## NAEP 2008 LONG TERM TREND SAMPLE NONRESPONSE BIAS ANALYSIS REPORT
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## 1. NONRESPONSE BIAS ANALYSIS IN THE 2008 NAEP LTT SAMPLE

NCES statistical standards calls for a nonresponse bias analysis to be conducted for a sample with a response rate below 85 percent. Weighted school response rates for the 2008 NAEP Long Term Trend (LTT) sample indicate a need for a school nonresponse bias analysis for the private school sample. A student nonresponse bias analysis is not necessary for any of the student samples. Response rates for schools and students are shown in tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Table 1. School and Student Response Rates for the LTT Samples

| Sample | Age | School Response Rate | Student Response Rate- <br> Mathematics | Student Response Rate- <br> Reading |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Public | 9 | 98.28 | 94.52 | 94.85 |
| Public | 13 | 96.84 | 93.44 | 93.69 |
| Public | 17 | 92.5 | 87.83 | 87.55 |
| Private | 9 | 72.01 | 95.35 | 95.52 |
| Private | 13 | 79.36 | 95.39 | 95.11 |
| Private | 17 | 60.94 | 90.40 | 90.45 |

## 2. SCHOOL NONRESPONSE BIAS ANALYSIS

The school analysis, required for private schools, for the 2008 NAEP LTT sample was conducted in three parts.

1. The distribution of the responding original school sample was compared with that of the entire eligible original school sample. In each case, schools were weighted by their school base weights and their enrollment, referred to as a size-adjusted weight. The original sample is the sample before substitution. Various characteristics of the schools were considered.
2. The distribution of the responding sample, including participating substitutes was compared to the full sample (but in this case, substitutes were included in place of those nonrespondents that they replaced). Again, the size-adjusted school base weights were used for both the full sample and the respondents.
3. The same sets of schools were compared as in the second analysis, but this time when analyzing the responding schools alone, school nonresponse adjustments were applied to the size adjusted weights.

The first part of the analysis indicates the potential for nonresponse bias that was introduced through school nonresponse. The second part of the analysis suggests the remaining potential for nonresponse bias after the mitigating effects of substitution have been accounted for. The third part of the analysis indicates the potential for bias after accounting for the mitigating effects of both substitution and nonresponse weight adjustments. Both the second and third analyses, however, may provide an overly optimistic scenario, since even though substitution and nonresponse adjustments may correct somewhat for deficiencies in the few characteristics examined here, there is no guarantee that they are equally as effective for other characteristics, and in particular for student achievement.

In each analysis, chi-square tests of association were conducted between school response status and each of the following five categorical variables:

- Census region;
- Private school subgroup;
- Metro-centric locale;
- Urban-centric locale; and
- Estimated age enrollment divided into three equally sized categories.

The chi-square tests were carried out using procedures that appropriately account for the complex sample design used in NAEP, and the weighting procedures. The Rao-Scott (RS2) chi-square test (Rao and Scott 1981) was computed using WesVar (Westat 2002).

In addition, mean values of enrollment for responding and nonresponding schools and race/ethnicity enrollment percentages were compared. Two measures of the mean size of enrollment were considered, one using the size adjusted school weight (mean size of school attended by an average student), and the other using the school weight without the size adjustment (mean estimated age enrollment). Differences between the means for the respondents and full sample were tested using t-tests. These tests also took account of the complex sample design, as well as the fact that the respondents are a subset of the full sample (thus in that sense the first two sets of comparisons, using only school base weights, are equivalent to testing whether the mean for respondents is significantly different from the mean for nonrespondents).

The results of these analyses are presented in the tables in Appendix A, and summarized in Table 2 below.

