 | 75 | 74 |
| Massachusetts | - | 63* | 68* | 76* | 70* | 76* | 80* | 85 | 85 | 86 |
| Michigan | 53* | 58* | 67 | 70 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 66 | 68 | 71 |
| Minnesota | 67* | 74* | 75* | 80 | 80 | 82 | 79* | 81 | 83 | 83 |
| Mississippi | - | 33* | 36* | 41* | 42* | 47* | 52* | 54 | 54 | 58 |
| Missouri | - | 62* | 64* | 67* | 64* | 71 | 68 | 72 | 77* | 73 |
| Montana | 74* | - | 75* | 80 | 79* | 79* | 80* | 79* | 82 | 83 |
| Nebraska | 68* | 70* | 76 | 74 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 74 | 75 | 74 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | 58* | 55* | 59* | 60* | 60* | 63* | 67 |
| New Hampshire | 65* | 71* | - | - | - | 79 | 77* | 78* | 82 | 82 |
| New Jersey | 58* | 62* | - | - | - | 72* | 74* | 77* | 80 | 82 |
| New Mexico | 43* | 48* | 51* | 50* | 48* | 52* | 53* | 57* | 59* | 64 |
| New York | 50* | 57* | $61 *$ | 68 | 63* | 70 | 70 | 70 | 73 | 70 |
| North Carolina | 38* | 47* | 56* | 70* | 67* | 72 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 |
| North Dakota | 75* | 78* | 77* | 77* | 76* | 81* | 81* | 86 | 86 | 85 |
| Ohio | 53* | 59* | - | 75 | 73* | 74* | 74* | 76 | 76 | 79 |
| Oklahoma | 52* | 59* | - | 64* | 62* | 65* | 63* | 66* | 68* | 72 |
| Oregon | 62* | - | 67* | 71 | 71 | 70 | 72 | 73 | 75 | 72 |
| Pennsylvania | 56* | 62* | - | - | - | 69* | 72 | 77 | 78* | 74 |
| Rhode Island | 49* | 56* | 60* | 64* | 59* | 63* | 63* | 65* | 68* | 73 |
| South Carolina | - | 48* | 48* | 55* | 53* | 68 | 71 | 71 | 69 | 70 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 78* | 80 | 81 | 83 | 82 |
| Tennessee | - | 47* | 53* | 53* | 52* | 59* | 61 | 64 | 65 | 64 |
| Texas | 45* | 53* | 59* | 68* | 67* | 69* | 72* | 78* | 78* | 81 |
| Utah | - | 67* | 70 | 68* | 66* | 72 | 71 | 72 | 75 | 73 |
| Vermont | - | - | 72* | 75* | 73* | 77* | 78* | 81 | 81 | 82 |
| Virginia | 52* | 57* | 58* | 67* | 65* | 72* | 75 | 77 | 76 | 78 |
| Washington | - | - | 67* | - | - | 72* | 75 | 75 | 78 | 77 |
| West Virginia | 42* | 47* | 54* | 62 | 58* | 63 | 60* | $61 *$ | 61* | 65 |
| Wisconsin | 66* | 71* | 75 | - | - | 75* | 76 | 76 | 79 | 79 |
| Wyoming | 64* | 67* | 68* | 70* | 69* | 77* | 76* | 80 | 78 | 80 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 17* | 22* | 20* | 23* | 23* | 29* | 31* | 34* | 40* | 48 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | 64* | 70* | 68* | 79 | 76* | 78 | 79 | 80 |

- Not available. The state/jurisdiction did not participate or did not meet the minimum participation guidelines for reporting.
* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011 when only one state/jurisdiction or the nation is being examined.
'Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990 -2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-23. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students at or above Proficient in NAEP mathematics, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1990-2011

