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What is the Gemini Project?

“...aims to redesign the Consumer Expenditure (CE) survey to improve data quality through a verifiable reduction in measurement error—particularly error caused by underreporting.”
CE Redesign: New Protocol

Wave 1
- Advance Mailing
- Visit 1: Recall Interview
- Diary Week & Records Collection
- Visit 2: Records Interview

Wave 2
- Advance Mailing
- Visit 1: Recall Interview
- Diary Week & Records Collection
- Visit 2: Records Interview

Incentive:
- CE Experience Package

Note: Visits 1 and 2 are conducted 12 months between waves.
Proof-of-Concept Test

- Ensure that the basic underlying structure and components of the new design are feasible
- Designed to mirror the proposed design to the fullest extent possible
POC Design
POC Design – Advanced Mailing

- Advanced letter sent via priority mail
  - Contained $2 bill (token cash incentive)
  - Contained CE advance mailing language modified to include language for the POC Visit 1/Ind. Diary/Visit 2 design
  - Included mentions of the incentives
VISIT 1
POC Design – Visit 1 Recall

- Visit 1 (V1) interview very similar to current CE Quarterly Interview
- V1 conducted with a modified production CAPI instrument

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home Furnishings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appliances and Household Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment Expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips and Vacations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Expenses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POC Design – V1 Diary Placement

- Gathered all available household members
- Discussed Diary keeping tasks with members
- Identified Diary mode to each participating member, provide user IDs/passwords or paper diary
  - Main respondent decided on mode for absent members when choice was needed
  - Collected email and phone number of each individuals, asking permission to contact mid-week
- Left materials for respondents
POC Design –
V1 Records Instructions

- The Field Representative (FR) described the records interview and requested the respondent to collect relevant records from the reference period (3 months prior to the V1 interview)

- The respondent was provided with a detailed checklist identifying which records to collect
RECORDS CHECKLIST
FOR THE MONTHS OF
JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC

COLLECT AND ORGANIZE YOUR HOUSEHOLD’S RECORDS IN 3 STEPS
FIND IT AT HOME OR ONLINE FILE, SAVE, OR PRINT CHECK THE BOX

Housing
☐ Mortgage loan statement
  principal, interest, escrow payments
☐ Purchase or Sale of a property
  Closing costs, HUD-1 statement
☐ Rent
☐ Real Estate or Property Taxes
☐ HOA or Condo Fees
☐ Maintenance and repairs
  plumbing, gutters, landscaping, construction jobs
☐ Household operations
  moving fees, storage, security

Utilities
☐ Telephone/Cell phone bill
☐ Cable/Satellite TV bill
☐ Internet bill
☐ Electricity/Natural gas bill
☐ Water/Sewer bill

Vehicles
☐ Bill of sale or lease agreement
  new, used, or leased cars, boats, campers, motorcycles
☐ Financing agreements
☐ Licensing, registration, and inspection fees and property taxes

Insurance
☐ Homeowner’s/Renter’s insurance statement
☐ Health insurance statements
☐ Vehicle insurance statement
☐ Life insurance statements
☐ Other insurance
  long term care, supplementary health, pet insurance, umbrella policies, flood insurance

Medical Care
☐ Out-of-pocket payments
  copayments, coinsurance, reimbursable expenses
☐ Doctor’s appointments, dental visits, eye exams
☐ Lab tests, x-rays, hospital stays
☐ Adult care
  nursing or convalescent homes, daycare
☐ Eye glasses and hearing aids
☐ Rental equipment
☐ Prescriptions

Remember to get all...
☐ Paystubs
☐ Checkbook registers
☐ Credit Card statements
☐ Bank statements

Your Field Representative will not collect your records and your information will remain confidential and not shared with anyone.
DIARY WEEK
POC Design – Diary Week: Respondents

- Respondents kept an open-ended diary
- Diary kept online (accessible either via web or mobile device) OR filled out a paper diary...respondent choice
- Main respondent recorded expenditures for ineligible respondents, household expenses, and for any household member not participating.

