## Section 3: Demographic Characteristics of the New Entrants

Who are the new faculty and how do they compare to the senior faculty? Based on the NSOPF93 faculty survey, the variables of age, gender, race/ethnicity, and citizenship status were examined.

## Age

The cohort of new entrants is, naturally, much younger than their more experienced counterparts (table 3.1). Almost one-half ( 44.9 percent) were between 35 and 44 compared to 21 percent of the senior faculty. They are not, however, a youthful lot. Their mean age was 42 -about nine years younger than the senior faculty whose average age was 51.

## Gender

Women have made very substantial gains in obtaining faculty positions (table 3.2). While they constituted almost one-third ( 32.7 percent) of all faculty and 28.5 percent of the senior faculty, they constituted 41 percent of the new faculty. Indeed, so many women have entered the academic workforce during this relatively brief period that the new cohort of women now constituted 41.8 percent of all full-time female faculty. Remember, the new cohort of faculty (males and females) constituted 33.5 percent of all full-time faculty (table 2.1).

The increased presence of women in the new faculty cohort is apparent across nearly all institutional types. Even at research universities, where women have historically been least visible, they have increased their representation from about one-fifth of the senior cohort to about one-third of the new cohort. Indeed, close to one-half of all women faculty at research universities ( 47.9 percent) belonged to the new entry cohort.

Women's numerical presence has increased to the point where they have achieved parity with men in the new faculty cohort at liberal arts colleges and 2-year colleges.

When program area is considered, women showed an increased presence in the new cohort in all fields except business (where they continued to constitute about one-third of the faculty) and the health sciences (where they had already achieved approximate parity with men in the senior cohort). The largest proportionate increase was accounted for by three fields. In the fine arts, women, who constituted about one-quarter of the senior cohort, have achieved rough parity with men in the new cohort. In education the data showed that women, who constituted 45.8 of the senior cohort, have moved into a majority position in the new cohort ( 64.4 percent). In the humanities, women constituted about one-third ( 36.2 percent) of the senior cohort and about one-half ( 53.9 percent) of the new cohort. While these increases are in "traditionally" female fields, such traditionally male fields as engineering and the natural sciences showed an increased female presence among the new cohort.

Expressed in other terms, the number of new entry women was so sizable relative to the existing senior female faculty that they now constituted: 74 percent of all women faculty in engineering,

45 percent of all women faculty in the social sciences, 45 percent of all women faculty in the natural sciences, 42 percent of all women faculty in the fine arts, 40 percent of all women faculty in education, 38 percent of all women faculty in the humanities, and 36 percent of all women faculty in business. ${ }^{8}$

## Race/ethnicity

The new entrants were more diverse in terms of race and ethnicity than their predecessors (table 3.3). While 11.7 percent of the senior cohort faculty were minority (i.e., American Indian/Alaskan Native; Asian or Pacific Islander; black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic), the proportion among the new entrants rose to 16.9 percent. Moreover, 42.2 percent of all current minority faculty were hired during the seven years beginning in 1986 ( $(172,319 \mathrm{x} .169)$ / ( $514,976 \times .134)$ ). White, non-Hispanic faculty, at 83.1 percent, still comprised by far the largest share of new faculty hires, though their proportion was less than it was among the senior generation ( 88.3 percent). Although the categories reported here obscure differences within racial groupings, the only change occurred for Asian and Pacific Islander faculty who accounted for a 3.3 percent greater share among new hires-from 4.4 to 7.7 percent-than among the senior faculty. Indeed, the new-entry Asian/Pacific Islander faculty comprised almost one-half ( 45.6 percent) of the total newly hired minority faculty. The representation of blacks, American Indians/Alaskan Natives, and Hispanics remained unchanged for senior versus new faculty.

In considering gender as well as race/ethnicity, the representation of white faculty in the new cohort declined (and, conversely, the proportion of minority faculty increased) for both men and women. That increased minority presence was, however, highly circumscribed. Asian and Pacific Island men were the new generation's biggest gainers, nearly doubling from 4.8 percent among all senior men to 9.3 percent of the new-entry cohort of men. New entry Asian women also increased their share, albeit to a lesser extent. Among black faculty, women outnumber men in the new cohort. Overall, female minority faculty, who accounted for 31.2 percent of all minority faculty in the senior cohort, have recently fared better because minority women comprised 38.4 percent of all new-entry minority faculty. (Among non-Asian minority faculty, the proportion of women increased from 36.7 percent of senior minority faculty to 46.8 percent of the new entry minority faculty).