| State/jurisdiction | Accommodations not permitted |  |  |  | Accommodations permitted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1992 | 1996 | 2000 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 15* | 20* | 23* | 26* | 25* | 27* | 28* | 31* | 33* | 34 |
| Alabama | 9* | 10* | 12* | 16 | 16 | 16* | 15* | 18 | 20 | 20 |
| Alaska | - | - | 30* | - | - | 30* | 29* | 32 | 33 | 35 |
| Arizona | 13* | 15* | 18* | 21* | 20* | 21* | 26* | $26^{*}$ | 29 | 31 |
| Arkansas | 9* | $10^{*}$ | 13* | 14* | 13* | 19* | 22* | $24 *$ | 27 | 29 |
| California | 12* | 16* | 17* | 18* | 17* | 22* | $22^{*}$ | 24 | 23 | 25 |
| Colorado | 17* | 22* | 25* | - | - | 34* | $32^{*}$ | 37* | 40 | 43 |
| Connecticut | 22* | 26* | 31 * | 34 | 33* | 35 | 35 | 35 | 40 | 38 |
| Delaware | $14 *$ | 15* | 19* | - | - | 26* | 30 | 31 | 32 | 32 |
| Florida | 12* | 15* | 17* | - | - | 23* | 26 | 27 | 29 | 28 |
| Georgia | $14 *$ | 13* | 16* | 19* | 19* | 22* | 23* | 25 | 27 | 28 |
| Hawaii | 12* | 14* | 16 * | 16* | 16* | 17* | 18* | 21* | 25* | 30 |
| Idaho | 18* | 22* | - | 27* | 26* | 28* | 30* | 34 | 38 | 37 |
| Illinois | 15* | - | - | 27* | 26* | 29 | 29* | 31 | 33 | 33 |
| Indiana | 17* | $20^{*}$ | $24 *$ | 31 | 29* | 31* | 30* | 35 | 36 | 34 |
| lowa | 25* | 31 | 31 | - | - | 33 | 34 | 35 | 34 | 34 |
| Kansas | - | - | - | 34* | 34* | 34* | 34* | 40 | 39 | 41 |
| Kentucky | 10* | $14 *$ | 16* | 21* | 20* | 24* | 23* | 27* | 27 | 31 |
| Louisiana | 5* | 7* | 7* | 12* | 11* | 17* | 16* | 19 | 20 | 22 |
| Maine | - | 25* | 31* | 32* | 30* | 29* | 30* | $34 *$ | 35* | 39 |
| Maryland | 17* | 20* | 24* | 29* | 27* | 30* | 30* | 37 | 40 | 40 |
| Massachusetts | - | 23* | 28* | 32* | 30* | 38* | 43* | 51 | 52 | 51 |
| Michigan | 16 * | 19* | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 31 |
| Minnesota | 23* | 31* | $34 *$ | 40* | 39* | 44* | 43* | 43* | 47 | 48 |
| Mississippi | - | 6* | 7* | 8* | 9* | 12* | 14* | 14* | 15* | 19 |
| Missouri | - | 20* | 22* | 22* | 21* | 28* | 26* | 30 | 35* | 32 |
| Montana | 27* | - | 32* | 37* | 36* | 35* | 36* | 38* | 44 | 46 |
| Nebraska | 24* | 26* | 31 | 31 | 30 | 32 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 33 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | 20* | 18* | 20* | 21* | 23* | 25* | 29 |
| New Hampshire | 20* | 25* | - | - | - | 35* | 35* | 38* | 43 | 44 |
| New Jersey | 21* | 24* | - | - | - | 33* | 36* | 40* | 44 | 47 |
| New Mexico | 10* | 11* | 14* | 13* | 12* | 15* | 14* | 17* | 20* | 24 |
| New York | 15* | 20* | 22* | 26 | 24* | 32 | 31 | 30 | $34 *$ | 30 |
| North Carolina | 9* | 12* | 20* | 30* | 27* | 32* | 32* | 34 | 36 | 37 |
| North Dakota | 27* | 29* | 33* | 31* | 30* | $36 *$ | 35* | 41 | 43 | 43 |
| Ohio | 15* | 18* | - | 31* | 30* | 30* | 33* | 35 | 36 | 39 |
| Oklahoma | 13* | 17* | - | 19* | 18* | 20* | 21* | 21* | 24 | 27 |
| Oregon | 21* | - | 26* | 32 | 31 | 32 | 34 | 35 | 37* | 33 |
| Pennsylvania | 17* | 21* | - | - | - | 30* | 31* | 38 | 40 | 39 |
| Rhode Island | 15* | 16* | 20* | 24* | 22* | 24* | 24* | 28* | 28* | 34 |
| South Carolina | - | 15* | 14* | 18* | 17* | 26* | 30 | 32 | 30 | 32 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 35* | 36* | 39 | 42 | 42 |
| Tennessee | - | 12* | 15* | 17* | 16* | 21 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 24 |
| Texas | 13* | 18* | 21* | $24 *$ | 24* | 25* | 31* | 35* | 36 | 40 |
| Utah | - | 22* | $24 *$ | 26* | 25* | 31* | 30* | 32 | 35 | 35 |
| Vermont | - | - | 27* | 32* | 31* | 35* | 38* | 41* | 43 | 46 |
| Virginia | 17* | 19* | 21* | 26* | 25* | 31* | 33* | 37 | 36* | 40 |
| Washington | - | - | $26^{*}$ | - | - | 32* | 36* | 36* | 39 | 40 |
| West Virginia | 9* | 10* | $14 *$ | 18* | 17* | 20 | 18* | 19* | 19 | 21 |
| Wisconsin | 23* | 27* | 32* | - | - | 35* | 36* | 37* | 39 | 41 |
| Wyoming | 19* | 21* | 22* | 25* | 23* | 32* | 29* | 36 | 35 | 37 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 3* | 4* | 5* | 6* | 6* | 6* | 7* | 8* | 11* | 17 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | 22* | 27* | 26* | 33* | 33* | 33* | 36 | 37 |

