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Federal Justice Statistics Program (FJSP)

- Created by BJS in 1982 to serve as national clearinghouse of federal criminal case processing data

- The National Justice Improvement Act of 1979 authorized BJS to: “collect, analyze and disseminate comprehensive federal justice transaction statistics ... and to provide technical assistance to and work jointly with other federal agencies to improve the availability and quality of federal justice data.” (42 U.S.C. § 3732 (15))
FJSP data sources

- Administrative data are provided to BJS by six federal justice agencies spanning the stages of federal criminal justice (arrest to imprisonment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE/DATA SOURCE</th>
<th>ARREST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Marshals Service</td>
<td>Contains data on suspects arrested for Federal offenses and booked by U.S. Marshals Service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Enforcement Administration</td>
<td>Contains data on suspects arrested by DEA agents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE/DATA SOURCE</th>
<th>PROSECUTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Office for U.S. Attorneys</td>
<td>Contains information on the investigation and prosecution of suspects in criminal matters received and concluded and criminal cases filed and terminated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE/DATA SOURCE</th>
<th>PRETRIAL RELEASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts</td>
<td>Contains data on defendants interviewed, investigated, or supervised by pretrial services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE/DATA SOURCE</th>
<th>ADJUDICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts</td>
<td>Contains information about defendants whose cases were filed in U.S. district courts (felony defendants and Class A misdemeanants).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Sentencing Commission</td>
<td>Contains information on criminal defendants sentenced pursuant to the provisions of the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE/DATA SOURCE</th>
<th>APPEALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts</td>
<td>Contains information on criminal appeals filed and terminated in U.S. Courts of Appeals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE/DATA SOURCE</th>
<th>CORRECTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts</td>
<td>Contains information about defendants under Federal supervision (probation, parole, and supervised release).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Bureau of Prisons</td>
<td>Contains data on offenders admitted, released and present in the Federal Bureau of Prisons at fiscal year-end.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Standard Analysis Files (SAFs)

• The goal of the FJSP is to provide uniform case processing statistics across all stages of the federal criminal justice system, including arrest, prosecution, pretrial release, adjudication, sentencing, incarceration, and supervision

• Data files are received in a variety of formats and are converted to Standard Analysis File (SAFs)
  • Standard unit of count (person-case)
  • Common reporting period (October 1 – September 30)
  • Common offense classification
  • Standardized disposition and sentence outcomes

• These steps “standardize” the data to improve comparability
Data standardization

• SAFs are the “building blocks” of the FJSP
  • Annual cross-sectional data files are created at each stage for each cohort (in, out, and stock)
  • SAFs are used for statistical reports, a web query tool, and data are archived for researcher access at the University of Michigan’s National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (NACJD)
• Data series begins with 1994 data
Data linking methodology

• SAFs were first used to link person-case records across stages (arrest to imprisonment) in 2002
• The first generation of the link system used the one set of identifiers that were available across participating agencies:
  • Federal judicial district (there are 94)
  • Court docket number
  • Name of defendant
• A key was created for each record in each cohort based on this combined information and all cohort keys across all years were matched in a pair-wise fashion (all-to-all)
Shortcomings of the first generation data linking method

- Shortcomings:
  - Non-directional
    - A match could be made with a record irrespective of the stage in which it appeared (e.g., out of case processing order)
  - No screening rules were used and this made it difficult to gauge link quality. It was difficult for users to distinguish false negatives from true negatives (matches that should have been found but weren’t from matches that should never have been made)
  - Link file was cumbersome to use
    - All links across all stages and all years were stored in one very large index file
  - The link approach did not maximize use of all available identifiers
Second generation data linking method

- The second generation link system was released in 2010 and sought to improve upon short-comings. This led to improved link rates
- Designed as a dyad-based system -- that is, links are established between two agency SAFs at a time (from adjacent stages or dyads)
- More criterion variables are used for each agency-to-agency link
  - Maximizes identifiers available in both agencies’ data
- Links based on a conditional subset of records
  - Records that will not link to next stage are dropped (e.g., cases dismissed won’t match with a sentencing record and are dropped)
Connecting the federal criminal case process

Investigation → Case Filing → Case Termination → Conviction and Sentencing → Prison

Arrest / Booking

EOUSA\textsubscript{Matters} → EOUSA\textsubscript{Cases} → EOUSA\textsubscript{Cases} → USSC → BOP

AOUSC → AOUSC

USMS

EOUSA: Executive Office for U.S. Attorneys
USMS: U.S. Marshals Service
AOUSC: Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts
USSC: U.S. Sentencing Commission
BOP: Federal Bureau of Prisons