In sum, the new faculty cohort is more diverse than their predecessors. Meanwhile, the percentage of white women rose from about one-quarter of the entire senior cohort (24.9 percent) to about one-third ( 34.3 percent) of the new hires.
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## Citizenship Status and Nativity

The data in table 3.4 showed a rise in the percentage of faculty members who were not native-born U.S. citizens: one in six new entrants ( 16.9 percent) compared to only one in nine (11.5 percent) among the senior faculty. When institutional type is factored in, it can be seen that the largest influx of foreign-born faculty (including naturalized U.S. citizens and both permanent and temporary residents) has occurred at research universities-about one-quarter ( 26.6 percent) of new entrants at these institutions were not U.S. natives compared to 17.0 percent among the senior faculty-and, to a lesser extent, at the other doctorate-granting and public comprehensive institutions. Their numbers declined as one moves to institutions offering a baccalaureate or associate's degree so that at liberal arts colleges and at community colleges, there were no differences in the percentage of non-native born U.S. citizens between faculty in the new and senior cohorts.

When program area was taken into account, there was a consistent decline in U.S. citizenship among new cohort faculty across all program areas-except education, the fine arts, and the miscellaneous category "all other programs." One of the largest increases in non-native born faculty was, however, among the new cohort natural scientists: one in four ( 24.7 percent) new entry natural science faculty were not native-born versus one in seven (14.4 percent) senior natural science faculty. In considering country of origin, it is important to understand that naturalized U.S. citizens and permanent residents may have immigrated to the U.S. at an early age and/or have resided here for a long time-often attenuating the cultural diversity they bring to their respective colleges and universities. Nevertheless, the surge in diversity by place of birth is noteworthy.

## Summary

The new generation of academic career entrants was readily distinguishable from the senior faculty cohort. First, they were more diverse demographically than previous generations, most dramatically in terms of the increase in the proportion of women ( 40.8 versus 28.5 percent) (table 3.2). Second, greater racial/ethnic diversification also was evident ( 16.9 versus 11.6 percent) (table 3.3). This is most notable in the increase of Asians/Pacific Islanders (from 4.4 percent to 7.7 percent); indeed, Asian/Pacific Island males accounted for 9.3 percent of all newly - hired male faculty (table 3.3). Third, the proportion of non-native-born faculty has increased among the new entrants ( 16.9 versus 11.5 percent) (table 3.4). Fourth, in terms of their academic program areas, a considerably larger proportion of the new entrants versus senior faculty held appointments outside the traditional liberal arts ( 51.0 versus 44.9 percent, respectively) (table 2.2).

Two caveats are in order. First, while the pattern and direction of demographic change toward greater diversity were unmistakable, nonetheless the numerical representation of women and racial/ethnic minorities, even in the new academic generation, continues to be low, in absolute terms. Second, while the changes appeared dramatic in contrasting the new entrants with the large residual senior group, it may indeed be that change has been occurring much more gradually and that such steady growth would be revealed in a more refined cohort analysis of multiple subgroups of faculty varying in the lengths of their higher education careers.

Table 3.1—Mean age and age distribution of full-time faculty, by faculty seniority, gender, type and control of institution, and program area:
Fall 1992


Table 3.1-Mean age and age distribution of full-time faculty, by faculty seniority, gender, type and control of institution, and program area:
Fall 1992, continued


[^1]Table 3.2—Percentage distribution of full-time faculty, by faculty seniority, gender, type and control of institution, and program area: Fall 1992