Table 2. LTT Private School Sample, Characteristics with p-values less than 0.05

| Age | Analysis | Characteristics with p-values less than 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Original Sample | Census region, Private school subgroup, Race/ethnicity Private school subgroup, Race/ethnicity Race/ethnicity |
|  | Sample with Substitutes |  |
|  | Nonresponse Adjusted |  |
| 13 | Original Sample | Private school subgroup |
|  | Sample with Substitutes | Private school subgroup, Metro-centric locale |
|  | Nonresponse Adjusted | None |
| 17 | Original Sample | Census region, Private school subgroup, Race/ethnicity |
|  | Sample with Substitutes | Census region, Private school subgroup, Race/ethnicitySize, Race/ethnicity |
|  | Nonresponse Adjusted |  |

Overall, nonresponse adjustment and substitution did decrease the number of variables with significant differences, and for age 13 , no variables remain significant after adjustments. For age 9 , Race/ethnicity remains significant, specifically for the percentage White, non-Hispanic. The bias is small, 2.3 percent on an estimate of about 77 percent. For age 17, Race/ethnicity remains significant, specifically for the percentage Asian/Pacific Islander. The bias is fairly large, 2.3 percent on a 5.6 percent estimate, with a relative bias of 41.6 percent.

Additionally for age 17 , school size becomes significant after the nonresponse adjustment though it has a large p-value before the nonresponse adjustment. In the Northeast non-Catholic schools, there was an unusually low response rate, specifically 6 schools out of 40 responded ( 16 were ineligible, 18 nonresponding). The largest eligible sampled school in this group had 163 students and 9 others were larger than 40 , while the largest responding school of only 40 students. Non-Catholic private schools in the northeast were grouped as a nonresponse class and the 6 responding schools in this group were given a large adjustment factor (6.3316) so that they could represent the 18 nonresponding schools. Since all of the responding schools were small, this means that small non-Catholic private schools in the Northeast are overrepresented in the final weights. We accepted this bias in order to preserve other factors that were considered more related to achievement, in particular, affiliation.
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Appendix A

Table 1. 2008 NAEP LTT Age 9 original sample - weighted percentages of full sample and responding schools by various subgroups weighted by school base weight - All Private Schools $($ sample size $=300)$

|  | Full sample <br> weighted <br> percentage | Respondent <br> weighted <br> percentage | Bias | Relative <br> bias | Chi- <br> square <br> p-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Census Region | 22.82 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 4}$ |
| Northeast | 17.78 | 5.0 | 0.221 |  |  |
| Midwest | 29.35 | 35.69 | -6.3 | -0.216 |  |
| South | 31.97 | 32.35 | -0.4 | -0.012 |  |
| West | 15.86 | 14.19 | 1.7 | 0.105 |  |
| Private School Subgroup |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ |
| Roman Catholic | 52.09 | 62.69 | -10.6 | -0.203 |  |
| Non-Catholic Private | 47.91 | 37.31 | 10.6 | 0.221 |  |
| Metro-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.641 |
| Central City | 34.74 | 33.47 | 1.3 | 0.037 |  |
| Urban Fringe/Large City | 47.02 | 46.56 | 0.5 | 0.010 |  |
| Small Town/Rural | 18.24 | 19.96 | -1.7 | -0.094 |  |
| Urban-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.989 |
| City | 38.68 | 37.94 | 0.7 | 0.019 |  |
| Suburban | 41.66 | 41.72 | -0.1 | -0.001 |  |
| Town | 9.76 | 10.06 | -0.3 | -0.031 |  |
| Rural | 9.89 | 10.29 | -0.4 | -0.040 |  |
| Size |  |  |  |  | 0.374 |
| Large $(\geq 30)$ | 52.40 | 50.14 | 2.3 | 0.043 |  |
| Medium $(17-30)$ | 28.02 | 30.88 | -2.9 | -0.102 |  |
| Small $(\leq 17)$ | 19.58 | 18.98 | 0.6 | 0.031 |  |

NOTE: Size adjusted school weights were used.

Table 2. 2008 NAEP LTT original sample - weighted mean values of various characteristics for full sample and responding schools - All Private Schools

|  | Full Sample <br> mean |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Respondent mean | Bias | Relative <br> bias |  | T-test <br> p-value |  |
| Size of school attended by average student | 37.87 | 35.39 | 2.5 | 0.065 | 0.320 |
| Estimated Age Enrollment | 20.54 | 21.00 | -0.5 | -0.022 | 0.681 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage White, not Hispanic | 77.14 | 74.86 | 2.3 | 0.030 | 0.133 |
| Percentage Black, not Hispanic | 8.90 | 10.55 | -1.7 | -0.185 | 0.065 |
| Percentage Hispanic Heritage | 7.76 | 9.23 | -1.5 | -0.189 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0}$ |
| Percentage Asian/Pacific Islander | 5.90 | 5.07 | 0.8 | 0.141 | 0.427 |
| Percentage American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.0 | 0.067 | 0.711 |

NOTE: For the mean of school size attended by average student and the race/ethnicity percents, sizeadjusted school based weights were used. For the mean of the enrollment, school weights were used.