- Not available. The state/jurisdiction did not participate or did not meet the minimum participation guidelines for reporting.
* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011 when only one state/jurisdiction or the nation is being examined.
'Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990 -2011 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-24. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students, by race/ethnicity and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | White |  |  |  |  | Black |  |  |  |  | Hispanic |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } \\ & \text { Basic } \end{aligned}$ | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |  | Below Basic | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | At Advanced |  | Below Basic | At or Basic |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |
| Nation (public) | 293 | 17 | 83 | 43 | 10 | 262 | 50 | 50 | 13 | 1 | 269 | 40 | 60 | 20 | 3 |
| Alabama | 280 | 26 | 74 | 28 | 4 | 250 | 64 | 36 | 7 | \# | 255 | 60 | 40 | 9 | 1 |
| Alaska | 296 | 12 | 88 | 47 | 10 | 273 | 34 | 66 | 17 | 1 | 277 | 33 | 67 | 25 | 5 |
| Arizona | 294 | 17 | 83 | 46 | 12 | 269 | 39 | 61 | 18 | 1 | 266 | 45 | 55 | 18 | 2 |
| Arkansas | 287 | 21 | 79 | 37 | 6 | 257 | 56 | 44 | 9 | 1 | 272 | 36 | 64 | 20 | 2 |
| California | 290 | 20 | 80 | 41 | 11 | 254 | 58 | 42 | 12 | 1 | 260 | 51 | 49 | 13 | 1 |
| Colorado | 302 | 10 | 90 | 55 | 16 | 270 | 39 | 61 | 17 | 2 | 271 | 38 | 62 | 20 | 3 |
| Connecticut | 297 | 14 | 86 | 48 | 13 | 262 | 50 | 50 | 11 | 2 | 262 | 51 | 49 | 13 | 1 |
| Delaware | 294 | 15 | 85 | 43 | 10 | 266 | 44 | 56 | 14 | 1 | 274 | 32 | 68 | 21 | 2 |
| Florida | 287 | 21 | 79 | 37 | 8 | 258 | 54 | 46 | 11 | 1 | 274 | 35 | 65 | 22 | 3 |
| Georgia | 291 | 18 | 82 | 40 | 9 | 262 | 49 | 51 | 12 | 1 | 277 | 31 | 69 | 25 | 5 |
| Hawaii | 290 | 18 | 82 | 41 | 7 | 277 | 28 | 72 | 26 | 5 | 263 | 52 | 48 | 19 | 2 |
| Idaho | 291 | 18 | 82 | 41 | 10 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 267 | 42 | 58 | 16 | 3 |
| Illinois | 294 | 16 | 84 | 44 | 11 | 260 | 52 | 48 | 10 | 1 | 272 | 36 | 64 | 19 | 3 |
| Indiana | 290 | 18 | 82 | 40 | 8 | 264 | 46 | 54 | 11 | 1 | 275 | 32 | 68 | 21 | 3 |
| lowa | 288 | 20 | 80 | 37 | 9 | 258 | 52 | 48 | 11 | 1 | 269 | 38 | 62 | 14 | 1 |
| Kansas | 295 | 14 | 86 | 47 | 10 | 269 | 41 | 59 | 16 | 2 | 274 | 35 | 65 | 22 | 2 |
| Kentucky | 284 | 25 | 75 | 33 | 7 | 261 | 53 | 47 | 12 | 1 | 269 | 39 | 61 | 18 | 1 |
| Louisiana | 283 | 25 | 75 | 31 | 4 | 259 | 54 | 46 | 10 | 1 | 269 | 39 | 61 | 16 | 1 |
| Maine | 290 | 21 | 79 | 40 | 11 | 265 | 42 | 58 | 18 | 3 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | 303 | 11 | 89 | 56 | 18 | 267 | 45 | 55 | 18 | 3 | 273 | 39 | 61 | 27 | 4 |
| Massachusetts | 304 | 9 | 91 | 58 | 17 | 275 | 35 | 65 | 26 | 4 | 273 | 36 | 64 | 21 | 3 |
| Michigan | 286 | 22 | 78 | 35 | 6 | 250 | 66 | 34 | 7 | \# | 274 | 36 | 64 | 23 | 5 |
| Minnesota | 302 | 11 | 89 | 55 | 16 | 266 | 45 | 55 | 18 | 1 | 270 | 41 | 59 | 18 | 3 |
| Mississippi | 283 | 24 | 76 | 30 | 5 | 255 | 60 | 40 | 8 | \# | 273 | 30 | 70 | 20 | 2 |
| Missouri | 288 | 21 | 79 | 36 | 8 | 254 | 60 | 40 | 8 | \# | 267 | 42 | 58 | 16 | \# |
| Montana | 297 | 13 | 87 | 49 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 285 | 23 | 77 | 31 | 7 |
| Nebraska | 290 | 18 | 82 | 39 | 8 | 255 | 58 | 42 | 8 | 1 | 261 | 52 | 48 | 11 | 1 |
| Nevada | 292 | 17 | 83 | 43 | 10 | 259 | 55 | 45 | 12 | 1 | 266 | 45 | 55 | 15 | 2 |
| New Hampshire | 293 | 17 | 83 | 45 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 266 | 45 | 55 | 15 | 2 |
| New Jersey | 304 | 9 | 91 | 59 | 17 | 272 | 37 | 63 | 21 | 3 | 274 | 33 | 67 | 24 | 3 |
| New Mexico | 290 | 19 | 81 | 40 | 8 | 265 | 49 | 51 | 16 | 2 | 269 | 41 | 59 | 18 | 2 |
| New York | 291 | 18 | 82 | 40 | 9 | 264 | 47 | 53 | 13 | 1 | 263 | 49 | 51 | 13 | 1 |
| North Carolina | 296 | 15 | 85 | 48 | 13 | 267 | 43 | 57 | 15 | 2 | 275 | 34 | 66 | 23 | 4 |
| North Dakota | 296 | 11 | 89 | 47 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |  | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Ohio | 295 | 14 | 86 | 46 | 10 | 263 | 50 | 50 | 12 | 1 | 273 | 39 | 61 | 26 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 286 | 19 | 81 | 34 | 5 | 262 | 48 | 52 | 11 | 1 | 264 | 44 | 56 | 14 | 1 |
| Oregon | 287 | 22 | 78 | 37 | 9 | 263 | 51 | 49 | 18 | 1 | 268 | 42 | 58 | 17 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania | 294 | 17 | 83 | 47 | 11 | 257 | 56 | 44 | 9 | 1 | 269 | 42 | 58 | 22 | 3 |
| Rhode Island | 292 | 18 | 82 | 42 | 10 | 256 | 52 | 48 | 12 | 1 | 261 | 49 | 51 | 13 | 2 |
| South Carolina | 293 | 17 | 83 | 43 | 10 | 263 | 50 | 50 | 14 | 2 | 273 | 37 | 63 | 25 | 4 |
| South Dakota | 295 | 13 | 87 | 47 | 10 | 270 | 40 | 60 | 21 | 1 | 274 | 34 | 66 | 20 | 3 |
| Tennessee | 281 | 27 | 73 | 28 | 6 | 252 | 62 | 38 | 9 | 1 | 266 | 44 | 56 | 15 | 1 |
| Texas | 304 | 8 | 92 | 58 | 15 | 277 | 29 | 71 | 21 | 4 | 283 | 24 | 76 | 31 | 4 |
| Utah | 289 | 20 | 80 | 41 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 257 | 57 | 43 | 9 | 1 |
| Vermont | 295 | 18 | 82 | 47 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 297 | 15 | 85 | 48 | 14 | 268 | 42 | 58 | 18 | 1 | 279 | 31 | 69 | 27 | 5 |
| Washington | 294 | 17 | 83 | 46 | 12 | 265 | 44 | 56 | 15 | 2 | 269 | 42 | 58 | 22 | 3 |
| West Virginia | 274 | 34 | 66 | 22 | 3 | 260 | 51 | 49 | 10 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 295 | 15 | 85 | 47 | 11 | 256 | 57 | 43 | 11 | 1 | 270 | 40 | 60 | 21 | 3 |
| Wyoming | 291 | 16 | 84 | 41 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 271 | 37 | 63 | 20 | 2 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 319 | 3 | 97 | 76 | 32 | 256 | 56 | 44 | 13 | 2 | 261 | 50 | 50 | 17 | 2 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 295 | 13 | 87 | 46 | 10 | 274 | 32 | 68 | 17 | 2 | 282 | 26 | 74 | 29 | 4 |

See notes at end of table.