| Type and control of institution and program area | All faculty ${ }^{1}$ |  | New faculty ${ }^{2}$ |  | Senior faculty ${ }^{2}$ |  | New females as percent of all females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |  |
| Type and control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All institutions | 67.4 | 32.7 | 59.2 | 40.8 | 71.5 | 28.5 | 41.8 |
| All research institutions | 75.4 | 24.6 | 67.2 | 32.8 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 47.9 |
| Public | 77.0 | 23.0 | 67.8 | 32.2 | 81.8 | 18.2 | 48.0 |
| Private | 70.0 | 30.0 | 65.5 | 34.5 | 73.1 | 26.9 | 47.5 |
| All other doctorate-granting institutions ${ }^{3}$ | 72.3 | 27.7 | 67.1 | 33.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 41.1 |
| Public | 70.5 | 29.5 | 62.1 | 37.9 | 74.7 | 25.3 | 43.2 |
| Private | 75.9 | 24.2 | 76.0 | 24.0 | 75.7 | 24.3 | 36.0 |
| All comprehensive institutions | 66.2 | 33.8 | 56.3 | 43.7 | 70.5 | 29.5 | 39.3 |
| Public | 66.7 | 33.4 | 56.9 | 43.1 | 70.8 | 29.2 | 38.6 |
| Private | 65.1 | 34.9 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 69.8 | 30.2 | 40.9 |
| Private liberal arts institutions | 61.0 | 39.0 | 49.3 | 50.7 | 66.9 | 33.1 | 43.9 |
| Public 2-year institutions | 55.8 | 44.2 | 46.8 | 53.2 | 60.1 | 39.9 | 38.7 |
| All other institutions ${ }^{4}$ | 70.3 | 29.7 | 63.1 | 36.9 | 74.5 | 25.5 | 46.1 |
| Program Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All program areas | 67.4 | 32.7 | 59.0 | 41.0 | 71.5 | 28.5 | 41.4 |
| Professions | 59.9 | 40.1 | 56.0 | 44.0 | 62.1 | 37.9 | 39.8 |
| Business | 69.7 | 30.3 | 67.4 | 32.7 | 70.8 | 29.2 | 36.3 |
| Education | 48.2 | 51.8 | 35.6 | 64.4 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 40.0 |
| Engineering | 94.0 | 6.0 | 88.0 | 12.0 | 97.5 | 2.5 | 74.0 |
| Health sciences | 47.3 | 52.7 | 47.7 | 52.3 | 47.0 | 53.0 | 39.4 |
| Liberal arts and sciences | 70.8 | 29.2 | 60.2 | 39.8 | 75.5 | 24.6 | 41.5 |
| Fine arts | 67.0 | 33.0 | 49.0 | 51.0 | 73.6 | 26.4 | 41.7 |
| Humanities | 58.8 | 41.3 | 46.1 | 53.9 | 63.9 | 36.2 | 37.6 |
| Natural sciences | 79.5 | 20.5 | 71.4 | 28.7 | 83.3 | 16.7 | 44.8 |
| Social sciences | 72.9 | 27.1 | 61.6 | 38.4 | 78.1 | 21.9 | 44.9 |
| All other program areas | 71.8 | 28.2 | 62.6 | 37.4 | 76.8 | 23.3 | 46.4 |

[^2]Table 3.3—Racial/ethnic distribution of full-time faculty, by faculty seniority and gender: Fall 1992


[^3]Table 3.4-Percentage distribution of full-time faculty, by citizenship status, faculty seniority, and type and control of institution: Fall 1992

| Faculty seniority and type and control of institution | Number | Citizenship status |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Native U.S. citizen | Naturalized U.S. citizen | Permanent resident | Temporary resident |
| All faculty ${ }^{1}$ | 514,976 | 86.7 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 1.2 |
| New faculty ${ }^{2}$ | 172,319 | 83.1 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 2.8 |
| Senior faculty ${ }^{2}$ | 342,657 | 88.5 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 0.4 |
| Type and control |  |  |  |  |  |
| New faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| All institutions | 172,319 | 83.1 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 2.8 |
| All research institutions | 50,867 | 73.4 | 6.1 | 14.6 | 6.0 |
| Public | 37,085 | 76.3 | 6.6 | 12.8 | 4.3 |
| Private | 13,782 | 65.5 | 4.6 | 19.2 | 10.7 |
| All other doctorate-granting institutions ${ }^{3}$ | 26,361 | 80.7 | 6.8 | 9.3 | 3.1 |
| Public | 17,028 | 81.1 | 6.0 | 9.9 | 3.0 |
| Private | 9,333 | 80.0 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 3.3 |
| All comprehensive institutions | 39,929 | 86.3 | 4.5 | 7.7 | 1.6 |
| Public | 28,017 | 84.8 | 5.3 | 8.2 | 1.7 |
| Private | 11,912 | 89.6 | 2.6 | 6.5 | 1.3 |
| Private liberal arts institutions | 12,662 | 89.5 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 0.9 |
| Public 2-year institutions | 33,283 | 92.9 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| All other institutions ${ }^{4}$ | 9,217 | 86.2 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 1.8 |
| Senior faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| All institutions | 342,657 | 88.5 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 0.4 |
| All research institutions | 90,727 | 83.0 | 10.5 | 5.9 | 0.6 |
| Public | 71,224 | 84.3 | 10.0 | 5.1 | 0.6 |
| Private | 19,502 | 78.3 | 12.4 | 8.8 | 0.5 |
| All other doctorate-granting institutions ${ }^{3}$ | 49,845 | 86.4 | 8.6 | 4.8 | 0.3 |
| Public | 33,553 | 86.7 | 8.3 | 4.8 | 0.3 |
| Private | 16,293 | 85.7 | 9.1 | 4.9 | 0.3 |
| All comprehensive institutions | 91,490 | 90.2 | 6.4 | 3.0 | 0.4 |
| Public | 65,860 | 90.2 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| Private | 25,630 | 90.1 | 7.2 | 2.6 | 0.2 |
| Private liberal arts institutions | 24,764 | 91.4 | 5.8 | 2.8 | 0.1 |
| Public 2-year institutions | 70,246 | 94.2 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| All other institutions ${ }^{4}$ | 15,586 | 86.7 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 1.0 |