Table 3. 2008 NAEP LTT Age 9 sample with substitutes - weighted percentages of full sample and responding schools by various subgroups weighted by school baseweight - All Private schools (sample size $=300$ )

|  | Full sample <br> weighted <br> percentage | Respondent <br> weighted <br> percentage | Bias | Relative Chi- square <br> bias <br> p-value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  | 0.060 |
| Northeast | 22.82 | 19.61 | 3.2 | 0.141 |  |
| Midwest | 29.35 | 35.07 | -5.7 | -0.195 |  |
| South | 31.97 | 30.53 | 1.4 | 0.045 |  |
| West | 15.86 | 14.80 | 1.1 | 0.067 |  |
| Private School Subgroup |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ |
| Roman Catholic | 52.09 | 61.03 | -8.9 | -0.172 |  |
| Non-Catholic Private | 47.91 | 38.97 | 8.9 | 0.187 |  |
| Metro-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.675 |
| Central City | 34.74 | 32.84 | 1.9 | 0.055 |  |
| Urban Fringe/Large City | 47.02 | 48.37 | -1.3 | -0.029 |  |
| Small Town/Rural | 18.24 | 18.79 | -0.6 | -0.030 |  |
| Urban-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.792 |
| City | 38.68 | 36.86 | 1.8 | 0.047 |  |
| Suburban | 41.66 | 43.78 | -2.1 | -0.051 |  |
| Town | 9.76 | 10.10 | -0.3 | -0.035 |  |
| Rural | 9.89 | 9.26 | 0.6 | 0.064 |  |
| Size |  |  |  |  | 0.255 |
| Large $(\geq 30)$ | 52.40 | 50.95 | 1.5 | 0.028 |  |
| Medium (17-30) | 28.02 | 30.72 | -2.7 | -0.096 |  |
| Small ( $\leq 17)$ | 19.58 | 18.33 | 1.3 | 0.064 |  |

NOTE: Size adjusted school weights were used.
Table 4. 2008 NAEP LTT sample with substitutes - weighted mean values of various characteristics for full sample and responding schools - All Private Schools

|  | Full sample <br> mean with <br> substitutes | Respondent <br> mean | Bias | Relative <br> bias | T-test <br> p-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size of school attended by average student | 37.87 | 35.79 | 2.1 | 0.055 | 0.376 |
| Estimated Age Enrollment | 20.54 | 21.39 | -0.9 | -0.041 | 0.449 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage White, not Hispanic | 77.14 | 74.83 | 2.3 | 0.030 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 7}$ |
| Percentage Black, not Hispanic | 8.90 | 9.93 | -1.0 | -0.116 | 0.122 |
| Percentage Hispanic Heritage | 7.76 | 8.88 | -1.1 | -0.144 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 6}$ |
| Percentage Asian/Pacific Islander | 5.90 | 6.07 | -0.2 | -0.029 | 0.630 |
| Percentage American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.0 | 0.067 | 0.730 |

NOTE: For the mean of school size attended by average student and the race/ethnicity percents, sizeadjusted school based weights were used. For the mean of the enrollment, school weights were used.

Table 5. 2008 NAEP LTT Age 9 nonresponse adjusted sample - weighted percentages of full sample and responding schools with substitutes by various subgroups - All Private schools (sample size $=300$ )

