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Basics on recently arrived resettled refugees
Refugee flows into the U.S. have varied over time

Region of origin of refugees, 1975-2017

Source: Department of State-Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration- Office of Admissions - Refugee Processing Center, 2017
Recently resettled refugees speak over 200 languages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>2011-2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepali</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somali</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgaw Karen</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burmese</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaldean</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiswahili</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tedim</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigrinya</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinyawanda</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Refugee Arrivals Data System.
The Annual Survey of Refugees and Redesign Project
What is the Annual Survey of Refugees?

- The ASR is the only national survey on refugee self-sufficiency and integration
- Conducted annually since the early 1980s
- Collects information on refugees arriving in the U.S. in the previous five years
- Refugee Act annual reporting requirement
What are the objectives of the ASR?

- Statutory annual reporting requirements, such as:
  - Employment and labor force statistics
  - Economic self-sufficiency
  - English language proficiency
  - Lawful Permanent Resident (LPR) status
  - Medical insurance coverage
How has the ASR pursued its objectives?

- Survey instrument focused on
  - Demographics
  - Economic Self-Sufficiency
    - Employment
    - Public Benefits
- Interview Principal Applicants (usually heads of household) by telephone
  - Collect data on all eligible adults in household
  - Some information on all household members
- Most recent (2016) survey collection: 1500 households, 17 languages including English
Redesigning the ASR

• Revised questionnaire to explore refugee integration and expand content while improving existing questions

• Explore issues around contacting and outreach, attitudes toward government sponsorship, and respondent willingness to participate

• Scan literature and reference surveys (spring 2017)

• Expert roundtable to identify priorities for questionnaire revision (May 2017)

• OMB submission (Aug 2017)

• Pretest of revised questionnaire (Oct- Dec 2017)
Revised Questionnaire content

- Demographics/HH roster
- Health
- Experiences before arrival in the U.S.
- Children and Schools
- Human Capital
- Technology Use and Access
- Economic Self-Sufficiency
- Social Connection
- Receiving Community
Pretest Design
## Research Objectives of the Pretest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research objectives</th>
<th>Telephone Interviews</th>
<th>Site Visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verify that the survey instrument captures accurate policy- and community-relevant information</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify survey questions in need of revision due to structural or conceptual issues</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validate comprehension and assess respondent cognitive burden</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure the length and cost of administration for various languages and refugee subgroup</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore cultural relevance and sensitivity issues</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore mobility, locating, and other issues related to longitudinal survey implementation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore ways of securing better participation and acceptance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore how government sponsorship might affect participation, trust, anxiety, veracity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Design of the Pretest

• Capture refugee perspective through telephone interviews
  • Used **2016 ASR respondent pool**
  • Combine quantitative and qualitative
    • 109 survey interviews
    • Half were also given cognitive interviews: 47
    • Other half were given in-depth interviews: 58
• Capture stakeholder perspectives through site visits
  • Interviews with service providers and community stakeholders in two cities
## Pretest Administration Design Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language Group</th>
<th>Recency of Arrival:</th>
<th>Male PA</th>
<th>Female PA</th>
<th>Language Totals</th>
<th>Total In-Depth Interviews</th>
<th>Total Cognitive Interviews</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabic (2 dialects)</td>
<td>Recent Arrival (1-2 yr); or 2-3 Years</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Longer Term Arrival (3-6 yrs); or 4-7 Years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepali</td>
<td>Recent Arrival</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Longer Term Arrival</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgaw Karen</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somali</td>
<td>Recent Arrival</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Longer Term Arrival</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiswahili (French)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>52</strong></td>
<td><strong>57</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>109</strong></td>
<td><strong>== Total Surv. Admins.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Demographics of Pretest Respondents

- Small share (7%) lived in single-person households; mostly multiple-member household and many large households.

![Bar chart showing the number of people in current household.](chart.png)
Demographics of Pretest Respondents

• Range of ages, reflecting the refugee pool

Age of respondent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 or above</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Demographics of Pretest Respondents

- Variety of countries of origin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of birth of respondent</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burma</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Initial Observations on Cognitive and In-depth Interviews

Note that these are our initial observations and we have just begun our analysis; additional findings will emerge as we continue analysis.
Challenges of researching the refugee population

• Mobility: lack of strong data on secondary migration after initial placement in the U.S.