Table A-24. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students, by race/ethnicity and state/jurisdiction: 2011-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | Asian/Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  | American Indian/Alaska Native |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below Basic | At or <br> Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |  | Below Basic | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |
| Nation (public) | 302 | 15 | 85 | 55 | 22 | 266 | 45 | 55 | 17 | 4 |
| Alabama | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 282 | 29 | 71 | 32 | 8 | 258 | 52 | 48 | 15 | 3 |
| Arizona | 302 | 11 | 89 | 58 | 17 | 253 | 60 | 40 | 12 | 3 |
| Arkansas | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| California | 298 | 17 | 83 | 50 | 19 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Colorado | 313 | 8 | 92 | 67 | 30 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Connecticut | 307 | 8 | 92 | 60 | 20 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Delaware | 311 | 7 | 93 | 67 | 24 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Florida | 312 | 8 | 92 | 65 | 25 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Georgia | 302 | 12 | 88 | 52 | 24 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Hawaii | 277 | 33 | 67 | 29 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Idaho | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Illinois | 314 | 8 | 92 | 67 | 31 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Indiana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| lowa | 291 | 23 | 77 | 45 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kansas | 300 | 15 | 85 | 53 | 22 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kentucky | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Louisiana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | 311 | 9 | 91 | 65 | 27 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Massachusetts | 320 | 6 | 94 | 72 | 39 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Michigan | 310 | 13 | 87 | 63 | 31 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Minnesota | 282 | 27 | 73 | 35 | 7 | 263 | 49 | 51 | 11 | 4 |
| Mississippi | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | , | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 264 | 47 | 53 | 19 | 5 |
| Nebraska | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nevada | 287 | 27 | 73 | 41 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Hampshire | 303 | 16 | 84 | 60 | 24 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |  | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 318 | 6 | 94 | 73 | 36 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Mexico | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 258 | 56 | 44 | 7 | 1 |
| New York | 302 | 14 | 86 | 55 | 21 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |  | $\ddagger$ |
| North Carolina | 314 | 12 | 88 | 71 | 38 | 265 | 46 | 54 | 22 | 5 |
| North Dakota | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 264 | 46 | 54 | 15 | 2 |
| Ohio | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Oklahoma | 304 | 13 | 87 | 60 | 19 | 273 | 36 | 64 | 21 | 3 |
| Oregon | 297 | 18 | 82 | 49 | 18 | 260 | 55 | 45 | 16 | 3 |
| Pennsylvania | 310 | 14 | 86 | 62 | 33 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | , | $\ddagger$ |
| Rhode Island | 287 | 23 | 77 | 41 | 7 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Carolina | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Dakota | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 263 | 48 | 52 | 14 | 2 |
| Tennessee | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 316 | 3 | 97 | 69 | 30 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Utah | 284 | 24 | 76 | 35 | 7 | 244 | 73 | 27 | , | 2 |
| Vermont | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 313 | 7 | 93 | 65 | 32 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ |
| Washington | 302 | 16 | 84 | 55 | 25 | 256 | 51 | 49 | 12 | 2 |
| West Virginia | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 290 | 24 | 76 | 43 | 16 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + |
| Wyoming | $+$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Other jurisdictions District of Columbia DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
|  | 290 | 17 | 83 | 40 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |

\# Rounds to zero.
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Results are not shown for students of two or more races. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-25. Percentage of students, average scores, and achievement-level results in eighth-grade NAEP mathematics, by selected racial/ethnic groups and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Asian |  |  |  |  | Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  | Two or more races |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of students | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  | Percentage of students | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  | Percentage of students | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |
|  |  |  | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |  |  | At or <br> above <br> Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | At <br> Advanced |  |  | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | At <br> Advanced |
| Nation | 5 | 305 | 88 | 58 | 24 | \# | 269 | 59 | 22 | 4 | 2 | 288 | 78 | 39 | 11 |
| Nation (public) | 5 | 305 | 88 | 58 | 24 | \# | 265 | 55 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 286 | 76 | 37 | 10 |
| Alabama | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | + | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 8 | 287 | 77 | 38 | 10 | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 7 | 281 | 72 | 32 | 7 |
| Arizona | 3 | 303 | 90 | 59 | 15 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Arkansas | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| California | 14 | 301 | 86 | 53 | 20 | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Colorado | 4 | 313 | 92 | 68 | 30 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 304 | 89 | 57 | 23 |
| Connecticut | 4 | 307 | 92 | 60 | 20 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Delaware | 3 | 311 | 93 | 67 | 24 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Florida | 3 | 314 | 94 | 66 | 26 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 283 | 76 | 32 | 5 |
| Georgia | 3 | 303 | 89 | 53 | 24 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 275 | 60 | 23 | 7 |
| Hawaii | 39 | 288 | 78 | 40 | 10 | 33 | 263 | 53 | 16 | 1 | 7 | 276 | 65 | 30 | 7 |
| Idaho | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Illinois | 4 | 315 | 93 | 68 | 32 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 281 | 70 | 33 | 7 |
| Indiana | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 282 | 74 | 29 | 5 |
| lowa | 2 | 293 | 79 | 46 | 12 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kansas | 3 | 302 | 87 | 56 | 23 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 280 | 68 | 31 | 10 |
| Kentucky | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Louisiana | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | 6 | 313 | 92 | 67 | 28 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 296 | 80 | 47 | 16 |
| Massachusetts | 4 | 321 | 94 | 73 | 39 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Michigan | 3 | 311 | 87 | 63 | 32 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Minnesota | 6 | 282 | 73 | 35 | 7 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Mississippi | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\dagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nebraska | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 3 | 281 | 72 | 29 | 7 |
| Nevada | 7 | 292 | 78 | 45 | 13 | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 282 | 73 | 36 | 9 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | 305 | 84 | 62 | 25 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 8 | 318 | 94 | 74 | 36 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Mexico | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New York | 8 | 302 | 86 | 55 | 21 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| North Carolina | 3 | 316 | 90 | 72 | 38 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 292 | 81 | 45 | 12 |
| North Dakota | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Ohio | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 284 | 79 | 32 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 2 | 305 | 88 | 61 | 19 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Oregon | 4 | 302 | 86 | 53 | 21 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 282 | 70 | 36 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 3 | 312 | 87 | 63 | 34 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Rhode Island | 3 | 287 | 78 | 42 | 7 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | 286 | 82 | 30 | 6 |
| South Carolina | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Dakota | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Tennessee | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 4 | 317 | 98 | 69 | 31 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | 305 | 83 | 54 | 33 |
| Utah | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Vermont | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 2 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 6 | 313 | 93 | 66 | 32 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 290 | 81 | 38 | 9 |
| Washington | 8 | 306 | 87 | 59 | 28 | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 | 292 | 79 | 44 | 16 |
| West Virginia | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 4 | 289 | 75 | 42 | 16 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wyoming | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Other jurisdictions District of Columbia DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} \ddagger \\ 292 \end{array}$ | $\ddagger$ 86 | $\begin{array}{r} \ddagger \\ 42 \end{array}$ | $\ddagger$ 8 | \# | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 11 | $\ddagger$ 286 | $\ddagger$ 76 | $\ddagger$ 36 | $\ddagger$ |