|  | Full sample weighted percentage | Respondent weighted percentage | Bias | Relative $\qquad$ | Chisquare <br> p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  | 0.677 |
| Northeast | 22.82 | 21.54 | 1.3 | 0.056 |  |
| Midwest | 29.35 | 29.93 | -0.6 | -0.020 |  |
| South | 31.97 | 31.96 | 0.0 | 0.000 |  |
| West | 15.86 | 16.58 | -0.7 | -0.045 |  |
| Private School Subgroup |  |  |  |  | 0.600 |
| Roman Catholic | 52.09 | 52.76 | -0.7 | -0.013 |  |
| Non-Catholic Private | 47.91 | 47.24 | 0.7 | 0.014 |  |
| Metro-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.520 |
| Central City | 34.74 | 32.35 | 2.4 | 0.069 |  |
| Urban Fringe/Large City | 47.02 | 49.31 | -2.3 | -0.049 |  |
| Small Town/Rural | 18.24 | 18.35 | -0.1 | -0.006 |  |
| Urban-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.618 |
| City | 38.68 | 36.94 | 1.7 | 0.045 |  |
| Suburban | 41.66 | 44.75 | -3.1 | -0.074 |  |
| Town | 9.76 | 9.56 | 0.2 | 0.020 |  |
| Rural | 9.89 | 8.75 | 1.1 | 0.115 |  |
| Size |  |  |  |  | 0.271 |
| Large ( $\geq 30$ ) | 52.40 | 48.96 | 3.4 | 0.066 |  |
| Medium (17-30) | 28.02 | 30.39 | -2.4 | -0.085 |  |
| Small ( $\leq 17$ ) | 19.58 | 20.65 | -1.1 | -0.055 |  |

NOTE: Size-adjusted school weights were used

Table 6. 2008 NAEP LTT nonresponse adjusted sample - weighted mean values of various characteristics for full sample and responding schools with substitutes - All Private Schools

|  | Full sample <br> mean with <br> substitutes | Respondent <br> mean | Bias Relative bias | T-test <br> p-value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size of school attended by average student | 37.87 | 34.82 | 3.1 | 0.081 | 0.205 |
| Estimated Age Enrollment | 20.54 | 20.23 | 0.3 | 0.015 | 0.790 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage White, not Hispanic | 77.14 | 74.81 | 2.3 | 0.030 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 0}$ |
| Percentage Black, not Hispanic | 8.90 | 10.32 | -1.4 | -0.160 | 0.082 |
| Percentage Hispanic Heritage | 7.76 | 8.47 | -0.7 | -0.091 | 0.121 |
| Percentage Asian/Pacific Islander | 5.90 | 6.11 | -0.2 | -0.036 | 0.614 |
| Percentage American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.0 | 0.067 | 0.741 |

NOTE: For the mean of school size attended by average student and the race/ethnicity percents, sizeadjusted school based weights were used. For the mean of the enrollment, school weights were used.

Table 7. 2008 NAEP LTT Age 13 original sample - weighted percentages of full sample and responding schools by various subgroups weighted by school base weight - All Private Schools $($ sample size $=256)$

|  | Full sample weighted percentage | Respondent weighted percentage | Bias | Relative bias | Chisquare p -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  | 0.258 |
| Northeast | 22.77 | 19.68 | 3.1 | 0.136 |  |
| Midwest | 28.51 | 32.41 | -3.9 | -0.137 |  |
| South | 32.67 | 31.57 | 1.1 | 0.034 |  |
| West | 16.05 | 16.34 | -0.3 | -0.018 |  |
| Private School Subgroup |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |
| Roman Catholic | 49.28 | 58.48 | -9.2 | -0.187 |  |
| Non-Catholic Private | 50.72 | 41.52 | 9.2 | 0.181 |  |
| Metro-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.143 |
| Central City | 50.02 | 46.64 | 3.4 | 0.068 |  |
| Urban Fringe/Large City | 36.48 | 37.17 | -0.7 | -0.019 |  |
| Small Town/Rural | 13.50 | 16.19 | -2.7 | -0.199 |  |
| Urban-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.491 |
| City | 49.92 | 46.51 | 3.4 | 0.068 |  |
| Suburban | 32.23 | 34.93 | -2.7 | -0.084 |  |
| Town | 10.49 | 10.74 | -0.3 | -0.024 |  |
| Rural | 7.36 | 7.82 | -0.5 | -0.063 |  |
| Size |  |  |  |  | 0.415 |
| Large ( $\geq 31$ ) | 55.52 | 53.76 | 1.8 | 0.032 |  |
| Medium (17-31) | 27.04 | 29.33 | -2.3 | -0.085 |  |
| Small ( $\leq 17$ ) | 17.45 | 16.91 | 0.5 | 0.031 |  |

NOTE: Size adjusted school weights were used.