Categories:
- Food and Drink Away From Home
- Food and Drink For Home Consumption
- Clothing, Shoes Jewelry, & Accessories
- All Other Products & Services

- Help was available via a call-center help desk and email
POC Web Diary Screenshots
POC Design – Diary Week: FRs

- FRs had access to a summary report of web diaries for their cases:
  - # Successful Log-ins, # Unsuccessful Log-ins, #Expenditure Reports
  - Details on expenditures reports (date, expense, description, Amount)

- FRs were instructed to call the main respondent within 2 days of placement if a R hasn’t logged in OR if R hasn’t made entries
  - Phone calls were made to the reference person (main respondent)
  - FR was encouraged to follow-up with individuals that provided contact information

- FRs were instructed to call the household mid-week regardless of entries, to see if there are questions and remind them to collect records for V2
VISIT 2
POC Design – V2 Diary Pick Up

- FR Collected Paper diaries
- Conducted diary recall process with main R and any available HH members
  - Asked if any entries were not entered into the diary and collected those missed entries (dependent on how far from the diary keeping week)
Visit 2 – Records Interview

- Conducted records interview with respondent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rented Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owned Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities and Fuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rented and Leased Vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owned Vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Other than Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupations and Income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets and Liabilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Debriefing Questions

- Respondent debriefing questions related to V1 interview, diary keeping, records collection, and V2 interview:
  - Reactions to the incentives, general feedback, technical difficulties with web diaries, records collection task, etc.

- FR debriefing questions after each visit
  - After V1: recall, diary placement, and records training
  - After V2: diary week contacts, diary recall, and records.
Field Staff Feedback

- Generally positive, liked the design
- Really liked offering incentives
  - Didn’t work for everyone, but...
  - For those that it did, helped keep engagement through second visit
- A lot to ask of respondents in the week between surveys (diary + record collection), BUT some feedback appreciated combining the samples – saving travel time and reducing the number of total waves.
Preliminary Results - July

Response Rates:

- POC Response Rate = 53.1%
- 2014 CE Interview Response Rate = 66.4%
- 2014 CE Diary Response Rate = 65.0%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eligible Addresses</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refusals + Noncontacts</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incompletes</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed all components of POC test</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Preliminary Results - July

### Individual Diaries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total eligible members</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total diaries placed</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of eligible household members</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of diaries placed per household</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of diaries with entries per household</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Preliminary Results - July

### Mode Choice:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members eligible for <em>web</em> diary</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web diaries placed</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper diaries placed</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Preliminary Results - July

## Web Diaries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Web diaries with log in attempts</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only unsuccessful log in attempts</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Least 1 successful log ins</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple successful log ins</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web diaries with &gt;0 entries</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of entries (&gt;0 log ins)</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Preliminary Results - July

Records:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record Type</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete interviews</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Used at least 1 record</td>
<td>88.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of sections with record use per household (out of 10)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent record use by section

- Rented Homes: 33.0%
- Owned Homes: 40.2%
- Utilities and Fuels: 76.5%
- Rented and Leased Vehicles: 11.7%
- Owned Vehicles: 29.6%
- Insurance Other than Health: 60.3%
- Health Insurance: 38.6%
- Medical Expenses: 36.9%
- Occupations and Income: 46.4%
- Assets and Liabilities: 45.8%
Key Findings (so far)

- Those who agreed to the survey, were likely to complete all components
- Anecdotally – incentives were effective in continued cooperation and motivation
- Take up rate for the web diary was lower than expected
- Respondents were unwilling to provide email addresses, especially for non-present household members
- Despite the dramatic change from current production, FRs thought the new design was better overall
Next Steps

- POC Data Analysis
  - Comparisons to CE production data
  - Effectiveness of incentives
  - Length of interview

GO/NO-GO decision on Gemini Redesign

- Large Scale Feasibility Test (fielding 2019)
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