\# Rounds to zero
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-26. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students, by gender and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below Basic | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |  | Below <br> Basic | At or above <br> Basic | At or above Proficient | At Advanced |
| Nation (public) | 283 | 28 | 72 | 34 | 9 | 282 | 28 | 72 | 33 | 7 |
| Alabama | 269 | 40 | 60 | 21 | 3 | 269 | 40 | 60 | 19 | 2 |
| Alaska | 283 | 26 | 74 | 36 | 7 | 284 | 25 | 75 | 35 | 7 |
| Arizona | 282 | 30 | 70 | 34 | 9 | 276 | 34 | 66 | 29 | 6 |
| Arkansas | 280 | 30 | 70 | 31 | 6 | 278 | 31 | 69 | 28 | 4 |
| California | 273 | 38 | 62 | 26 | 7 | 273 | 39 | 61 | 25 | 6 |
| Colorado | 291 | 21 | 79 | 44 | 12 | 292 | 19 | 81 | 43 | 13 |
| Connecticut | 288 | 24 | 76 | 39 | 11 | 286 | 26 | 74 | 37 | 9 |
| Delaware | 282 | 27 | 73 | 31 | 7 | 284 | 25 | 75 | 33 | 7 |
| Florida | 278 | 32 | 68 | 29 | 6 | 277 | 32 | 68 | 27 | 5 |
| Georgia | 279 | 33 | 67 | 29 | 7 | 278 | 30 | 70 | 27 | 5 |
| Hawaii | 277 | 33 | 67 | 30 | 6 | 279 | 31 | 69 | 30 | 6 |
| Idaho | 287 | 23 | 77 | 37 | 9 | 286 | 23 | 77 | 36 | 8 |
| Illinois | 283 | 28 | 72 | 33 | 10 | 283 | 26 | 74 | 32 | 7 |
| Indiana | 285 | 24 | 76 | 34 | 7 | 285 | 23 | 77 | 34 | 6 |
| Iowa | 286 | 23 | 77 | 34 | 8 | 284 | 24 | 76 | 33 | 7 |
| Kansas | 291 | 19 | 81 | 41 | 9 | 289 | 21 | 79 | 40 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 282 | 29 | 71 | 32 | 7 | 281 | 28 | 72 | 29 | 5 |
| Louisiana | 272 | 39 | 61 | 22 | 3 | 274 | 34 | 66 | 22 | 3 |
| Maine | 288 | 23 | 77 | 38 | 11 | 289 | 20 | 80 | 39 | 10 |
| Maryland | 289 | 25 | 75 | 42 | 13 | 287 | 26 | 74 | 38 | 10 |
| Massachusetts | 299 | 15 | 85 | 52 | 17 | 298 | 14 | 86 | 51 | 14 |
| Michigan | 282 | 28 | 72 | 33 | 7 | 279 | 30 | 70 | 29 | 5 |
| Minnesota | 295 | 17 | 83 | 47 | 14 | 295 | 16 | 84 | 48 | 12 |
| Mississippi | 267 | 45 | 55 | 18 | 3 | 272 | 39 | 61 | 20 | 3 |
| Missouri | 283 | 27 | 73 | 33 | 7 | 281 | 28 | 72 | 30 | 6 |
| Montana | 293 | 18 | 82 | 46 | 12 | 293 | 16 | 84 | 45 | 10 |
| Nebraska | 284 | 25 | 75 | 35 | 8 | 282 | 26 | 74 | 31 | 5 |
| Nevada | 279 | 32 | 68 | 29 | 7 | 277 | 34 | 66 | 28 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 292 | 19 | 81 | 44 | 11 | 292 | 18 | 82 | 44 | 11 |
| New Jersey | 294 | 19 | 81 | 48 | 15 | 294 | 17 | 83 | 46 | 12 |
| New Mexico | 275 | 35 | 65 | 24 | 4 | 274 | 36 | 64 | 24 | 3 |
| New York | 280 | 30 | 70 | 30 | 7 | 281 | 30 | 70 | 30 | 7 |
| North Carolina | 285 | 26 | 74 | 37 | 10 | 287 | 23 | 77 | 37 | 9 |
| North Dakota | 293 | 15 | 85 | 45 | 9 | 291 | 16 | 84 | 40 | 7 |
| Ohio | 290 | 21 | 79 | 40 | 9 | 288 | 22 | 78 | 37 | 8 |
| Oklahoma | 280 | 28 | 72 | 29 | 5 | 278 | 29 | 71 | 26 | 3 |
| Oregon | 285 | 26 | 74 | 35 | 9 | 280 | 30 | 70 | 30 | 6 |
| Pennsylvania | 287 | 26 | 74 | 40 | 10 | 285 | 26 | 74 | 38 | 9 |
| Rhode Island | 283 | 27 | 73 | 35 | 8 | 283 | 26 | 74 | 33 | 6 |
| South Carolina | 280 | 31 | 69 | 31 | 7 | 282 | 28 | 72 | 32 | 7 |
| South Dakota | 291 | 19 | 81 | 42 | 9 | 290 | 17 | 83 | 41 | 7 |
| Tennessee | 276 | 35 | 65 | 26 | 6 | 272 | 37 | 63 | 22 | 3 |
| Texas | 291 | 19 | 81 | 41 | 10 | 290 | 18 | 82 | 39 | 7 |
| Utah | 285 | 26 | 74 | 37 | 8 | 281 | 28 | 72 | 33 | 5 |
| Vermont | 294 | 19 | 81 | 46 | 14 | 294 | 17 | 83 | 46 | 12 |
| Virginia | 289 | 23 | 77 | 40 | 12 | 289 | 22 | 78 | 40 | 10 |
| Washington | 288 | 25 | 75 | 41 | 11 | 288 | 22 | 78 | 40 | 11 |
| West Virginia | 274 | 34 | 66 | 22 | 3 | 272 | 36 | 64 | 21 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 290 | 20 | 80 | 43 | 11 | 287 | 22 | 78 | 39 | 8 |
| Wyoming | 290 | 18 | 82 | 41 | 9 | 285 | 21 | 79 | 34 | 5 |
| Other jurisdictions District of Columbia DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 259 | 54 | 46 | 17 | 4 | 262 | 50 | 50 | 17 | 3 |
|  | 289 | 20 | 80 | 37 | 8 | 287 | 20 | 80 | 36 | 6 |