Table 8. 2008 NAEP LTT original sample - weighted mean values of various characteristics for full sample and responding schools - All Private Schools

|  | Full Sample <br> mean | Respondent <br> mean | Bias | Relative <br> bias | T-test <br> p-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size of school attended by average student | 50.22 | 48.70 | 1.5 | 0.030 | 0.561 |
| Estimated Age Enrollment | 21.82 | 22.35 | -0.5 | -0.024 | 0.632 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage White, not Hispanic | 77.48 | 77.65 | -0.2 | -0.002 | 0.909 |
| Percentage Black, not Hispanic | 6.99 | 6.79 | 0.2 | 0.029 | 0.773 |
| Percentage Hispanic Heritage | 10.79 | 10.69 | 0.1 | 0.009 | 0.934 |
| Percentage Asian/Pacific Islander | 4.42 | 4.60 | -0.2 | -0.041 | 0.662 |
| Percentage American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.1 | 0.156 | 0.275 |

NOTE: For the mean of school size attended by average student and the race/ethnicity percents, sizeadjusted school based weights were used. For the mean of the enrollment, school weights were used.

Table 9. 2008 NAEP LTT Age 13 sample with substitutes - weighted percentages of full sample and responding schools by various subgroups weighted by school baseweight - All Private schools (sample size $=256$ )

|  | Full sample <br> weighted <br> percentage | Respondent <br> weighted <br> percentage | Bias | Relative Chi- square <br> bias <br> p-value |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  | 0.647 |  |
| Northeast | 22.77 | 22.24 | 0.5 | 0.023 |  |  |
| Midwest | 28.51 | 31.20 | -2.7 | -0.094 |  |  |
| South | 32.67 | 30.72 | 2.0 | 0.060 |  |  |
| West | 16.05 | 15.84 | 0.2 | 0.013 |  |  |
| Private School Subgroup |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3}$ |  |
| Roman Catholic | 49.28 | 56.47 | -7.2 | -0.146 |  |  |
| Non-Catholic Private | 50.72 | 43.53 | 7.2 | 0.142 |  |  |
| Metro-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 4}$ |  |
| Central City | 50.02 | 45.09 | 4.9 | 0.099 |  |  |
| Urban Fringe/Large City | 36.48 | 39.19 | -2.7 | -0.074 |  |  |
| Small Town/Rural | 13.50 | 15.72 | -2.2 | -0.164 |  |  |
| Urban-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.149 |  |
| City | 49.92 | 45.22 | 4.7 | 0.094 |  |  |
| Suburban | 32.23 | 36.80 | -4.6 | -0.142 |  |  |
| Town | 10.49 | 10.25 | 0.2 | 0.023 |  |  |
| Rural | 7.36 | 7.73 | -0.4 | -0.050 |  |  |
| Size |  |  |  |  | 0.353 |  |
| Large $(\geq 31)$ | 55.52 | 52.89 | 2.6 | 0.047 |  |  |
| Medium (17-31) | 27.04 | 29.30 | -2.3 | -0.084 |  |  |
| Small ( $\leq 17)$ | 17.45 | 17.81 | -0.4 | -0.021 |  |  |

NOTE: Size adjusted school weights were used.

Table 10. 2008 NAEP LTT sample with substitutes - weighted mean values of various characteristics for full sample and responding schools - All Private Schools

|  | Full sample <br> mean with <br> substitutes | Respondent <br> mean | Bias | Relative <br> bias | T-test <br> p-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size of school attended by average student | 50.22 | 47.72 | 2.5 | 0.050 | 0.317 |
| Estimated Age Enrollment | 21.82 | 22.09 | -0.3 | -0.012 | 0.809 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage White, not Hispanic | 77.48 | 77.98 | -0.5 | -0.006 | 0.708 |
| Percentage Black, not Hispanic | 6.99 | 6.83 | 0.2 | 0.023 | 0.783 |
| Percentage Hispanic Heritage | 10.79 | 10.39 | 0.4 | 0.037 | 0.722 |
| Percentage Asian/Pacific Islander | 4.42 | 4.53 | -0.1 | -0.025 | 0.777 |
| Percentage American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.32 | 0.26 | 0.1 | 0.188 | 0.236 |

NOTE: For the mean of school size attended by average student and the race/ethnicity percents, sizeadjusted school based weights were used. For the mean of the enrollment, school weights were used.