[^4]Table 3.5—Percentage distribution of full-time faculty, by citizenship status, faculty seniority, and program area: Fall 1992

| Faculty seniority and program area | Number | Citizenship Status |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Native U.S. citizen | Naturalized U.S. citizen | Permanent resident | Temporary resident |
| All faculty ${ }^{1}$ | 514,976 | 86.7 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 1.2 |
| New faculty ${ }^{2}$ | 172,319 | 83.1 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 2.8 |
| Senior faculty ${ }^{2}$ | 342,657 | 88.5 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 0.4 |
| Program area ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| New faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| All program areas | 166,045 | 83.8 | 5.1 | 8.7 | 2.4 |
| Professions | 59,966 | 84.0 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 2.6 |
| Business | 13,293 | 85.8 | 4.0 | 9.2 | 1.0 |
| Education | 11,326 | 96.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.1 |
| Engineering | 9,278 | 64.4 | 13.4 | 19.9 | 2.3 |
| Health sciences | 26,069 | 84.6 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 4.6 |
| Liberal arts and sciences | 81,297 | 81.5 | 5.4 | 10.6 | 2.5 |
| Fine arts | 8,394 | 88.6 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 0.8 |
| Humanities | 21,504 | 84.5 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 2.5 |
| Natural sciences | 33,141 | 75.3 | 6.3 | 14.6 | 3.8 |
| Social sciences | 18,258 | 85.9 | 3.8 | 9.3 | 1.1 |
| All other program areas | 24,782 | 90.8 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 1.7 |
| Senior faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| All program areas | 337,096 | 88.5 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 0.4 |
| Professions | 105,416 | 88.1 | 8.1 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| Business | 26,149 | 92.0 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 0.2 |
| Education | 23,826 | 94.9 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| Engineering | 15,838 | 67.1 | 22.7 | 9.2 | 1.0 |
| Health sciences | 39,604 | 89.8 | 7.2 | 2.9 | 0.1 |
| Liberal arts and sciences | 185,647 | 87.7 | 7.7 | 4.1 | 0.5 |
| Fine arts | 22,651 | 94.9 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| Humanities | 53,275 | 87.2 | 9.0 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| Natural sciences | 70,241 | 85.6 | 9.1 | 4.7 | 0.6 |
| Social sciences | 39,480 | 87.7 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 0.5 |
| All other program areas | 46,033 | 93.0 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 0.1 |
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## Section 4: Educational Background and Work History of the New Entrants

To what extent, and in what ways, is the demographic diversification of new career entrants reflected in their emerging careers? And how do the careers of these newcomers compare with those of the senior faculty? Based on NSOPF-93, the following array of variables was examined: the level of highest degree; age at receipt of their highest degree and at appointment to the position they held in the Fall of 1992; and the nature of their employment previous to the current job (employment sector and functional responsibility).

## Level of Highest Degree

New faculty were more likely to report the master's or baccalaureate as their highest degree compared to the senior cohort who more often reported the doctorate as their highest degree (table 4.1). When institutional type of the new hires' current affiliation is considered, master's degree holders were less likely to be represented on the faculties of research universities and other doctorate granting universities. Also, in terms of program area, more new faculty in the natural sciences and the social sciences (about 75 percent) than in the humanities ( 55 percent) held doctorates or professional degrees, possibly reflecting, for example, large numbers of nondoctorate faculty specialists hired to teach writing. However, a cautionary note is in order: a number of faculty members whose highest degrees were shown as master's or bachelor's presumably were pursuing a higher degree at this relatively early stage of their careers; accordingly, the degree distributions for the new and senior cohorts are not strictly comparable. Even so, it is noteworthy that at this stage the new cohort of women faculty were about as likely to hold a master's degree as their highest degree ( 44.2 percent) as a doctorate or professional degree ( 48.4 percent). This contrasted to the highest degrees held by new-cohort men: 71.0 percent held doctorates or professional degrees and only 22.4 percent had only a master's degree. To some extent this surely reflects the larger number of women hired at 2-year institutions and in fields for which the doctorate is less crucial. And, presumably, many of these women were currently pursuing doctorates. Nevertheless, the contrast between new-cohort women and men in this regard was striking.