• Tracing: challenge of updating refugees’ contact information

• Linguistic and cultural diversity: many language groups and ethnic and national-origin groups

• Concerns in speaking with the government
Testing a new questionnaire

- Challenges for the cognitive interviewing
  - Length of the protocol (153 questions)
  - Many new questions had been developed and needing testing
  - Interviewers who had the linguistic and cultural competency were non-native-English speakers, so recording cognitive interview results was not trivial
    - Interviewers’ sharing cultural background with respondents was invaluable for establishing rapport and collecting information from vulnerable population
  - Even with early feedback mechanisms, back and forth monitoring is difficult with rare languages; difficult to provide real time feedback
Despite challenges, rich information collected

- Initial analysis of cognitive interview results have provided valuable information on the questionnaire usability

- Many newly developed questions on challenging concepts seem to be successful (e.g. race, health, community, social connection, unemployment, experiences before arrival in the U.S.)
  - Willingness to respond and comfort with these types of questions
  - Validation that these are important concepts for understanding their experience in the U.S.
Helpful feedback from the cognitive interviews

• Identifying areas of miscomprehension, challenge with recall, or areas of sensitivity
  • Proxy reporting: Discomfort reporting on and lack of knowledge of detailed information on other household members (income, employment and wages, demographics)
  • Confusion about different public benefits programs and health insurance

• Identifying missing concepts
  • One example: the questions on experience before arrival in the U.S. assume an adult, but many were youth when they fled their home country and during their period of displacement

• Identifying additional response options for multiple-choice questions
Insights from the in-depth interviews

• Broadly, in-depth interview validated measures that were added or expanded in the redesigned questionnaire (e.g., English language ability, social connections, health care, safety, discrimination)

• Having interviewers from a common cultural background helped develop rapport and build trust with respondents

  • “I: Would you be willing to participate in the survey again in the future?

    R: Yes, of course. I enjoy speaking to someone different who understands me.”
Insights from the in-depth interviews

- Respondents consistently point to English language ability as a major challenge and a key marker of integration.

- Many respondents feel uncomfortable or unsafe in their neighborhoods, which can lead to fear and isolation.

- Respondents often provide detailed stories of their lives before resettling in the US, but some were hesitant to share or relive the experience.
  
  - “This whole story really stresses me out and I really don’t [feel] like reliving it.”

  - “I was very young when everything happened, I can’t remember anything and I hate talking much about the past as it really hurts me.”
Insights from the in-depth interviews

• Older respondents and those with health problems face unique barriers to integration and self-sufficiency
  • “If I had come to this country 20 years ago, things would have been different perhaps, I would have completed my education, as I still had the will. But for me now, life has been passing me by, this is my destiny. We arrived at a very old age and it is very difficult for us to learn.”

• Respondents describe the difficulty of adapting to the US work culture, working long hours to make enough money to pay the bills
Reaching a sensitive group
Among the 5 percent who were not willing to participate again...

• “I really don’t know, as I told you I am trying to improve my English and am working at the same time so I don’t have much time. If you want to call me and I am available then that is fine”

• “I’m not sure about it because I don’t know if I can answer any more questions. It’s just in my head that something could happen to me if I say something wrong or give the wrong answers.”
Preference on mode

- Only half (54%) of pretest respondents said they would be willing to take the ASR through an app, and qualitative findings confirm a sizable portion prefer the telephone mode.
Smartphone use

- A fifth (19%) of pretest respondents do not have a smartphone.
Internet access

- Most (86%) say they or a member of their household has internet access
But, internet knowledge...

- But fewer (72%) say they know how to use the internet

![Bar graph showing percentage of people who know how to use the internet. The majority (around 70%) say they know how to use the internet, with fewer (20%) saying they do not, and a small percentage (10%) refusing to answer.]
Next Steps and Further Questions
Next steps in analysis

- Analyze questions from all qualitative respondents on preferred mode, government sponsorship, willingness to participate, and missing topics

- Analyze subgroup differences in qualitative data

- In tandem with cognitive interview results, analyze survey data for illogical response patterns, anomalous missing data patterns, refusal rates for sensitive questions, and subgroup variation

- Analyze survey data for length of administration
Lessons learned for surveys of multicultural and vulnerable populations

- Important to match interviewer country of origin with respondent’s background to foster rapport and build on shared experience.

- Respondents generally willing to discuss sensitive issues and answer questions about traumatic past before resettlement, although they may require reassurance from interviewers.

- Important to have survey administration scheduling that is flexible to accommodate many respondents’ long working hours.