Table 11. 2008 NAEP LTT Age 13 nonresponse adjusted sample - weighted percentages of full sample and responding schools with substitutes by various subgroups - All Private schools (sample size $=256$ )

|  | Full sample <br> weighted <br> percentage | Respondent <br> weighted <br> percentage | Bias | Relative Chi- square <br> bias <br> p-value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  | 0.460 |
| Northeast | 22.77 | 24.02 | -1.3 | -0.055 |  |
| Midwest | 28.51 | 26.61 | 1.9 | 0.067 |  |
| South | 32.67 | 30.68 | 2.0 | 0.061 |  |
| West | 16.05 | 18.69 | -2.6 | -0.164 |  |
| Private School Subgroup |  |  |  |  | 0.121 |
| Roman Catholic | 49.28 | 46.09 | 3.2 | 0.065 |  |
| Non-Catholic Private | 50.72 | 53.91 | -3.2 | -0.063 |  |
| Metro-centric Locale |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central City | 50.02 | 44.82 | 5.2 | 0.104 |  |
| Urban Fringe/Large City | 36.48 | 39.14 | -2.7 | -0.073 |  |
| Small Town/Rural | 13.50 | 16.04 | -2.5 | -0.188 |  |
| Urban-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.110 |
| City | 49.92 | 44.46 | 5.5 | 0.109 |  |
| Suburban | 32.23 | 37.52 | -5.3 | -0.164 |  |
| Town | 10.49 | 10.39 | 0.1 | 0.010 |  |
| Rural | 7.36 | 7.63 | -0.3 | -0.037 |  |
| Size |  |  |  |  | 0.358 |
| Large $(\geq 31)$ | 55.52 | 51.78 | 3.7 | 0.067 |  |
| Medium $(17-31)$ | 27.04 | 28.80 | -1.8 | -0.065 |  |
| Small $(\leq 17)$ | 17.45 | 19.42 | -2.0 | -0.113 |  |

NOTE: Size-adjusted school weights were used.
Table 12. 2008 NAEP LTT nonresponse adjusted sample - weighted mean values of various characteristics for full sample and responding schools with substitutes - All Private Schools

|  | Full sample <br> mean with <br> substitutes | Respondent <br> mean | Bias | Relative <br> bias | T-test <br> p-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size of school attended by average student | 50.22 | 46.38 | 3.8 | 0.076 | 0.153 |
| Estimated Age Enrollment | 21.82 | 21.35 | 0.5 | 0.022 | 0.722 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage White, not Hispanic | 77.48 | 78.16 | -0.7 | -0.009 | 0.636 |
| Percentage Black, not Hispanic | 6.99 | 7.14 | -0.1 | -0.021 | 0.783 |
| Percentage Hispanic Heritage | 10.79 | 9.74 | 1.1 | 0.097 | 0.380 |
| Percentage Asian/Pacific Islander | 4.42 | 4.69 | -0.3 | -0.061 | 0.519 |
| Percentage American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.1 | 0.156 | 0.331 |

NOTE: For the mean of school size attended by average student and the race/ethnicity percents, sizeadjusted school based weights were used. For the mean of the enrollment, school weights were used.

Table 13. 2008 NAEP LTT Age 17 original sample - weighted percentages of full sample and responding schools by various subgroups weighted by school base weight - All Private Schools $($ sample size $=230)$