... and associated agency data
PII availability in the FJSP data

- Person-level identifiers in the FJSP available for linking (%), 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>SSN</th>
<th>FBI Number</th>
<th>Register Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date of Birth</th>
<th>DHS A-Number</th>
<th>Case Docketing Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arrest/Booking</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Filing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Termination</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convictions and Sentencing</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison Admission</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case-level linking variables

- Federal judicial district and filing year
- Important dates
  - Arrest/booking
  - Investigation start and end
  - Case filing
  - Case termination
  - Conviction
  - Sentencing
  - Prison admission
- Other shared variables (e.g., judge; offense charge)
Link strategy

Person Level
- Exact on 2 identifiers
- Exact on 1 identifier, fuzzy on another
- Fuzzy on 2 identifiers

Case Level
- Exact on 2 identifiers
- Exact on 1 identifier, fuzzy on another
- Fuzzy on 2 identifiers

Validated Link
Challenges to data linking

• Challenges: Validating identifiers

  • **SSN**: 9 digits; can have leading a 0; remove “-”
  • **FBI number**: Up to 9 characters, two alphabetic in the 3\(^{rd}\)- and 2\(^{nd}\)-to last position, numbers elsewhere
  • **Register number**: 8 digits, can have 0-2 leading zeroes
  • **A-number**: 9 digits, leading A – frequently no A, leading zeroes often missing
  • **Docket number**: Circuit/district/filing year/docket/defendant – Not standardized within or across agencies
Challenges (cont.)

- Challenges: Standardizing names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Name</th>
<th>Middle Names</th>
<th>Other challenging name formats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colloquial</td>
<td>Anthony Michael Hall</td>
<td>Miguel Cabrera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Given</td>
<td>Anthony Michael Hall</td>
<td>Jose Miguel Cabrera Torres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Possible Variants</td>
<td>Anthony Michael Hall, Anthony Hall, Anthony Michael Hall, Anthony</td>
<td>Jose Miguel Cabrera Torres, Miguel Cabrera Torres, Miguel Cabrera Torres, Jose Miguel Cabrera, Miguel Torres Cabrera, Jose Miguel Torres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data linking example #1

- **Cases termination <-> Conviction and sentencing example**
  - Merge case terminations to conviction and sentencing
  - Linking hierarchy
    - Exact district/office/year/docket; exact sentencing date
      - Exact name; judge; defendant number
      - Exact name
    - No other qualifiers (for sealed cases)
    - Exact district/office/year/docket; defendant number; fuzzy match on either first or last name
    - Exact district/office/year/docket; fuzzier match on either first or last name
    - Block on filing year and last 3 letters of last name; fuzzy match on full name
      - Results in multiple matches
      - Sort matches by preferring same district, sentencing date, judge
Data linking example #2

• Conviction and sentencing <-> Prison admission link example
  • Merge conviction and sentencing to prison admissions (new court commitments)
  • Linking hierarchy
    • Always require both agency sentence dates within ½ year, sentence date within 2 years of prison admission
      • Exact match on any two identifiers: FBI number; A-number; SSN; Register number; Name/DOB; Docket year and number
      • Exact match on one, fuzzy match on another
      • Fuzzy match on any two
Data linking rates for example #1 and #2

- Inter-agency linking rates for 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Direction of Link</th>
<th>Match in 2014 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case termination (a)&lt;-&gt;</td>
<td>a -&gt; b</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conviction and sentencing (b)</td>
<td>b -&gt; a</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conviction and sentencing (b)</td>
<td>b -&gt; c</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-&gt; Prison admission (c)</td>
<td>c -&gt; b</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessing the quality of links: false positives

- Confirmatory links mitigate the number of false positive links
- Use logical sequences to assure reasonable links (e.g., a sentence occurs after a case filing)
- Synthesize data to check for false positives generated by linking procedure
  - Take a set of known good links
  - Modify the data to make them look “worse” (e.g., randomly generate messy or missing identifiers)
  - Apply linking algorithms
  - Compare linking rate on degraded data to the real rate
  - Make modifications to linking algorithm
Assessing the quality of links: false negatives

- Quantify the true false negative rate for analysts
  - Quantify a baseline rate from known good links
  - Compare to a candidate, poorer linking rate

- Using simulation, similar to the procedure for false positives
  - Take a set known good links
  - Modify the data to make them look “worse” (e.g., randomly generate messy or missing identifiers)
  - Apply linking algorithms
  - Compare linking rate on degraded data to the real rate
  - Make modifications to linking algorithm
Future ideas

- Complete assessment of linking rates and implement lessons learned into matching procedures
- Redesign documentation
  - Demonstrate linking rates
  - Create curriculum for users using sample research questions
  - Solicit and incorporate feedback from external users
- For each intra-agency link file where identifiers support it, add a within-agency unique serial number for each person to facilitate recidivism studies
- Assess and create additional link files as necessary (e.g., AO Appeals)
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