## Age at Award of Highest Degree and at Appointment to Current Position

Contrary to what might be predicted based on evidence of the increasing length of graduate study in some fields (Bowen and Rudenstine, 1992), the data in table 4.2 showed that new career entrants were receiving their highest degrees (master's or doctorates/professional degrees) at almost precisely the same age as their senior colleagues-the early thirties.' However, while new entrants were receiving their highest degrees in their early thirties, they were being hired into their current positions at a later age than senior faculty had been ( $38-39$ versus $35-36$ years old, respectively). Or consider another way of viewing these data: senior faculty members on average were hired into their current position about four years after completing their highest

[^6]degree while for the new generation the interval was about six and one-half to seven years after completing their highest degree.

This development raises a number of questions: Have new entrants, after earning their highest degrees, simply bounced around among a number of short-term academic positions prior to assuming their current position? Were they more likely than their senior colleagues to have held term appointments that were not renewed or to have been denied tenure? Have they simply taken much longer to find a full-time academic position in a difficult job market? These questions lead us to look more closely into the previous work history of new career entrants, especially their employment experiences following receipt of their highest degree in order to understand better the transition from graduate study to a full-time faculty appointment in the contemporary academy.

## Work (Previous Employment) History

New career entrants were less likely to have had previous employment experience in postsecondary institutions than senior faculty (table 4.3), and they were more likely than senior faculty to have worked in an employment sector outside postsecondary education. ${ }^{10}$ This is reflected in the larger percentages of new hires relative to senior faculty who had worked previously in for-profit businesses, hospitals and the federal government.

Viewed by function rather than sector (table 4.4), new entrants have had a greater diversity of previous work responsibilities than senior faculty. Compared to their predecessors, smaller proportions of new entrants than senior faculty reported previous teaching experience, while larger proportions of the new entrants than senior faculty reported previous experience in research, clinical services, and technical activities.

How is this differential pattern of previous work experience to be interpreted? To what extent does it represent intentionally temporary employment undertaken while completing graduate study or actual post graduate degree forays into the non-academic job market? To address these questions, the dates reported under previous employment history were used to identify those previous positions that were first assumed after the date of receipt of the highest degree and before the current position. Tables 4.5 and 4.6 display the patterns of post highest degree and pre current position employment reported by new and senior faculty. While most of these posthighest degree/pre-current position employment experiences for senior faculty were teaching positions (about three-quarters of the senior faculty had such experience), only about one-quarter of the new entrants holding doctoral degrees had previous full-time teaching positions (24.4 percent) and only about one-half of those holding master's degrees ( 56.8 percent) had previous full-time teaching positions. Moreover, new faculty held a wider variety of non-teaching positions before assuming their current position, especially in research and clinical services.

[^7]Table 4.1-Percentage distribution of full-time faculty, by highest degree level, faculty seniority, gender, type and control of institution, and program area: Fall 1992

| Faculty seniority, gender, type and control of institution, and program area | Highest degree level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Professional or doctoral | Master's | Bachelor's or less |
| All faculty ${ }^{1}$ | 514,976 | 66.3 | 28.9 | 4.8 |
| New faculty ${ }^{2}$ | 172,319 | 61.7 | 31.3 | 7.0 |
| Senior faculty ${ }^{2}$ | 342,657 | 68.6 | 27.7 | 3.8 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| New faculty |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 101,974 | 71.0 | 22.4 | 6.6 |
| Females | 70,345 | 48.4 | 44.2 | 7.5 |
| Senior faculty |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 244,860 | 75.0 | 21.6 | 3.4 |
| Female | 97,797 | 52.5 | 43.1 | 4.5 |


