## Overall Results

- In 2009, the average score of fourth-grade students in California was 232. This was lower than the average score of 239 for public school students in the nation.
- The average score for students in California in 2009 (232) was not significantly different from their average score in 2007 (230) and was higher than their average score in 1992 (208).
- In 2009, the score gap between students in California at the 75th percentile and students at the 25 th percentile was 44 points. This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 1992 (49 points).
- The percentage of students in California who performed at or above the NAEP Proficient level was 30 percent in 2009. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2007 (30 percent) and was greater than that in 1992 (12 percent).
- The percentage of students in California who performed at or above the NAEP Basic level was 72 percent in 2009. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2007 (70 percent) and was greater than that in 1992 (46 percent).


## Compare the Average Score in 2009 to Other States/Jurisdictions


' Department of Defense Education Activity schools (domestic and overseas).
In 2009, the average score in California was

- lower than those in 43 states/jurisdictions
- higher than those in 3 states/jurisdictions
- not significantly different from those in 5 states/jurisdictions

| Results for Student Groups in 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting Groups | Percent of Avg. students score |  | Percentages at or above |  | Percent at Advanced |
|  |  |  | Basic | Proficient |  |
| Gender ' |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male 52 |  | 233 | 72 | 32 | 6 |
| Female | 48 | 231 | 71 | 29 | 5 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| White 28 |  | 247 | 89 | 51 | 9 |
| Black 7 |  | 217 | 56 | 13 | 1 |
| Hispanic 51 |  | 219 | 59 | 14 | $1 '$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 11 | 257 | 93 | 61 | 20 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 1 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| National School Lunch Program |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible 5 | 3 | 220 | 60 | 15 | 1 ' |
| Not eligible | 45 | 246 | 86 | 48 | 11 |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met.
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, and the "Unclassified" category for race/ethnicity are not displayed.

Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results

| California Average Score |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 54* | 34* | 11* ${ }^{*}$ * | 208* |
| 1996 | $54^{*}$ | 35* | 10*1* | 209* |
| $2000{ }^{3}$ | $48^{*}$ | 38* | 14* ${ }^{*}$ * | 214* |
| 2000 | $50^{*}$ | 37 | 12*1* | 213* |
| 2003 | $33^{*}$ | 42 | 22 | 227 * |
| 2005 | 29 | 43 | 24 | 230 |
| 2007 | 30 | 40 | 25 | 230 |
| 2009 | 28 | 41 | 25 | 232 |
| Nation (public) |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 19 | 43 | 33 | 239 |
|  | Percent below Easicand at BasicPend at Provicient <br> and Advanced and at Basic and Ad'varced' |  |  |  |

* Significantly different ( $p$ < .05) from state's results in 2009.
a Accommodations not permitted.
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

Compare the Average Score to Nation (public)


* Significantly different ( $p$ < .05) from 2009.


## Score Gaps for Student Groups

- In 2009, male students in California had an average score that was not significantly different from that of female students. This performance gap was not significantly different from that in 1992 (1 point). '
- In 2009, Black students had an average score that was 30 points lower than that of White students. This performance gap was narrower than that in 1992 (39 points).
- In 2009, Hispanic students had an average score that was 28 points lower than that of White students. This '
performance gap was not significantly different from that in 1992 (31 points).
- In 2009, students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch, an indicator of poverty, had an average score that was 26 points lower than that of students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch. This performance gap was not significantly different from that in 1996 (28 points).