[^28]Table A-27. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students, by eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | Eligible |  |  |  |  | Not eligible |  |  |  |  | Information not available |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below Basic | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |  | Below Basic | At or <br> above <br> Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |  | Below Basic | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | At Advanced |
| Nation (public) | 269 | 41 | 59 | 19 | 2 | 295 | 16 | 84 | 47 | 13 | 275 | 37 | 63 | 26 | 6 |
| Alabama | 256 | 55 | 45 | 9 | \# | 284 | 23 | 77 | 32 | 6 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 269 | 40 | 60 | 21 | 3 | 294 | 15 | 85 | 45 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Arizona | 267 | 43 | 57 | 19 | 3 | 292 | 20 | 80 | 45 | 13 | 284 | 21 | 79 | 35 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 269 | 40 | 60 | 18 | 2 | 292 | 18 | 82 | 44 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| California | 260 | 51 | 49 | 14 | 2 | 288 | 23 | 77 | 40 | 12 | 269 | 43 | 57 | 17 | 4 |
| Colorado | 273 | 37 | 63 | 23 | 4 | 303 | 10 | 90 | 56 | 17 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Connecticut | 264 | 47 | 53 | 14 | 1 | 298 | 14 | 86 | 50 | 14 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Delaware | 270 | 39 | 61 | 17 | 2 | 293 | 17 | 83 | 43 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Florida | 267 | 43 | 57 | 16 | 2 | 291 | 19 | 81 | 42 | 10 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Georgia | 267 | 42 | 58 | 16 | 2 | 293 | 17 | 83 | 43 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Hawaii | 268 | 43 | 57 | 21 | 3 | 286 | 23 | 77 | 38 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | $\ddagger$ |
| Idaho | 276 | 32 | 68 | 24 | 4 | 295 | 15 | 85 | 47 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Illinois | 269 | 39 | 61 | 17 | 2 | 296 | 16 | 84 | 47 | 14 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Indiana | 273 | 34 | 66 | 20 | 3 | 294 | 15 | 85 | 45 | 10 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| lowa | 271 | 37 | 63 | 17 | 2 | 293 | 15 | 85 | 43 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kansas | 276 | 32 | 68 | 24 | 3 | 300 | 10 | 90 | 54 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kentucky | 271 | 39 | 61 | 18 | 2 | 294 | 16 | 84 | 44 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Louisiana | 265 | 46 | 54 | 14 | 1 | 286 | 22 | 78 | 35 | 6 | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | 276 | 32 | 68 | 25 | 4 | 298 | 14 | 86 | 49 | 15 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | 266 | 45 | 55 | 17 | 2 | 299 | 16 | 84 | 52 | 17 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Massachusetts | 280 | 28 | 72 | 29 | 5 | 308 | 8 | 92 | 62 | 21 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Michigan | 266 | 45 | 55 | 16 | 2 | 291 | 18 | 82 | 41 | 9 | , | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Minnesota | 276 | 32 | 68 | 26 | 4 | 304 | 10 | 90 | 58 | 18 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Mississippi | 260 | 52 | 48 | 12 | 1 | 288 | 20 | 80 | 35 | 7 | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 269 | 41 | 59 | 18 | 2 | 292 | 17 | 83 | 42 | 10 | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | 280 | 28 | 72 | 31 | 5 | 301 | 11 | 89 | 55 | 15 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nebraska | 269 | 40 | 60 | 16 | 2 | 293 | 16 | 84 | 44 | 10 | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nevada | 267 | 44 | 56 | 18 | 2 | 288 | 23 | 77 | 38 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Hampshire | 276 | 34 | 66 | 27 | 4 | 297 | 14 | 86 | 49 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 274 | 34 | 66 | 24 | 4 | 303 | 11 | 89 | 57 | 18 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Mexico | 267 | 44 | 56 | 15 | 1 | 288 | 22 | 78 | 39 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New York | 269 | 43 | 57 | 18 | 3 | 293 | 16 | 84 | 43 | 10 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| North Carolina | 273 | 36 | 64 | 22 | 3 | 300 | 14 | 86 | 52 | 16 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| North Dakota | 278 | 29 | 71 | 27 | 4 | 298 | 9 | 91 | 50 | 10 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Ohio | 274 | 35 | 65 | 22 | 2 | 299 | 11 | 89 | 52 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Oklahoma | 270 | 37 | 63 | 16 | 2 | 289 | 18 | 82 | 39 | 7 | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Oregon | 271 | 38 | 62 | 20 | 2 | 295 | 17 | 83 | 46 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Pennsylvania | 268 | 43 | 57 | 20 | 2 | 298 | 15 | 85 | 52 | 14 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Rhode Island | 267 | 42 | 58 | 16 | 2 | 295 | 16 | 84 | 46 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Carolina | 268 | 43 | 57 | 18 | 3 | 295 | 16 | 84 | 47 | 12 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Dakota | 277 | 30 | 70 | 25 | 3 | 298 | 11 | 89 | 51 | 11 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Tennessee | 262 | 49 | 51 | 13 | 2 | 287 | 21 | 79 | 36 | 8 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 281 | 26 | 74 | 28 | 3 | 304 | 8 | 92 | 58 | 17 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Utah | 269 | 42 | 58 | 20 | 4 | 291 | 19 | 81 | 43 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Vermont | 277 | 30 | 70 | 26 | 3 | 302 | 12 | 88 | 56 | 18 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 270 | 39 | 61 | 18 | 2 | 298 | 14 | 86 | 50 | 16 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Washington | 273 | 38 | 62 | 25 | 4 | 299 | 14 | 86 | 51 | 16 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| West Virginia | 264 | 47 | 53 | 13 | 1 | 282 | 25 | 75 | 29 | 5 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 269 | 40 | 60 | 20 | 2 | 299 | 12 | 88 | 52 | 13 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wyoming | 277 | 30 | 70 | 26 | 4 | 293 | 14 | 86 | 43 | 9 | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Other jurisdictions District of Columbia DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 253 | 59 | 41 | 11 | 1 | 278 | 34 | 66 | 33 | 9 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
|  | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 288 | 20 | 80 | 37 | 7 |