| Type and control |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| New faculty |  |  |  |  |
| All institutions | 172,319 | 61.7 | 31.3 | 7.0 |
| All research universities | 50,867 | 85.0 | 12.5 | 2.5 |
| All other doctorate-granting institutions ${ }^{3}$ | 26,361 | 81.1 | 16.6 | 2.3 |
| All comprehensive institutions | 39,929 | 63.2 | 34.3 | 2.4 |
| Private liberal arts institutions | 12,662 | 58.2 | 38.8 | 2.9 |
| Public 2-year institutions | 33,283 | 15.1 | 60.9 | 24.1 |
| All other institutions |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9,217 | 43.1 | 47.6 | 9.3 |
| Senior faculty |  |  |  |  |
| All institutions | 342,657 | 68.5 | 27.7 | 3.8 |
| All research universities | 90,727 | 90.4 | 8.7 | 0.9 |
| All other doctorate-granting institutions ${ }^{3}$ | 49,845 | 85.9 | 13.4 | 0.7 |
| All comprehensive institutions | 91,490 | 76.7 | 22.5 | 0.8 |
| Private liberal arts institutions | 24,764 | 66.3 | 31.4 | 2.3 |
| Public 2-year institutions | 70,246 | 20.7 | 66.0 | 13.4 |
| All other institutions |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.1-Percentage distribution of full-time faculty, by highest degree level, faculty seniority, gender, type and control of institution, and program area: Fall 1992, continued

| Faculty seniority, |  | Highest degree level |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| gender, type and control |  |  |  |  |
| of institution, and program area | Number | Professional <br> or doctoral | Master's | Bachelor's <br> or less |
| Program area ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| New faculty |  |  |  |  |
| All program areas | 166,045 | 62.0 | 31.2 | 6.8 |
| Professions | 59,966 | 60.3 | 31.9 | 7.8 |
| Liberal arts and sciences | 81,297 | 66.1 | 30.7 | 3.3 |
| $\quad$ Fine arts | 8,394 | 34.2 | 58.8 | 7.1 |
| Humanities | 21,504 | 55.4 | 42.0 | 2.5 |
| $\quad$ Natural sciences | 33,141 | 76.1 | 19.7 | 4.2 |
| Social sciences | 18,258 | 74.7 | 24.3 | 1.0 |
| All other program areas | 24,782 | 52.6 | 31.5 | 15.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Senior faculty |  |  |  |  |
| All program areas | 337,096 | 68.7 | 27.6 | 3.7 |
| Professions | 105,416 | 65.6 | 30.1 | 4.2 |
| Liberal arts and sciences | 185,647 | 72.4 | 26.0 | 1.6 |
| Fine arts | 22,651 | 41.4 | 54.1 | 4.4 |
| Humanities | 53,275 | 72.6 | 26.6 | 0.7 |
| Natural sciences | 70,241 | 75.8 | 22.4 | 1.9 |
| Social sciences | 39,480 | 83.7 | 15.6 | 0.8 |
| All other program areas | 46,033 | 60.9 | 28.6 | 10.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^8]Table 4.2—Average age of full-time faculty ${ }^{1}$ at award of highest degree and at appointment to current position, by faculty seniority and highest degree level: Fall 1992

| Faculty seniority and highest degree | Age when granted Highest degree |  |  | Age when hired in current position |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mode | Mean | Median | Mode |
| New faculty ${ }^{2}$ | 32 | 29 | 30 | 39 | 37 | 37 |
| Doctorate | 32 | 30 | 31 | 39 | 36 | 37 |
| Master's | 32 | 29 | 29 | 39 | 38 | 39 |
| Senior faculty ${ }^{2}$ | 32 | 30 | 30 | 36 | 34 | 34 |
| Doctorate | 32 | 30 | 30 | 36 | 34 | 35 |
| Master's | 31 | 29 | 29 | 35 | 33 | 34 |

[^9]Table 4.3—Percentage of full-time faculty with previous employment, by faculty seniority and previous employment sector: Fall 1992

| Previous employment | All faculty $^{1}$ |  |  | New faculty $^{2}$ |  | Senior faculty $^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| sector | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| All faculty | 514,976 | 66.8 | 172,319 | 78.8 | 342,657 | 60.8 |  |

Faculty with previous employment

| All sectors | 343,995 | 100.0 | 135,716 | 100.0 | 208,279 | 100.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4-year college/university | 209,379 | 60.9 | 66,425 | 48.9 | 142,955 | 68.6 |
| 2-year college | 43,738 | 12.7 | 12,736 | 9.4 | 31,002 | 14.9 |
| Elementary/secondary | 46,367 | 13.5 | 18,596 | 13.7 | 27,771 | 13.3 |
| Consulting | 26,786 | 7.8 | 12,178 | 9.0 | 14,608 | 7.0 |
| Hospital | 41,021 | 11.9 | 21,094 | 15.5 | 19,927 | 9.6 |
| Foundation or other nonprofit | 22,660 | 6.6 | 11,457 | 8.4 | 11,203 | 5.4 |
| For-profit business | 62,536 | 18.2 | 32,583 | 24.0 | 29,953 | 14.4 |
| Federal government | 38,101 | 11.1 | 18,659 | 13.8 | 19,442 | 9.3 |
| Other | 15,502 | 4.5 | 7,719 | 5.7 | 7,783 | 3.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^10]Table 4.4-Percentage of full-time faculty with previous employment, by faculty seniority and primary responsibility in previous employment: Fall 1992