|  | Full sample weighted percentage | Respondent weighted percentage | Bias | Relative $\qquad$ | i- square p -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  | 0.029 |
| Northeast | 26.80 | 21.71 | 5.1 | 0.190 |  |
| Midwest | 25.40 | 32.93 | -7.5 | -0.296 |  |
| South | 27.65 | 32.02 | -4.4 | -0.158 |  |
| West | 20.16 | 13.35 | 6.8 | 0.338 |  |
| Private School Subgroup |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |
| Roman Catholic | 53.46 | 67.03 | -13.6 | -0.254 |  |
| Non-Catholic Private | 46.54 | 32.97 | 13.6 | 0.292 |  |
| Metro-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.088 |
| Central City | 43.47 | 35.56 | 7.9 | 0.182 |  |
| Urban Fringe/Large City | 40.68 | 48.25 | -7.6 | -0.186 |  |
| Small Town/Rural | 15.85 | 16.19 | -0.3 | -0.021 |  |
| Urban-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.667 |
| City | 47.76 | 43.02 | 4.7 | 0.099 |  |
| Suburban | 36.09 | 39.40 | -3.3 | -0.092 |  |
| Town | 8.58 | 9.33 | -0.8 | -0.087 |  |
| Rural | 7.57 | 8.25 | -0.7 | -0.090 |  |
| Size |  |  |  |  | 0.317 |
| Large ( $\geq 121$ ) | 47.92 | 44.61 | 3.3 | 0.069 |  |
| Medium (31-121) | 38.64 | 42.81 | -4.2 | -0.108 |  |
| Small ( $\leq 31$ ) | 13.44 | 12.58 | 0.9 | 0.064 |  |

NOTE: Size adjusted school weights were used.

Table 14. 2008 NAEP LTT original sample - weighted mean values of various characteristics for full sample and responding schools - All Private Schools

|  | Full Sample <br> mean | Respondent <br> mean | Bias | Relative <br> bias | T-test <br> p-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size of school attended by average student | 139.12 | 133.00 | 6.1 | 0.044 | 0.519 |
| Estimated Age Enrollment | 43.58 | 44.70 | -1.1 | -0.026 | 0.786 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage White, not Hispanic | 78.12 | 80.26 | -2.1 | -0.027 | 0.224 |
| Percentage Black, not Hispanic | 8.17 | 9.19 | -1.0 | -0.125 | 0.254 |
| Percentage Hispanic Heritage | 7.65 | 7.62 | 0.0 | 0.004 | 0.985 |
| Percentage Asian/Pacific Islander | 5.60 | 2.52 | 3.1 | 0.550 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ |
| Percentage American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.46 | 0.41 | 0.1 | 0.109 | 0.557 |

NOTE: For the mean of school size attended by average student and the race/ethnicity percents, sizeadjusted school based weights were used. For the mean of the enrollment, school weights were used.

Table 15. 2008 NAEP LTT Age 17 sample with substitutes - weighted percentages of full sample and responding schools by various subgroups weighted by school baseweight - All Private schools (sample size $=230$ )

|  | Full sample weighted percentage | Respondent weighted percentage | Bias | Relative bias | hi- square p -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  | 0.038 |
| Northeast | 26.80 | 22.05 | 4.8 | 0.177 |  |
| Midwest | 25.40 | 31.20 | -5.8 | -0.228 |  |
| South | 27.65 | 30.96 | -3.3 | -0.120 |  |
| West | 20.16 | 15.78 | 4.4 | 0.217 |  |
| Private School Subgroup |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |
| Roman Catholic | 53.46 | 65.89 | -12.4 | -0.233 |  |
| Non-Catholic Private | 46.54 | 34.11 | 12.4 | 0.267 |  |
| Metro-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.205 |
| Central City | 43.47 | 37.55 | 5.9 | 0.136 |  |
| Urban Fringe/Large City | 40.68 | 47.36 | -6.7 | -0.164 |  |
| Small Town/Rural | 15.85 | 15.09 | 0.8 | 0.048 |  |
| Urban-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.802 |
| City | 47.76 | 44.46 | 3.3 | 0.069 |  |
| Suburban | 36.09 | 39.25 | -3.2 | -0.088 |  |
| Town | 8.58 | 8.87 | -0.3 | -0.034 |  |
| Rural | 7.57 | 7.42 | 0.2 | 0.020 |  |
| Size |  |  |  |  | 0.371 |
| Large ( $\geq 121$ ) | 47.92 | 45.23 | 2.7 | 0.056 |  |
| Medium (31-121) | 38.64 | 41.92 | -3.3 | -0.085 |  |
| Small ( $\leq 31$ ) | 13.44 | 12.84 | 0.6 | 0.045 |  |

NOTE: Size adjusted school weights were used.