\# Rounds to zero.
$\dagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-28. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students, by status as students with disabilities (SD) and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | SD |  |  |  |  | Not SD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  | Advanced | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below Basic | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } \\ & \text { Basic } \end{aligned}$ | At or above Basic | At or above Proficient | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |
| Nation (public) | 249 | 65 | 35 | 9 | 2 | 287 | 23 | 77 | 36 | 9 |
| Alabama | 225 | 88 | 12 | 1 | \# | 274 | 35 | 65 | 22 | 3 |
| Alaska | 244 | 70 | 30 | 6 | \# | 288 | 21 | 79 | 39 | 8 |
| Arizona | 235 | 76 | 24 | 5 | 1 | 284 | 27 | 73 | 34 | 8 |
| Arkansas | 238 | 78 | 22 | 3 | \# | 284 | 25 | 75 | 32 | 5 |
| California | 232 | 78 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 277 | 35 | 65 | 27 | 7 |
| Colorado | 251 | 60 | 40 | 8 | 1 | 296 | 16 | 84 | 47 | 13 |
| Connecticut | 261 | 52 | 48 | 13 | 2 | 290 | 21 | 79 | 41 | 11 |
| Delaware | 243 | 73 | 27 | 5 | 1 | 288 | 20 | 80 | 36 | 8 |
| Florida | 250 | 66 | 34 | 9 | 1 | 282 | 27 | 73 | 30 | 6 |
| Georgia | 244 | 70 | 30 | 4 | \# | 281 | 28 | 72 | 30 | 6 |
| Hawaii | 230 | 84 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 283 | 26 | 74 | 33 | 7 |
| Idaho | 243 | 72 | 28 | 6 | 2 | 290 | 19 | 81 | 39 | 9 |
| Illinois | 252 | 64 | 36 | 10 | 2 | 288 | 22 | 78 | 36 | 9 |
| Indiana | 255 | 56 | 44 | 7 | \# | 289 | 19 | 81 | 38 | 8 |
| lowa | 246 | 70 | 30 | 4 | \# | 291 | 16 | 84 | 38 | 9 |
| Kansas | 257 | 57 | 43 | 10 | 2 | 293 | 16 | 84 | 44 | 9 |
| Kentucky | 253 | 62 | 38 | 10 | 2 | 284 | 25 | 75 | 33 | 7 |
| Louisiana | 243 | 71 | 29 | 5 | \# | 277 | 32 | 68 | 25 | 3 |
| Maine | 257 | 60 | 40 | 13 | 3 | 295 | 14 | 86 | 44 | 12 |
| Maryland | 257 | 54 | 46 | 12 | 1 | 290 | 24 | 76 | 42 | 13 |
| Massachusetts | 268 | 44 | 56 | 16 | 3 | 304 | 9 | 91 | 58 | 18 |
| Michigan | 246 | 68 | 32 | 7 | 1 | 284 | 25 | 75 | 33 | 6 |
| Minnesota | 260 | 53 | 47 | 14 | 4 | 299 | 12 | 88 | 52 | 15 |
| Mississippi | 241 | 71 | 29 | 4 | 1 | 271 | 40 | 60 | 20 | 3 |
| Missouri | 249 | 63 | 37 | 10 | 1 | 286 | 23 | 77 | 34 | 7 |
| Montana | 248 | 68 | 32 | 6 | 1 | 299 | 11 | 89 | 51 | 12 |
| Nebraska | 250 | 64 | 36 | 6 | \# | 287 | 21 | 79 | 36 | 7 |
| Nevada | 242 | 73 | 27 | 6 | \# | 281 | 30 | 70 | 30 | 6 |
| New Hampshire | 262 | 52 | 48 | 14 | 2 | 298 | 12 | 88 | 49 | 13 |
| New Jersey | 261 | 52 | 48 | 18 | 4 | 299 | 12 | 88 | 51 | 15 |
| New Mexico | 245 | 72 | 28 | 6 | 1 | 278 | 31 | 69 | 26 | 4 |
| New York | 249 | 64 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 286 | 24 | 76 | 34 | 8 |
| North Carolina | 254 | 58 | 42 | 9 | 1 | 291 | 20 | 80 | 41 | 11 |
| North Dakota | 265 | 44 | 56 | 10 | 1 | 295 | 12 | 88 | 46 | 9 |
| Ohio | 258 | 55 | 45 | 11 | 1 | 292 | 17 | 83 | 42 | 9 |
| Oklahoma | 246 | 67 | 33 | 10 | 1 | 282 | 25 | 75 | 29 | 5 |
| Oregon | 247 | 71 | 29 | 7 | 2 | 287 | 22 | 78 | 36 | 8 |
| Pennsylvania | 252 | 63 | 37 | 11 | 2 | 292 | 20 | 80 | 43 | 11 |
| Rhode Island | 248 | 66 | 34 | 7 | 1 | 289 | 19 | 81 | 39 | 9 |
| South Carolina | 245 | 71 | 29 | 7 | 2 | 284 | 26 | 74 | 34 | 8 |
| South Dakota | 255 | 60 | 40 | 8 | 1 | 294 | 14 | 86 | 45 | 9 |
| Tennessee | 239 | 77 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 277 | 32 | 68 | 26 | 5 |
| Texas | 261 | 54 | 46 | 15 | 4 | 292 | 16 | 84 | 42 | 9 |
| Utah | 241 | 77 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 287 | 23 | 77 | 38 | 7 |
| Vermont | 257 | 56 | 44 | 9 | 1 | 302 | 10 | 90 | 54 | 16 |
| Virginia | 257 | 57 | 43 | 12 | 2 | 293 | 18 | 82 | 43 | 12 |
| Washington | 244 | 69 | 31 | 9 | 1 | 293 | 18 | 82 | 44 | 12 |
| West Virginia | 238 | 77 | 23 | 3 | \# | 278 | 30 | 70 | 24 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 252 | 62 | 38 | 8 | 2 | 294 | 16 | 84 | 46 | 10 |
| Wyoming | 253 | 60 | 40 | 9 | 1 | 292 | 14 | 86 | 41 | 8 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 220 | 89 | 11 | 3 | \# | 267 | 46 | 54 | 19 | 4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 256 | 62 | 38 | 11 | 1 | 291 | 16 | 84 | 39 | 7 |

\# Rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The results for students with disabilities are based
on students who were assessed and cannot be generalized to the total population of such students. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-29. Average scores and achievement-level results in NAEP mathematics for eighth-grade public school students, by status as English language learners (ELL) and state/jurisdiction: 2011

| State/jurisdiction | ELL |  |  |  |  | Not ELL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ | Average scale score | Percentage of students |  |  |  |
|  |  | Below Basic | At or <br> above <br> Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } \\ & \text { Basic } \end{aligned}$ | At or above Basic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At or } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { Proficient } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Advanced } \end{array}$ |
| Nation (public) | 244 | 72 | 28 | 5 | 1 | 285 | 25 | 75 | 35 | 8 |
| Alabama | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | 270 | 39 | 61 | 20 | 3 |
| Alaska | 235 | 76 | 24 | 2 | \# | 289 | 20 | 80 | 39 | 8 |
| Arizona | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 280 | 31 | 69 | 32 | 7 |
| Arkansas | 260 | 53 | 47 | 9 | 1 | 280 | 29 | 71 | 30 | 5 |
| California | 234 | 82 | 18 | 2 | \# | 280 | 30 | 70 | 30 | 7 |
| Colorado | 243 | 71 | 29 | 3 | \# | 296 | 16 | 84 | 47 | 13 |
| Connecticut | 237 | 86 | 14 | \# | \# | 289 | 22 | 78 | 40 | 10 |
| Delaware | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 284 | 25 | 75 | 32 | 7 |
| Florida | 246 | 67 | 33 | 5 | \# | 279 | 30 | 70 | 29 | 6 |
| Georgia | 245 | 72 | 28 | 6 | \# | 279 | 31 | 69 | 28 | 6 |
| Hawaii | 243 | 69 | 31 | 5 | 1 | 281 | 29 | 71 | 32 | 6 |
| Idaho | 242 | 76 | 24 | 2 | \# | 288 | 20 | 80 | 38 | 9 |
| Illinois | 243 | 70 | 30 | 4 | \# | 285 | 25 | 75 | 34 | 8 |
| Indiana | 261 | 51 | 49 | 9 | 1 | 286 | 22 | 78 | 35 | 7 |
| lowa | 248 | 68 | 32 | 3 | \# | 286 | 22 | 78 | 35 | 8 |
| Kansas | 261 | 50 | 50 | 9 | \# | 292 | 18 | 82 | 43 | 9 |
| Kentucky | 238 | 79 | 21 | 2 | \# | 282 | 28 | 72 | 31 | 6 |
| Louisiana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |  | $\ddagger$ | 273 | 36 | 64 | 23 | 3 |
| Maine | 272 | 37 | 63 | 27 | 5 | 289 | 21 | 79 | 39 | 10 |
| Maryland | 245 | 70 | 30 | 8 | 2 | 289 | 25 | 75 | 41 | 12 |
| Massachusetts | 247 | 67 | 33 | 8 | 1 | 300 | 13 | 87 | 53 | 16 |
| Michigan | 261 | 57 | 43 | 17 | 10 | 281 | 29 | 71 | 31 | 6 |
| Minnesota | 255 | 58 | 42 | 8 | 1 | 297 | 15 | 85 | 50 | 14 |
| Mississippi | + | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 269 | 42 | 58 | 19 | 3 |
| Missouri | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 282 | 27 | 73 | 32 | 7 |
| Montana | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 294 | 16 | 84 | 46 | 11 |
| Nebraska | 235 | 79 | 21 | 3 | \# | 284 | 24 | 76 | 34 | 7 |
| Nevada | 241 | 77 | 23 | 4 | \# | 282 | 28 | 72 | 31 | 7 |
| New Hampshire | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | , | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 293 | 18 | 82 | 44 | 11 |
| New Jersey | 244 | 67 | 33 | 12 | 4 | 295 | 17 | 83 | 48 | 14 |
| New Mexico | 243 | 75 | 25 | 2 | \# | 278 | 31 | 69 | 27 | 4 |
| New York | 239 | 81 | 19 | 1 | \# | 283 | 27 | 73 | 32 | 7 |
| North Carolina | 254 | 60 | 40 | 7 | , | 288 | 23 | 77 | 38 | 10 |
| North Dakota | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 293 | 14 | 86 | 44 | 8 |
| Ohio | 248 | 71 | 29 | 4 | \# | 289 | 20 | 80 | 39 | 8 |
| Oklahoma | 237 | 79 | 21 | 4 | 1 | 280 | 27 | 73 | 28 | 4 |
| Oregon | 245 | 73 | 27 | 5 | \# | 285 | 25 | 75 | 35 | 8 |
| Pennsylvania | 242 | 78 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 287 | 25 | 75 | 40 | 10 |
| Rhode Island | 227 | 83 | 17 | 4 | \# | 285 | 25 | 75 | 35 | 8 |
| South Carolina | 267 | 43 | 57 | 19 | 2 | 282 | 29 | 71 | 32 | 7 |
| South Dakota | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 292 | 17 | 83 | 42 | 8 |
| Tennessee | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 275 | 35 | 65 | 24 | 5 |
| Texas | 261 | 52 | 48 | 10 | 1 | 293 | 16 | 84 | 43 | 10 |
| Utah | 234 | 82 | 18 | 1 | \# | 285 | 25 | 75 | 36 | 7 |
| Vermont | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 295 | 18 | 82 | 47 | 13 |
| Virginia | 258 | 54 | 46 | 5 | 1 | 291 | 21 | 79 | 42 | 12 |
| Washington | 240 | 78 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 291 | 21 | 79 | 42 | 12 |
| West Virginia | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 273 | 35 | 65 | 21 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 257 | 53 | 47 | 8 | 1 | 290 | 20 | 80 | 43 | 10 |
| Wyoming | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | $+$ | 288 | 19 | 81 | 38 | 7 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 240 | 75 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 262 | 51 | 49 | 18 | 4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 266 | 45 | 55 | 11 | \# | 289 | 19 | 81 | 38 | 7 |

## \# Rounds to zero

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: The results for English language learners are based on students who were assessed and cannot be generalized to the total population of such students. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Mathematics Assessment.
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    ${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years,
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    Mathematics Assessments.

[^12]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2011 Mathematics Assessments.

[^13]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2011 Mathematics Assessments.
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    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2003 and 2011 Mathematics Assessments.
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    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years,
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