| Previous employment responsibility | All faculty ${ }^{1}$ |  | New faculty ${ }^{2}$ |  | Senior faculty ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| All faculty | 514,976 | 66.8 | 172,319 | 78.8 | 342,657 | 60.8 |
|  | Faculty with previous employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| All responsibilities | 343,995 | 100.0 | 135,716 | 100.0 | 208,279 | 100.0 |
| Teaching | 211,338 | 61.4 | 54,128 | 39.9 | 157,211 | 75.5 |
| Research | 73,419 | 21.3 | 37,303 | 27.5 | 36,116 | 17.3 |
| Technical activities | 41,731 | 12.1 | 19,796 | 14.6 | 21,934 | 10.5 |
| Clinical services | 37,363 | 10.9 | 20,138 | 14.8 | 17,225 | 8.3 |
| Public service | 11,640 | 3.4 | 6,257 | 4.6 | 5,383 | 2.6 |
| Administration | 61,106 | 17.8 | 26,114 | 19.2 | 34,992 | 16.8 |
| Other | 50,710 | 14.7 | 24,811 | 18.3 | 25,899 | 12.4 |

[^11]Table 4.5-Percentage of full-time faculty who have held a job other than their current one since receiving their highest degree, by faculty seniority, highest degree level, and primary responsibility of previous employment: Fall 1992

| Degree level and previous employment responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All faculty ${ }^{1}$ |  | New faculty ${ }^{2}$ |  | Senior faculty ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| All faculty | 514,976 | 24.2 | 172,319 | 33.8 | 342,657 | 19.4 |
| Highest degree ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctorate ${ }^{4}$ | 339,359 | 23.4 | 105,490 | 32.2 | 233,868 | 19.5 |
| Master's | 148,058 | 24.3 | 53,518 | 34.6 | 94,541 | 18.5 |

Faculty with previous employment since receiving their highest degree

| Doctorate $^{4}$ | 79,550 | 100.0 | 33,940 | 100.0 | 45,609 | 100.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teaching | 44,413 | 55.8 | 8,266 | 24.4 | 36,147 | 79.3 |
| Research | 31,648 | 39.8 | 17,181 | 50.6 | 14,467 | 31.7 |
| Technical services | 4,539 | 5.7 | 2,074 | 6.1 | 2,465 | 5.4 |
| Clinical services | 9,558 | 12.0 | 5,968 | 17.6 | 3,590 | 7.9 |
| Public service | 2,369 | 3.0 | 1,272 | 3.8 | 1,097 | 2.4 |
| Administration | 14,825 | 18.6 | 6,852 | 20.2 | 7,973 | 17.5 |
| Other | 8,222 | 10.3 | 3,760 | 11.1 | 4,462 | 9.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Master's | 36,014 | 100.0 | 18,545 | 100.0 | 17,469 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teaching | 25,225 | 70.0 | 10,527 | 56.8 | 14,698 | 84.1 |
| Research | 1,786 | 5.0 | 989 | 5.3 | 797 | 4.6 |
| Technical services | 5,221 | 14.5 | 3,112 | 16.8 | 2,109 | 12.1 |
| Clinical services | 4,498 | 12.5 | 2,555 | 13.8 | 1,942 | 11.1 |
| Public service | 1,490 | 4.1 | 916 | 4.9 | 574 | 3.3 |
| Administration | 9,491 | 26.4 | 5,490 | 29.6 | 4,002 | 22.9 |
| Other | 6,695 | 18.6 | 4,211 | 22.7 | 2,484 | 14.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty are those who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.
${ }^{3}$ Numbers for highest degree will not add to all faculty because individuals with less than a master's degree are not included.
${ }^{4}$ Included in this category are faculty with a doctorate or a first-professional degree.
NOTE: Details will not add to total because faculty could have had multiple employments with different primary responsibilities.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, "Faculty Survey."