Table 16. 2008 NAEP LTT sample with substitutes - weighted mean values of various characteristics for full sample and responding schools - All Private Schools

|  | Full sample <br> mean with <br> substitutes | Respondent <br> mean | Bias | Relative <br> bias |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size of school attended by average student | 139.12 | 135.98 | 3.1 | 0.0 | T-test <br> p-value |
| Estimated Age Enrollment | 43.58 | 44.68 | -1.1 | -0.025 | 0.708 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  | 0.667 |
| Percentage White, not Hispanic | 78.12 | 79.03 | -0.9 | -0.012 | 0.468 |
| Percentage Black, not Hispanic | 8.17 | 9.01 | -0.8 | -0.103 | 0.264 |
| Percentage Hispanic Heritage | 7.65 | 8.63 | -1.0 | -0.128 | 0.257 |
| Percentage Asian/Pacific Islander | 5.60 | 2.91 | 2.7 | 0.480 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8}$ |
| Percentage American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.0 | 0.087 | 0.605 |

NOTE: For the mean of school size attended by average student and the race/ethnicity percents, sizeadjusted school based weights were used. For the mean of the enrollment, school weights were used.

Table 17. 2008 NAEP LTT Age 17 nonresponse adjusted sample - weighted percentages of full sample and responding schools with substitutes by various subgroups - All Private schools (sample size $=230$ )

|  | Full sample weighted percentage | Respondent weighted percentage | Bias | Relative $\qquad$ <br> bias | Chi- square p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Region |  |  |  |  | 0.933 |
| Northeast | 26.80 | 26.21 | 0.6 | 0.022 |  |
| Midwest | 25.40 | 25.85 | -0.5 | -0.018 |  |
| South | 27.65 | 27.20 | 0.4 | 0.016 |  |
| West | 20.16 | 20.74 | -0.6 | -0.029 |  |
| Private School Subgroup |  |  |  |  | 0.525 |
| Roman Catholic | 53.46 | 54.66 | -1.2 | -0.022 |  |
| Non-Catholic Private | 46.54 | 45.34 | 1.2 | 0.026 |  |
| Metro-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.394 |
| Central City | 43.47 | 37.34 | 6.1 | 0.141 |  |
| Urban Fringe/Large City | 40.68 | 46.48 | -5.8 | -0.143 |  |
| Small Town/Rural | 15.85 | 16.19 | -0.3 | -0.021 |  |
| Urban-centric Locale |  |  |  |  | 0.519 |
| City | 47.76 | 42.65 | 5.1 | 0.107 |  |
| Suburban | 36.09 | 40.07 | -4.0 | -0.110 |  |
| Town | 8.58 | 11.15 | -2.6 | -0.300 |  |
| Rural | 7.57 | 6.12 | 1.5 | 0.192 |  |
| Size |  |  |  |  | 0.035 |
| Large ( $\geq 121$ ) | 47.92 | 38.62 | 9.3 | 0.194 |  |
| Medium (31-121) | 38.64 | 42.78 | -4.1 | -0.107 |  |
| Small ( $\leq 31$ ) | 13.44 | 18.60 | -5.2 | -0.384 |  |

NOTE: Size-adjusted school weights were used.

* For Census Region, the Pearson chi-square p-value is shown since the RS2 approach was untestable due to a design effect close to zero.

Table 18. 2008 NAEP LTT nonresponse adjusted sample - weighted mean values of various characteristics for full sample and responding schools with substitutes - All Private Schools

|  | Full sample <br> mean with <br> substitutes | Respondent <br> mean | Bias | Relative <br> bias | T-test <br> p-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size of school attended by average student | 139.12 | 120.78 | 18.3 | 0.132 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 9}$ |
| Estimated Age Enrollment | 43.58 | 35.16 | 8.4 | 0.193 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 3}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage White, not Hispanic | 78.12 | 78.95 | -0.8 | -0.011 | 0.513 |
| Percentage Black, not Hispanic | 8.17 | 8.33 | -0.2 | -0.020 | 0.789 |
| Percentage Hispanic Heritage | 7.65 | 9.02 | -1.4 | -0.179 | 0.120 |
| Percentage Asian/Pacific Islander | 5.60 | 3.27 | 2.3 | 0.416 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 3}$ |
| Percentage American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.0 | 0.065 | 0.758 |

[^0]
[^0]:    NOTE:
    For the mean of school size attended by average student and the race/ethnicity percents, size-adjusted school based weights were used. For the mean of the enrollment, school weights were used.
    *Estimate rounds to zero.