Table 4.6-Percentage of full-time faculty who have held a job other than their current one since receiving their highest degree, by faculty seniority, highest degree level, and previous employment sector: Fall 1992

| Degree level and previous employment sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All faculty ${ }^{1}$ |  | New faculty ${ }^{2}$ |  | Senior faculty ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| All faculty | 514,976 | 24.2 | 172,319 | 33.8 | 342,657 | 19.4 |
| Highest degree ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctorate ${ }^{4}$ | 339,359 | 23.4 | 105,490 | 32.2 | 233,868 | 19.5 |
| Master's | 148,058 | 24.3 | 53,518 | 34.6 | 94,541 | 18.5 |
|  | Faculty with previous employment since receiving their highest degree |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctorate ${ }^{4}$ | 79,550 | 100.0 | 33,940 | 100.0 | 45,609 | 100.0 |
| Within academe | 73,125 | 91.9 | 28,679 | 84.5 | 44,446 | 97.4 |
| Outside academe | 40,353 | 50.7 | 21,566 | 63.5 | 18,787 | 41.2 |
| Master's | 36,014 | 100.0 | 18,545 | 100.0 | 17,469 | 100.0 |
| Within academe | 30,462 | 84.6 | 14,117 | 76.1 | 16,345 | 93.6 |
| Outside academe | 25,897 | 71.9 | 14,541 | 78.4 | 11,356 | 65.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty are those who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.
${ }^{3}$ Numbers for highest degree will not add to all faculty because individuals with less than a master's degree are not included.
${ }^{4}$ Included in this category are faculty with a doctorate or a first-professional degree.
NOTE: Details will not add to total because faculty could have had previous employment in more than one sector.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, "Faculty Survey."
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[^0]:    ${ }^{8}$ For purposes of this report, the following program areas have been aggregated into the category "Professional:" agriculture/home economics, business, communications, education, engineering, health sciences, law, and occupationally specific programs. The "Liberal arts and sciences" category includes the humanities, the social and natural sciences, and the fine arts.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty are those who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes medical schools.
    ${ }^{4}$ Includes public liberal arts, private 2-year, and other specialized institutions except medical schools.
    ${ }^{5}$ The numbers for program area differ slightly from those for other variables (i.e., type and control of institution) because some faculty did not report a principal area of teaching.
    NOTE: Details may not add to total because of rounding.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, "Faculty Survey."

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty are those
    who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes medical schools.
    ${ }^{4}$ Includes public liberal arts, private 2-year, and other specialized institutions except medical schools.
    NOTE: Details may not add to total because of rounding.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty,
    "Faculty Survey."

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty are those who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.
    NOTE: Details may not add to total because of rounding.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, "Faculty Survey."

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty those who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes medical schools.
    ${ }^{4}$ Includes public liberal arts, private 2-year, and other specialized institutions except medical schools.
    NOTE: Details may not add to total because of rounding.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, "Faculty Survey."

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty are those who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.
    ${ }^{3}$ The numbers for program area differ slightly from those for other variables (i.e., type and control of institution) because some faculty did not report a principal area of teaching.
    NOTE: Details may not add to total because of rounding.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, "Faculty Survey."

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ The term "highest" degree is employed rather than "terminal" degree. For a number of faculty members, their current highest degree is not "terminal," in that, for example, some who hold master's degrees are at work on, and will eventually earn, doctoral degrees.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ Because of the way the question was worded, we do not know for certain that this is true. The question asked for the three most recent and significant main jobs held during the past 15 years. The extent to which individuals held positions outside of postsecondary institutions more than 15 years ago is not known. See question 19 of the 1993 NSOPF Faculty Questionnaire for the exact wording of the question.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty are those who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes medical schools.
    ${ }^{4}$ Includes public liberal arts, private 2-year, and other specialized institutions except medical schools.
    ${ }^{5}$ The numbers for program area differ slightly from those for other variables (i.e., type and control of institution) because some faculty did not report a principal area of teaching.
    NOTE: Details may not add to total because of rounding.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, "Faculty Survey."

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty are those who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.

    NOTE: Details may not add to total because of rounding.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, "Faculty Survey."

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty are those who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.
    NOTE: Percents shown for a specific sector represent the percentage of faculty with previous employment in a full-time position during the past 15 years who had previous employment in that specific sector. Details will not add to total because faculty could have been previously employed in multiple sectors.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, "Faculty Survey."

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes full-time faculty who reported their principal activity during Fall 1992 was teaching, research, or selected administration activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ New full-time faculty are defined as having 7 years or less in a full-time faculty position; whereas senior faculty are those who had more than 7 years in a full-time faculty position.
    NOTE: Percents shown for a specific responsibility represent the percentage of faculty with previous employment in a full-time position during the past 15 years who had previous employment with that specific responsibility. Details will not add to total because faculty could have had multiple employments with different primary responsibilities.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1993 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty, "Faculty Survey."

